~~2978~~

M.R.O. 14–5,450—22-10-25.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 2842 Press ~~Mis.~~

480. 482

Dated 19— 10 — 1866.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 480. Read the following letter from the Inspector General of Police, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George; dated 12th September 1866, No. 1,307:—

1. With reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, No. 8,301,* dated 22nd December 1865, revising the establishment of Police Guards for the Salt Department of the South Canara District, I have the honor to annex in the margin a corrected list, providing for the proper proportion of the several grades. The total strength corresponds with that given in the above Proceedings.

2. A saving of Rupees 3,486 is effected by the present arrangement on the amount originally sanctioned in G. O., No. 9, dated 9th January 1863, Judicial Department.

3. The estimate does not include temporary batta, the payment of which is dependent on the savings available in the Police Budget.

4. I propose to utilize the reduction in Canara by increasing the permanent Salt Establishment in Ganjam by twenty-five men, at a cost of Rupees 2,376, as per margin, with reference to my letter No. 736, dated 10th May 1866, recorded in Proceedings of Government, dated 6th August 1866, No. 1,959, Revenue Department; also by adding thirteen men to the permanent Salt Establishment in Madras District, at a cost† of Rupees 1,141. The extension of the Salt work of that District requires increased guards.

* Enclosed. Return requested.

Permanent Salt Guard.

		Rs.
4 Head Constables	... ...	960
3 Deputy do.	... ...	378
17 1st Class do.	... ...	1,428
33 2nd ,, do.	... ...	2,376
		5,142

Temporary Salt Guard for 5 months.

		Rs.
7 Head Constables	... ...	700
7 Deputy do.	... ...	368
31 1st Class do.	... ...	1,085
62 2nd ,, do.	... ...	1,860
		4,013
		9,155
Add 10 per cent. for contingencies	...	915
	Total ...	10,070
Original sanction, G. O. No. 9, dated 6th January 1863	... ...	13,556
	Difference ...	3,486

		Rs.
1 Head Constable	... .	240
2 Deputy Head Constables	... ...	252
22 Constables	... ...	1,668
		2,160
Add 10 per cent. contingencies	... ...	216
	Total ...	2,376

† 1 Deputy Head Constable	... ...	126
12 Constables	... ...	912
		1,038
Add 10 per cent. contingencies	... ...	103
	Total ...	1,141

Transferred to the Revenue Department.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

Fort Saint George,
18*th September* 1866.

Referred to the Board of Revenue for their remarks.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

24*th September* 1866.

Received 26th
Entered and returned 27th } September 1866.

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
19*th October* 1866.

No. 481. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 4th October 1866.

Read Endorsement of Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated 24th September 1866, referring to the Board of Revenue, for remarks, a letter from the Inspector General of Police, annexing a corrected list of Police Guards for the Salt Department in South Canara District.

The Government will be informed that the Board see no objection to the arrangement proposed by the Inspector General of Police.

No. 482. ORDER THEREON, 19th October 1866, No. 2,842.

Separate Revenue, No. 14.
Salt.

The arrangements proposed in the first of these papers are approved and sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

To the Inspector General of Police.
,, the Board of Revenue.
,, the Judicial Department.
,, the Accountant General.

Exd. J. Talloch

No. 8,301.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 22nd December 1865.

Read the following letters:—

From W. M. CADELL, Esq., Collector of South Canara, to R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Beltangadi, 7th November 1865, No. 184:—

1. I have the honor to reply to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, under date the 4th ultimo, No. 6,228, and with reference to paragraph 18 thereof, I beg herewith to submit a letter from Mr. Ball, the Deputy Collector in charge of the Salt Department, forwarding the two Statements* as required.

* A and B.

2. From these Statements it will be seen that a slight increase has been proposed in the cost of the present Establishments of Rupees 77 per mensem, or Rupees 924 per annum, thus making the whole annual cost thereof Rupees 14,616, or exactly the sum sanctioned by Government for Establishments in their orders of the 15th October 1863.

3. From the Statement B, it will also be observed that in the case of the permanent Police Guards, a reduction of Rupees 127 per mensem, or of Rupees 1,536 has been effected, while in that of the Temporary Establishment there is a considerable increase, the sanctioned charge on this account being only Rupees 720 per annum, while the charge proposed to be incurred is no less than Rupees 4,280 annually. The necessity for this increase has been strongly urged by the Deputy Collector, and the purposes for which the increased numerical strength is stated to be required are given at the foot of the Statement A.

4. The total cost of Police Guards (both permanent and temporary) will be thus as follows:—

	Present.		Proposed.	
	Monthly.	Yearly.	Monthly.	Yearly.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Permanent Guards	916	10,992	788	9,456
Temporary „	.....	720	.....	4,280
Total Permanent and Temporary...	.....	11,712	.....	13,736

The amount sanctioned by Government on the 6th January 1863 for both Establishments is Rupees 13,556, while the cost of the proposed Establishments as above shown is Rupees 13,736, or Rupees 180 in excess of the former sum. It will, however, be observed that in the Estimate of the proposed Establishments the pay of each Constable has been set down as that of a first-class Constable, (8 Rupees,) while in fact many of the Privates will be only second class. There will thus be a considerable saving in the Estimate, quite sufficient at least to cover the above-mentioned excess, as also to meet the expenses of the eight Constables required to be retained, as explained in the latter part of the second paragraph of Mr. Ball's letter, and for the retention of whom, say for six months, I beg to request sanction.

5. I am not at present sufficiently acquainted with the different localities of the salt stations, so as to be able to offer any opinion as to the necessity or the contrary for so large a temporary Establishment. Captain Drever, the Deputy Inspector General of Police, is however now in the District, and when he has completed his tour of inspection, I shall make a point of conferring with him and the Superintendent of Police, on the subject.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

ABSTRACT.—Copy of a letter from the Salt Deputy Collector, forwarding a Statement of the Police Guards attached to the Salt Department of the District, and a Comparative Statement of the present and proposed Establishment, as required in paragraph 18 of the Board's Proceedings of the 4th October last, No. 6,228.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

ABSTRACT.—Copy of a Statement of the Police Guards attached to the Salt Department of the South Canara District.

5. Jud Dept S
6 Accts Genl S

Comparative Statement of the present and proposed Salt Establishment with Police Guards for the District of South Canara.

Present Establishment.				Proposed Establishment.			
No.		Amount.	Total.	No.		Amount.	Total
		Rs.	Rs.			Rs.	Rs.
	COAST DEPOTS.						
	1. *Neleshwar.*						
1	Superintendent...	25		1	Superintendent.....	30	
1	Measurer.....	6		1	Shroff.....	10	
1	Peon.....	6		1	Measurer.....	6	
1	Vettyan.....	5		1	Peon.....	6	
				1	Vettyan.....	5	
			42				57
4				5			
	2. *Kassergode.*						
4	As per Nileshwar Depôt		42	5	As per Nileshwar Depôt		57
	(3). *Kumbla.*						
4	As per Nileshwar Depot		42	....	To be abolished.		
	3 (4). *Manjeshwar.*						
4	As per Nileshwar Depot		42	5	As per Nileshwar Depot		57
	4 (5). *Mangolore.*						
1	Superintendent...	50		1	Superintendent...	60	
1	Clerk.....	15		1	Clerk.....	20	
1	Shroff.....	10		1	Shroff.....	15	
2	Measurers, at 6 Rs. each	12		2	Measurers, at 6 Rs. each.	12	
2	Peons, do.....	12		2	Peons. do.	12	
1	Vettyan.....	5		1	Vettyan.....	5	
			104				124
8				8			
	5 (6). *Mulki.*						
4	As per Nileshwar Depot...		42	5	As per Nileshwar Depot..		57
	6 (7). *Udiyavar.*						
4	As per Nileshwar Depot..		42	5	Do.		57
	7 (8). *Mabukul.*						
4	As per Nileshwar Depot...		42	5	Do.....		57
36	Total of 8 Coast Depots...		398	38	Totalof 7 Coast Depots ..		466

Difference, Rupees 68 increase.

Comparative Statement showing the present and proposed Salt Establishment, &c.—(*Continued.*)

Present Establishment.				Proposed Establishment.			
No.		Amount.	Total.	No.		Amount.	Total.
		Rs.	Rs.			Rs.	Rs.
	INLAND DEPOTS.						
	(1). *Buntwal.*						
1	Superintendent.....	40					
1	Clerk.....	15					
1	Shroff.....	10			To be abolished.		
2	Measurers, at 6 Rs. each.	12					
2	Peons, do.	12					
1	Vettyan.....	5					
			94				
8							
	1 (2). *Panimangalore.*						
1	Superintendent.....	40		1	Superintendent...	40	
1	Shroff.....	10		1	Shroff.....	10	
2	Measurers, at 6 Rs. each.	12		2	Measurers, at 6 Rs. each.	12	
2	Peons, do.	12		2	Peons, do.	12	
1	Vettyan.....	5		1	Vettyan.....	5	
			79				79
7				7			
	2 (3). *Baje.*						
1	Superintendent..	35		1	Superintendent.....	35	
1	Shroff.....	10		1	Shroff.....	10	
2	Measurers, at 6 Rs. each.	12		2	Measurers, at 6 Rs. each.	12	
2	Peons, do.	12		1	Peon.....	6	
1	Vettyan.....	5		1	Vettyan.....	5	
			74				68
7				6			
	3 (4). *Haladi.*						
7	As per Baje Depot.....		74	6	As per Baje Depot.....		68
	4 (5). *Wandase.*						
	(As per Nileshwar Coast Depot).						
1	Superintendent...	25		1	Superintendent...	30	
1	Measurer.....	6		1	Shroff.....	10	
1	Peon.....	6		1	Measurer.....	6	
1	Vettyan.....	5		1	Peon.....	6	
			42	1	Vettyan.....	5	
4				5			57
33	Total of 5 Inland Depots.		363	24	Total of 4 Inland Depots.		272

Difference, Rupees 91, decrease.

Comparative Statement of the present and proposed Salt Establishment, &c.,—*(Continued.)*

Present Establishment.				Present Establishment.			
No.		Amount.	Total.	No.		Amount.	Total.
		Rs.	Rs.			Rs.	Rs.
	MANUFACTURING DEPOTS.						
	Kundapur Taluk.						
	1. Angalli.						
	Division Establishment.						
1	Superintendent	50		1	Superintendent	50	
1	Clerk	15		1	Clerk	15	
2	Measurers at 6 Rupees each...	12		1	Shroff	10	
2	Peons at 6 Rupees each	12		2	Measurers, at 6 Rupees each	12	
1	Vettyan	5		2	Peons, at 6 Rupees each.	12	
				1	Vettyan	5	
7			95				104
				8			
	Station Establishment.						
	Merdi Kotar.						
1	Assistant Superintendent.	15		1	Assistant Superintendent.	15	
2	Vettyans at 5 Rupees each.....	10		2	Vettyans	10	
3			25	3			25
	VODERHOBLI DEPOT.						
	Devaragra and Arebet Kotars.				*(As per Coast Depots.)*		
1	Assistant Superintendent.	25		1	Assistant Superintendent.	30	
2	Vettyans, at 5 Rs. each.	10		1	Shroff...	10	
				1	Measurer.....	6	
3			35	1	Peon	6	
				1	Vettyan...	5	
				5			57
13	Total of Angalli Depot ...		154	16	Total of Angalli Depot...		186
	2. HARNAD.						
	Division Establishment.						
1	Superintendent...	50		1	Superintendent...	50	
1	Clerk.....	15		1	Clerk	15	
1	Measurer.....	6		1	Shroff	10	
1	Peon.....	6		2	Measurers, at 6 Rs. each...	12	
1	Vettyan...	5		2	Peons at 6 Rupees each...	12	
				1	Vettyan...	5	
5			82	8			104

Comparative Statement of the present and proposed Salt Establishment, &c.—*(Concluded.)*

Present Establishment.				Proposed Establishment.			
No.		Amount.	Total.	No.		Amount.	Total.
		Rs.	Rs.			Rs.	Rs.
	Station Establishment.						
	Chalanmacky Kotar.						
				1	Assistant Superintendent.	15	
				2	Vettyans, at 5 Rupees each.....	10	
				3			25
	Yelur and Hemmadi Kotars.						
1	Assistant Superintendent.	15		1	Assistant Superintendent	20	
2	Vettyans at 5 Rupees each.....	10		1	Shroff	10	
				1	Measurer.....	6	
				1	Peon	6	
				1	Vettyan	5	
3			25				47
				5			
8	Total of Harnad Depôt ...		107	16	Total of Harnad Depôt ...		176
	(3) *Bundur*						
	Division Establishment.						
1	Superintendent	50		1	Superintendent	40	
1	Clerk	15		1	Clerk	14	
2	Measurers at 6 Rs. each...	12		1	Shroff	10	
2	Peons at 6 Rs. each.....	12		2	Measurers, at 6 Rs. each...	12	
1	Vettyan.....	5		2	Peons at 6 Rupees each...	12	
				1	Vettyan	5	
7			94	8			93
	Station Establishment.						
1	Assistant Superintendent.	15		1	Assistant Superintendent.	15	...
2	Vettyans at 5 Rs. each ...	10		2	Vettyans at 5 Rupees each.	10	...
3			25	3			25
10	Total of Bundur Depot ...		119	11	Total of Bundur Depot.		118
	Total of three Manufacturing Depots.....		380	43	Total of three Manufacturing Depots...		480

Comparative Statement of the present and proposed Salt Establishment with Police Guards for the District of South Canara.

ABSTRACT.

No.	Cost of present Establishment.	Amount.	No	Cost of proposed Establishment.	Amount.
		Rs.			Rs.
36	Total of eight Coast Depôts.....	368	38	Total of seven Coast Depôts...	466
33	Do. of five Inland do.	393	24	Do. of four Inland do.	272
31	Do. of three manufacturing do.	380	43	Do. of three manufacturing do.	480
100	Total of District per mensem ...	1,141	105	Total of District per mensem..	1,218
		12			12
	Total of District per annum ...	13,692		Total of District per annum ...	14,616
	Difference...	.....		924 Increase.	
	ADD POLICE GUARDS.			ADD POLICE GUARDS.	
	Permanent Establishment.			*Vide Enclosure to letter, dated 12th December 1865.*	
				Permanent Establishment.	
2	Head Constables, at 22 Rupees each.....	44	1	Head Constable, Rupees.	22
16	Deputy do. at 12½ Rupees each..... ..	200	8	Deputy do., at 12½ Rupees each.....	100
84	Constables, at 8 Rupees each.....	672	48	Constables, at 8 Rupees each.....	384
	Total per mensem...	916		Total per mensem...	506
		12			12
	Total per annum...	10,992		Total per annum...	6,072
	Temporary Establishment.			*Temporary Establishment.*	
				Head Constables for 4 months, at 22 Rs. each per mensem	264
			50	Constables for 4 months, at Rupees 8 each per mensem	1,600
15	Constables for 6 months, at 8 Rs. each per mensem	720	54	Constables for 5 months, at Rupees 8 each per mensem...	2,160
	Total Temporary Est...	720		Total Temporary Est.	4,024
107	Total Police Guards per annum	11,712	164	Total per annum	10,096
	Total cost per annum of Present Establishment	25,404		Total cost per annum of proposed Establishment	24,712
	Amount sanctioned by Supreme Government, 15th October 1863, for Salt Establishment...				14,616
	Do. by Madras Government, 6th January 1863, for Police Guards ...				13,556
				Total amount sanctioned...	28,172
				Difference, Decrease...	3,460

MANGALORE,
26th October 1865.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. BALL,
Deputy Collector.

(Signed) W. M. CADELL,
Collector.

From the same Officer, dated Mangalore, 12th December 1865, No. 201.

1. With reference to the last paragraph of my letter of the 7th November last, I have now the honor to state that after much consideration with the Deputy Inspector General, the Superintendent of Police, and the Deputy Collector, Salt Department, it has been determined that the Police Guards in connection with the Salt Establishment can be very considerably reduced.

2. I beg now to forward a Statement of the Guards, both permanent and temporary, which are considered to be sufficient, and request that this List may be substituted for the one which accompanied my letter of the 7th November. This list, I may observe, does not include eight Constables whose services are required at the Honenagra, Kallagra, Tallur, and Jallady Kotars until new depôts are constructed at Merdi, Devaragra, and Chellanmacky respectively, as directed by Government.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

ABSTRACT.—Revised Statement of Police Guards attached to the Salt Department of South Canara.

1. The Board approve the proposed arrangements for the Salt Establishments in the South Canara District, which involve no additional cost beyond the sanctioned amount.

2. They will be adopted in the General Statement of revised Salt Establishments now under preparation for submission to Government.

3. The Inspector General of Mofussil Police will be requested to arrange for introducing the revised Establishment of Police Guards, by which a saving of about Rupees 1,100 is effected on the present actual charges, and Rupees 3,460 on the amount sanctioned for this purpose.

4. The provision for eight Constables at the Kotars, which are *temporarily* retained, is additional to the above.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary.

To W. M. Cadell, Esq., Collector of South Canara.
" Inspector General, Mofussil Police.
" W. Robinson, Esq., Superintendent of Police.
" F. Lushington, Esq., Accountant General.

Fxd. J. Wright.
" R. H. Lee.

14/12a of "Mgo." 51
5 Sal Dept S
6 Accts Genl S

Revenue Department

V. 2842.

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Under date the 19th October 1866.

Read the following paper

From the Insp[r] Gen[l] of Police.

Annexes a corrected List of Police Guards for the Salt depart[t] in South Canara District. } (Here enter 12th Sept[r] 1866 No. 1507)

Pro: of the Board of Revenue.

Stating that there is no objection to arrangement proposed by Inspector General of Police in regard to Police Guards required for Salt Department of South Canara District. } (Here enter 4th October 1866

The arrangement proposed in the first of these papers are approved and sanctioned

Now

4 Insp[r] Gen[l] of Police dr 22 Octr
14 Bd of Rev[e] S
5 Bd Chief S
6 Accts Gen[l] S

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue,

1865. Department.

Rev. 3194/65.

No.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 22nd December 1865.

No. 8,301.

Approving the proposed arrangements for the Salt establishments in South Canara district.

Revenue 1866 Dep^t^
Pro of Govt for Oct^r^

N^o^ 281, 2

Pro of the Madras
Government
Dt 19th Oct^r^ 1866.

The arrangements
proposed by the Inspector
General of Police for
guards for S. Canara
Salt Department app:
proved and sanctioned.

N^o^ 480 @ 482