

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1672

Press
~~Ms.~~

453 454

Dated

23

7

18

67

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
23rd July 1867.*

No. 453. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 26th June 1867, No. 3,936.

Read the following letter from the Collector of the Godavery District, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 7th May 1867, No. 94 :—

1. With reference to the Board's endorsement, dated 16th August 1866, on Petition No. 3,102 of 1866, (herewith returned,) presented by Amanchi Ramanama, widow of late Papaya, an inhabitant of Pittapur, I have the honour to report as follows.

2. Petitioner requests that a moiety of her late husband's allowance may be granted to her on the ground that Amanchi Sitamma and Jaggama, widows of Ramiah, an inhabitant of Pittapur, similarly circumstanced with herself, had been allowed a moiety of their husband's allowances.

3. Four Putties and 10 Manikas, or A. 32, C. 25 of Inam land, yielding an annual income of Star Pagodas 67-16, and Russums amounting to Star Pagodas 132-23, held by one Amanchi Padmaraz, for the service of Mazundar of the Pittapur Zemindary, were at the time of the permanent settlement of the Zemindary estates resumed by Government, and in lieu thereof an allowance of Star Pagodas 199-15 per annum was granted on life-tenure to Padmaraz.—*Vide* Government Order, dated 27th October 1804.

4. The stipend was enjoyed in three equal shares by the three persons noted in the margin, as will be seen from the Statements submitted to Board by Messrs. Churchill and Long, former Collectors, under date the 7th September 1804 and 31st August 1809.

Chinna Vonketroyadu.
Papaya.
Lakshmi pati.

5. The allowance received by Papaya, amounting to Star Pagodas 66-24, equivalent to Rupees 232-15-11, was renewed in Extract from Minutes of Consultation, dated 2nd January 1846, No. 14. It lapsed to Government by his death on 4th October 1865.

6. On a reference to former correspondence, petitioner's statement regarding Amanchi Sitamma and Jaggama appears to be true. Amanchi Ramiah, who received a money-allowance in lieu of Mazundari service land and Russums resumed having died, his two widows who had no male issue, and who were destitute of livelihood, were allowed a moiety of their husband's allowance, as sanctioned by Government in their Proceedings, dated 13th December 1831, No. 1,153. Petitioner has a daughter and a daughter's son. She has enfranchised Inam lands, yielding an annual income of 120 Rupees; they were purchased by her husband, and inherited by her after his death.

Enclosure No. 1.—Petition.

1. Submitted to Government for their instructions.

2. The Board have perused the papers to which the Collector refers, and find that they bear out his statements.

3. The widows of Ramiah were recommended for half the pension enjoyed by their husband, which was granted them on the ground that they were quite destitute.—(Mr. Crawley's letter to the Board, dated 23rd September 1831.)

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
25th July 1867.

4. The pensions of Mazumdars are, of course, life-pensions only, and the petitioner is not destitute; but the Board do not see that her case can be treated differently from that of the widows of Ramiah. They suppose that the course adopted in that case constitutes a precedent for the treatment of this, and they recommend that the petitioner be granted half the allowance of her deceased husband for her life.

No. 154. Order passed, 25th July 1867, No. 1,672.

Miscellaneous.

1. Half the pension of Ramiah was continued to his widows as a matter of grace merely because they were quite destitute. In the present case Amanchi Ramanama has an annual income of Rupees 120.

2. Under these circumstances the Government see no reason to continue to Amanchi Ramanama any portion of the life-pension drawn by her late husband.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

7-1672.

The pension of Ramiah was con-
tinued to his widows ^{as a matter of grace} merely because
they were 'without male issue &
'quite destitute'. In the present case
Amanchi Ramanama has a daughter
& grandson & an annual income
of Rs 120.

Under these circumstances
the Govt. see no reason to continue
to Amanchi Ramanama any por-
tion of the life pension drawn by her
late husband.

28th July 1867.

No 2nd Nov. 1866 No 180.
13 Decr 1866 - 24-25.
2nd Nov. 1866 - 12

Rev. 1867. Department.
Bo of Govt for India

No. 1679.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 26th June 1867.

[Signature]
C. A. O. O. R.

No. 3,936.

Submitting for instructions letter from Collector of Godavery district reporting on Petition No 3102 of 1866 soliciting grant of a moiety of allowance enjoyed by her late husband.

[Signature]

No 453-151

10/19 July 1867