M.R.O. 14-5,450-22-10-25

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1904 Press Mis.

311-312.

Dated 15-8 1867

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 311. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 8th August 1867, No. 5,081.

Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of Madras, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Sydapet, 19th July 1867, No. 223 :—

1. In the village of Mangalam, in the Sydapet talook, a Durkhast was presented in Fusly 1271 by certain Payakaries, for the marginally noted lands. The Mirasidars of the village objected to the grant of the land to Payakaries, and wished to take them up themselves. Their request was duly complied with, and the land entered in the Samudayam Puttah at the Settlement of Fusly 1271, and ever since the Mirasidars have been paying full assessment for the land which, up to Fusly 1276, amounted to Rupees 25-4-0.

Nos.	Extent.	Assessment.
180 } 208 }	3 3 8	6 5 0

2. In the course of Inam inquiry in this district in Fusly 1272, it was discovered by the Inam Deputy Collector, Gopala Iyer, on the representation of one Syed Hyder Hussain Saib, that the above land was the Inam of one Syed Mohiddeen, his grandfather, and that it was registered as such in the old Inam Paimash and other standard accounts of the district. In Fusly 1275 the Inam Commissioner issued a Title Deed for the same in the name of Syed Hyder Hussain Saib, the grandson of the original grantee, who has since preferred a claim for the Maniem in question.

3. Inquiries were then made as to the origin of the mistake in originally issuing a Puttah for the land, and the then Curnum, who has since been removed from office, stated that he had orders to treat the Inam as any ordinary rent-paying land, and, as such, available for cultivation owing to the continued absence of the Inamdar from the village. His statement, however, proved to be false, as the records of this Office do not shew that any such order was ever passed.

4. Syed Hyder Hussain, the present holder of the Title Deed from the Inam Commissioner, now claims not only the Melwaram of the Maniem land, but also requests that the Maniem may be excluded from the Samudayam Puttah, and that the assessment collected from the Mirasidars since Fusly 1271 on his Inam land may be paid to him.

5. As regards his claim to the Melwaram of the Maniem, I have informed him he has his redress under Act VIII of 1865.

6. His two latter requests seem to be perfectly reasonable. I would, therefore, recommend their being granted.

7. The amount of assessment to be paid to the Inamdar Syed Hyder Hussain, amounts to Rupees 25-4-0.

Submitted to Government, with the request that the refund of Rupees 25-4-0 to the Inamdar may be sanctioned.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
15th August 1867.

No. 312. ORDER THEREON, 15th August 1867, No. 1,904.

Land Revenue.

The refund of Rupees 25-4-0 and the restoration of the Inam are sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant General.
,, the Inam Commissioner.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

a/c 1904

The Refund of Rs 25-4-0
& the restoration of the Inam
are sanctioned.

WW

M Copies Letter of Date Sept 15
6 Copies Accts
6 ——— Counterfoils

15 Augt.