M.R.O. 14-1440: 22-10-26.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 2142 Press ~~Mis.~~

197-198

Dated 11-9- 1867.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 11th September 1867.

No. 197. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 25th July 1867, No. 4,774.

Read the following letter from the Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 7th May 1867, No. 129 :—

From Board, 19th March 1860. No. 1,252.
Do. Collr., to Board, 19th Nov. 1860. No. 268.
Do. Board, 10th January 1861, No. 153.
Do. Govt., 29th January 1861, No. 237.

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, I have the honor to report, for the information of the Board, that the allowance of Rupees 50 per mensem, granted for the education of the sons of Vassireddi Papamah, has been kept in deposit from September 1864. The total amount now in deposit is Rupees 1,378. Papamah and her two sons left this place without leave in September 1864, and I am given to understand that they are now at Madras. I see no use keeping the sum in question in deposit any longer, and request the Board's sanction to credit the same to Government, as the parties have not fulfilled the object for which it was granted. I have also to request the Board's sanction to draw Rupees 100 only from the current month for payment to Papamah for her subsistence.

---

1. Submitted for the consideration and Orders of Government. The Collector proposes to discontinue the allowance of Rupees 50 per mensem, granted for the education of the sons of Vassireddi Papamah, widow of the late Ramanadha Raboo of Vassireddi by Government Order, 29th January 1861, No. 237, and to credit to Government Rupees 1,378, which have accumulated in deposit since September 1864, in consequence of Vassireddi Papamah having taken the boys away from Masulipatam and withdrawn them from the Collector's supervision.

2. The Board detailed the circumstances of the case in their letter of the 3rd January 1866, No. 4, and the Government thereupon directed, by their endorsement on Petition No. 2,128, of 1865, that the youths should be sent back to Masulipatam, to prosecute their studies under the supervision of the Collector. This order has not been obeyed.

3. One of the lads must be now nearly 20 years of age, and the other between 13 or 14.

4. As the time for education has passed away in the case of the elder lad, the tenor of the Government Orders seems to require that half the sum in deposit should be forfeited.

5. With regard to the other half, or the younger boy's share, the Board recommend that it should be intimated to Papamah that, unless she conforms to the instructions of Government within three months, this portion also of the sum in deposit will be forfeited.

6. The case as respects the justice of resuming the allowance is not quite clear. In the beginning of Government Order 29th January 1861, No. 237, a life pension of Rupees 150 is given to Papamah, and in the latter part of it Government direct that Rupees 50 should be placed at the disposal of the Collector to be applied to the education of the boys, "and to be continued as long as it was required for that object, even if their mother did not live so long."

7. The decision of Government on this point will probably depend on what it was their intention to do with the Rupees 50, after the education of the boys had been completed.

No. 198. ORDER THEREON, 11th September 1867, No. 2,142.

Miscellaneous

1. The Government authorize the Acting Collector to pay over the whole of the amount in deposit to the widow Papamma, to whom in G. O. dated 29th January 1861, No. 237, Revenue Department, a life pension of Rupees 150 monthly was granted, and to continue to pay her the whole amount henceforward.

2. The Government regret that their endeavours to benefit the sons of Ramanadha Babu have failed of effect, but they have no desire to effect a saving from the original grant as a penalty for non-compliance with their wishes in regard to the education of the boys, and they see no reason to think that further efforts in the same direction will be productive of any better results than have hitherto been obtained.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Accountant General.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

In their Pro. above recorded the B. O. R. submit for the orders of Govt a proposal of the Collector of the Kistna district to discontinue the allowance of ₹ 50 per mensem granted for the education of the sons of the late Ramanadha Baboo of Vasireddi, & to credit to Govt the sum of ₹ 7,398 which has accumulated in deposit since September 1860, when their mother Vasireddi Papamah, withdrew the boys from the Court's supervision.

2. In 1850 the Court of Directors in conformity with the recommendation of the local Govt sanctioned a life-pension of ₹ 300 per mensem to Ramanadha Baboo, who by the decree of the Privy Council in the case of the Vasireddi family had lost the position & pension he had for a long time previously enjoyed as recognized head of that family.

3. The allowance was paid in arrears from Nov. 1848 & was enjoyed by Ramanadha Baboo until his death in 1858, when it was discontinued.

4. His widow Papamah petitioned for a pension, & by their order dated 29th Jany 1861 No 237 Govt granted her a life pension of ₹ 150 per mensem

subject

subject to the following conditions—viz
"1st 100 will be paid direct to Papamah
"2nd 50 will be at the disposal of the
Coll of the Kistna District to be applied
in such manner as he may deem best
towards the education of the two
sons, & will be continued as long
as this object may require the
grant."

5. The two boys were first placed at the Revd Mr. Noble's school at Masulipatam, but were removed in 1862, & the widow declined to allow them to return although the Coll. frequently urged her to do so. They were subsequently placed under a private tutor recommended by Mr. Noble but in August 1863 they were again removed from Masulipatam in August 1864 since the end of which month the allowance of Rs 50 per mensem has been in deposit.

6. In 1860 the widow commenced claiming the arrears & the continuance of the monthly payment the following endorsement was passed on her petition—
"The Governor in Council learns that the Petr has neglected the education of her sons, that they are too ignorant to be eligible to the High School under these circumstances he directs that the youths be sent back to Masulipatam & placed under the Coll's supervision in order that the current allowance of Rs 50 may be spent to the best advantage. The payment of the arrears will entirely depend on the report which the Coll. will hereafter make on the progress of the lads."
This order the widow has not obeyed.

7. The B.O.R. observe that "as the time for education has passed away in the case of the elder lad who must now be nearly 20 years of age, the letter of the Govt orders seems to require that half the sum in deposit should be forfeited," and they recommend that with regard to the other half or the share of the younger lad who is between 13 & 14, it should be intimated to widow Papamah that unless she conforms to the former orders of Govt within 3 months this portion also will be forfeited.

8. With reference to resuming the allowance of Rs 50 the Bd observe that it is not quite clear what it was the intention of Govt to do with that sum after the education of the boys had been completed, as it is stated in G.O. 29th Jany 1861 No. 289 that a life pension of Rs 150 per mensem is granted to Papamah, & that of this sum Rs 50 will be placed at the disposal of the Collr & be continued as long as it was required for the education of the boys.

9. It seems evident that the intention of Govt in their order above quoted was that the sum of Rs 50 should be deducted from the life pension granted to the widow & be continued to be paid to the Coll. as long as it was required for the education of the minors; in order that their education might be properly conducted under the supervision of the Coll. But as the widow Papamah has since 1864 disobeyed the orders of Govt as to the education of her sons, & the time for the education of her eldest son has now passed away Govt resolve to adopt the proposition of the B.O.R. & half the sum in deposit viz Rs 609 will be forfeited, & the widow Papama will be informed that unless she conforms to the orders of Govt intimated to her

in

in 1865 within 3 months, the remaining portion in deposit will also be forfeited. The allowance of Rs 50 per mensem will continue to be at the disposal of the Coll. of Kistna for the education of the second son, & on the completion of his education will be paid to the widow as part of the life pension originally granted her.

HW

No. 4,774.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 25th July 1867.

Read the following letter from G. THORNHILL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna district, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 7th May 1867, No. 129:—

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, I have the honour to report, for the information of the Board, that the allowance of Rupees 50 per mensem, granted for the education of the sons of Vassireddi Papamah, has been kept in deposit from September 1864. The total amount now in deposit is Rupees 1,378. Papamah and her two sons left this place without leave in September 1864, and I am given to understand that they are now at Madras. I see no use keeping the sum in question in deposit any longer, and request the Board's sanction to credit the same to Government, as the parties have not fulfilled the object for which it was granted. I have also to request the Board's sanction to draw Rupees 100 only from the current month for payment to Papamah for her subsistence.

From Board, 12th March 1860, No. 1,252.
Do. Collr. to Board, 19th Nov. 1860, No. 3of.
Do Board, 10th Jan. 1861, No. 158.
Do. Govt., 29th Jan. 1861, No. 237.

1. Submitted for the consideration and orders of Government. The Collector proposes to discontinue the allowance of Rupees 50 per mensem, granted for the education of the sons of Vassireddi Papamah, widow of the late Ramanadha Baboo of Vassireddi by Government Order, 29th January 1861, No. 237, and to credit to Government Rupees 1,378, which have accumulated in deposit since September 1864, in consequence of Vassireddi Papamah having taken the boys away from Masulipatam and withdrawn them from the Collector's supervision.

2. The Board detailed the circumstances of the case in their letter of the 3rd January 1866, No. 4, and the Government thereupon directed, by their endorsement on Petition No. 2,128 of 1865, that the youths should be sent back to Masulipatam, to prosecute their studies under the supervision of the Collector. This order has not been obeyed.

3. One of the lads must be now nearly 20 years of age, and the other between 13 or 14.

4. As the time for education has passed away in the case of the elder lad, the tenor of the Government Orders seems to require that half the sum in deposit should be forfeited.

5. With regard to the other half, or the younger boy's share, the Board recommend that it should be intimated to Papamah that, unless she conforms to the instructions of Government within three months, this portion also of the sum in deposit will be forfeited.

6. The case as respects the justice of resuming the allowance is not quite clear. In the beginning of Government Order 29th January 1861, No. 237, a *life* pension of Rupees 150 is given to Papamah, and in the latter part of it Government direct that Rupees 50 should be placed at the disposal of the Collector to be applied to the education of the boys, "and to be continued as long as it was required for that object, even if their mother did not live so long."

7. The decision of Government on this point will probably depend on what it was their intention to do with the Rupees 50, after the education of the boys had been completed.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

J. Grote
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Collector of the Kistna district.

Exd. J. Wright.

Rev. 1867. Department.

No. 2028.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 25th July 1867.

No. 4,774.

Submitting for orders letter from Collector of Kistna district proposing to discontinue allowance of Rupees 50 per mensem granted for education of the sons of Vassireddi Papamah widow of late Rancanadha Baboo of Vasireddi, and to credit to Government Rupees 1378 which have accumulated in deposit since September 1864.

No. 2142 - 11 Sept. 1867

No. 2142