

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	923	Press Mis.
Dated	20. 4.	1866.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

(17)

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
20th April 1866.*

No. 296. Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government in the
Public Works Department:—

Passing orders on Local Fund Budget Estimate }
submitted by the Board of Revenue for the year 1866-67. } (Here enter 7th April 1866, No. 1,034.)

No. 297. ORDER THEREON, 20th April 1866, No. 923.

Local Funds No. 5.
Account.

Ordered to be recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. GROSE,

Under Secretary to Government.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department.
7th April 1866.

No. 77. Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government in the Revenue Department, dated 6th February 1866, No. 318:—

Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 17th January 1866, No. 410A.

1. The Board resolve to submit to Government their usual Budget Estimate of receipts and charges on account of the several Local Funds for the ensuing official year 1866-67. These Budgets have been prepared according to the new forms, as directed in paragraph 6 of the Order of Government, Department Public Works, dated 4th October 1865, No. 2,919.

2. Statement No. 1 exhibits in one view the estimated receipts and charges on account of the District Road Fund for 1866-67. Under the head of Receipts,

		rs.	
Godavery	...	26,000	
Kistna	...	8,000	

the Collectors of the Godavery and Kistna Districts have estimated the sums noted in the margin on account of fees for licensing boats on canals; but these sums have been excluded from the Estimate, as such collections are in future to be credited to the Imperial Revenues, with reference to the Proceedings of Government, dated 19th October 1865, No. 2,556. The Collector of the Godavery District has, on a reference being made, mentioned what reduction should be made in the

	From	To	
	rs.	rs.	
Road from Masulipatam to Buntumiley	3,500	2,000	
Do. from do. to Kowtaram	4,000	1,000	
Do. from Ponnui to Sandali	2,500	1,000	
Do. from Guntur to Pedugara-la and from Dachapulli to Timmercottah	4,000	2,000	
	14,000	6,000	
	Decrease	8,000	

total amount of charges entered in his Budget; but the Collector of Kistna not having given similar details, the Board are obliged to reduce the amounts provided for the original works, noted in the margin, to the extent of Rupees 8,000. 3. Besides the reductions referred to above, it was found further necessary to reduce the total estimated expenditure in the Kistna District, as it was in excess of the anticipated receipts. To bring it within the estimated receipts, the Collector's provision of Rupees 4,900 on account of repairs to minor works has been cut down to Rupees 3,000.

4. The Collectors of the Districts noted in the margin made no provision on account of the reserve at ten per cent. on the estimated income. The Collector of Trichinopoly. Malabar. Malabar remarks, that as it is expected

that the amount estimated for 1865-66 will be available to meet any emergency in 1866-67, no provision was made for this purpose. This being, however, a mis-

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department.
7th April 1866.

apprehension of the Budget Rules, on the part of the Collector, the Board have provided ten per cent. of the estimated receipts on account of reserve for the two Districts, and reduced the expenditure on works proposed by the Collectors, both under Original Works and Repairs, as particularized in the margin. The provision of Rupees 7,780 made by the Collector of Malabar for certain public bungalows and halting sheds has properly no place in the Local Fund Budget. If the surplus at the end of the current year is larger than anticipated in the present Budget Estimate, the Collector will be at liberty to bring forward supplemental proposals for employing it.

5. The Collectors of the Godavery and Kurnool Districts not having provided exactly ten per cent. on the estimated receipts on account of reserve, the necessary alteration has been made in this Office.

6. On examining the Budgets received from the Collectors, it was observed that in the Districts of Tanjore and Salem the cost of works proposed exceeded the estimated receipts to the extent marginally indicated, and the Board have therefore altered the proposed expenditure in these Districts, so as to bring it within the estimated receipts. The sums struck out in these two Districts are shewn in the margin. In Salem, however, a sum of Rupees 8,995, being rent from palmyra trees, which the Collector observes will be realized in the current year, has been included in the balance at the beginning of 1866-67.

7. In Kurnool, Madras, and Malabar, larger provision has been made by the Collectors on account of Public Works supervision than is actually necessary; while the Collector of Salem has made no provision whatever for the purpose, although works estimated to cost Rupees 2,460 are to be executed by that Department. These inaccuracies and omissions have been rectified and supplied by the Board.

8. Parts II. and III. of the Budget, which shew the particulars of estimates for works to be executed from the District Road Fund are prepared in the form furnished by the Department of Public Works. In Part II. the original works proposed to be executed during the ensuing year are entered in detail, and for some of the works entered in this Statement, plans and estimates have been received from the Collectors of the Godavery, Vizagapatam, and Malabar Districts. These will

TRICHINOPOLY.	
Repairs.	
Unforeseen charges	2,500
MALABAR.	
Original Works.	
Miscellaneous Public Improvement.	
Public Bungalows	4,000
Halting sheds	3,780
Total	7,780
Minor Works	2,500
Road from Nillialum to Nillakottah, on road from Sultan's Battery to Gudalur	500
Total	10,780

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department.
7th April 1866.

be submitted separately for the sanction of Government, as their cost exceeds 2,000 Rupees.

	To be incurred through the agency of the		Total.
	Public Works Department.	Revenue Department.	
Original Works	2,06,136	1,06,876	3,13,012
Repairs	1,63,220	1,31,750	2,94,970
Public Works Establishment.			36,936
Establishment for Works, Ferries, and Tolls			16,746
Reserve			61,988
Total			7,23,652

9. Part III. exhibits the amount required for repairs in each District. In the Budgets received from some Districts, certain sums are estimated for sundry items and Miscellaneous charges, and these are shewn as such in the estimate for repairs now submitted, the former having been entered under the head of Miscellaneous Public Improvement.

10. The marginal Abstract exhibits the entire expenditure proposed from the District Road Fund to be executed both by the Public Works and Revenue Departments respectively.

11. It will be seen that the entire expenditure proposed is Rupees 7,23,652, of which the amount to be spent on original works and repairs by the Public Works and Revenue Departments is Rupees 6,07,982, as particularized in the following Abstract:—

Districts.	Original works.		Repairs.		Total.		
	Public Works Department.	Revenue Department.	Public Works Department.	Revenue Department.	Public Works Department.	Revenue Department.	Total.
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
1. Ganjam	2,805	2,000		940	2,805	2,940	5,745
2. Vizagapatam	4,440	36			4,440	36	4,476
3. Godavery	44,742	1,100	12,050	2,500	56,792	3,600	60,392
4. Kistna	16,390		9,600	1,500	25,990	1,500	27,490
5. Nellore	7,820	5,000		1,800	7,820	6,800	14,620
6. Cuddapah	4,300		5,200		9,500		9,500
7. Bellary		7,900	2,900	7,045	2,900	14,945	17,845
8. Kurnool	15,000	600	2,400		17,400	600	18,000
9. Madras	300	2,000	3,700	750	4,000	2,750	6,750
10. North Arcot	17,800	8,750	4,950	11,000	22,750	19,750	42,500
11. South Arcot	12,275	500	48,261		60,536	500	61,036
12. Tanjore		18,210	7,894	3,960	7,894	22,170	30,064
13. Trichinopoly	12,000	6,000		8,200	12,000	14,200	26,200
14. Madura		34,026		19,463		53,489	53,489
15. Tinnevely		3,000	7,850	22,320	7,850	25,320	33,170
16. Coimbatore		1,650	25,500	960	25,500	2,610	28,110
17. Salem	2,462	16,104		42,300	2,462	58,404	60,866
18. South Canara	4,732		3,520	4,142	8,252	4,142	12,394
19. Malabar	61,070		29,395	4,870	90,465	4,870	95,335
Total	2,06,136	1,06,876	1,63,220	1,31,750	3,69,356	2,38,626	6,07,982

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
7th April 1866.*

12. The charge for Public Works supervision is Rupees 36,936 as distributed below among the several Districts:—

	RS.
Ganjam	281
Vizagapatam	444
Godavery	5,679
Kistna	2,599
Nellore	782
Cuddapah	950
Bellary	290
Kurnool	1,740
Madras	400
North Arcot	2,275
South Arcot	6,054
Tanjore	789
Trichinopoly	1,200
Madura	...
Tinnevely	785
Coimbatore	2,550
Salem	246
South Canara	825
Malabar	9,017
	36,936

13. The estimate of receipts and charges on account of funds other than the District Road Fund are entered in Statement No. 2, and is, as usual, submitted for the information of Government. In the Kistna District the estimated receipts on account of Jungle Conservancy Fund in 1866-67, inclusive of the balance at the beginning of the year, amounts to Rupees 14,768; but the Collector proposed a very small expenditure of Rupees 2,500; it has been increased to Rupees 12,500. In Malabar, a balance of Rupees 16,021 is estimated at the beginning of next year on account of the same fund, which is believed to be derived from avenue clippings, &c.; but the Collector estimates neither receipts nor charges, and a sum of Rupees 12,000 has, therefore, been provided by the Board for expenditure next year. The Collector of both Districts will be requested to state how they would employ these sums. The Collector of Malabar will also state why he has estimated neither receipts nor charges on account of Jungle Conservancy Fund for the next official year.

14. The Board regret the delay that has taken place in the submission of these Estimates which was caused by a reference to Nellore, the reply to which was received only on the 3rd instant.

Local Funds, No. 6.
Accounts.

1. With their Proceedings above recorded, the Board of Revenue submit the Budget Estimates of Receipts and Charges on account of the several Local Funds for the year 1866-67.

2. DISTRICT ROAD FUND.—The receipts under this head are estimated at Rupees 6,20,000, and with the balance of Rupees 1,40,740, expected to remain at

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
7th April 1866.*

the commencement of the year, the amount available for expenditure during the year will be Rupees 7,60,740.

	RS.
New Works	3,13,012
Repairs	2,94,970
Establishments	53,682
Reserve	61,988
Total... ..	37,23,652

3. The expenditure proposed out of the above sum amounts to Rupees 7, 23,650, as per particulars given in the margin, and the several Budgets comprising this sum have the general approval of Government. They will be transferred to the Public Works Department for incorporation with the "Local Funds Budget." The list includes, however, several works which have not received the specific sanction of Government according to the rules laid* down. The estimates for such should be submitted separately with all the necessary information, and the general approval of their entry in the Budget is not to be considered sufficient authority for commencing the works.

* Proceedings dated 4th March 1864, No. 392.

4. In the allotment of the expenditure on the several works, the principle that works already commenced should be completed before new works are undertaken, does not appear to have been followed, so closely as is advisable, and the sums proposed to be spent on several are so small relatively to the estimates, that several years will elapse before the works are completed. The most striking instances of this description are specified below:—

No. of the item.	Amount of Estimate.	Contemplated Expenditure up to 30th April 1866.	Estimate for 1866-67.
	RS.	RS.	RS.
11	25,000	3,000	4,600
16	21,500	2,500	1,000
20	34,150	21,500	3,600
21	24,190	13,750	1,800
31	30,000	4,000	3,000
34	32,000	3,000	3,000
36	11,450	2,000	2,500
62	50,000	27,271	4,000
63	27,600	6,000	6,000
65	22,700	7,000	5,200
88	22,413		5,000
99	30,370		4,000

More liberal assignments should be provided in cases of this nature.

5. The Government observe also a tendency to propose projects of a more expensive character than the resources of the Fund render expedient.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department.
7th April 1866.*

6. The Government do not understand how the cost of constructing a Mill, to be worked by a water-wheel, at Needoomole (Item No. 103) can be charged to the District Road Fund. The Board of Revenue will be requested to submit an explanation on the subject, pending which the entry will be disallowed.

7. The provision made for digging wells and tanks for drinking purposes amounts to Rupees 3,350, and the Government have no objection to the expenditure on the condition that the inhabitants make an equal contribution. This principle has already been laid down in the case of contributions for removing prickly pear and for other Municipal improvements, and it should be strictly adhered to.

8. The Government observe several large sums entered in Part III. (Repairs) of the Budget under "Miscellaneous Charges" and "Miscellaneous Improvements." The Board of Revenue will ascertain the particulars of these, and satisfy themselves that the expenditure is such as should be met from the "District Road Fund."

	Probable amount available.	Proposed expenditure.
	RS.	RS.
Jungle Conservancy Fund	1,47,767	1,23,010
Cattle Pound Fund	2,35,041	1,60,309
Bungalow Fund	40,692	19,737
Endowment do.	2,58,286	1,89,931
Town Fund, Madura	21,910	10,000
Irrigation Cess, Trichinopoly	10,800	7,470

9. The estimated receipts and charges on account of the other Funds noted in the margin are passed. The Government have only to remark that the available sum under Jungle Conservancy Fund should be utilized as much as possible.

10. The Government must request the Board to insist upon greater punctuality in the submission of the District Budgets.

11. With these remarks the Government resolve to furnish the Accountant General with copies of the several Budgets.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

No. 78. ORDER THEREON, 7th April 1866, No. 1064.

LOCAL FUNDS, No. 11.
Account.

1866-67 amounts to Rupees 7,23,652, apportioned between the Revenue and Public

1. Communicated to the Superintending Engineers.

2. The proposed expenditure from District Road and other Funds during

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department.
7th April 1866.*

Works Departments, as shown below. The item which is disallowed in paragraph 6 will be added to the Reserve Fund :—

Items.	By the Public Works Department.	By the Revenue Department.	Total.
	RS.	RS.	RS.
Original Works	2,04,866	1,06,876	3,11,742
Repairs	1,63,220	1,31,750	2,94,970
Public Works Establishment	...	...	36,936
Establishment for Works, Ferries and Tolls	...	...	16,746
Reserve	...	...	63,258
Total... ..	...	...	7,23,652

ORIGINAL WORKS.

3. *Godavery*—The estimated cost of the road from Panjaji to Nedadavole is entered at Rupees 11,380, against Rupees 8,000 in the current year's Supplemental Local Fund Budget of the Godavery District. This difference should have been explained.

4. *Kistna*—Road from Ponnur to Sandole. The amount of the estimate for this work is Rupees 16,600. In passing orders upon that estimate the Government observed that all that could be done from the District Road Fund was to make the road passable in dry weather, and they requested the Board of Revenue to report what sum would be required for that purpose. No reply has yet been received to this reference. The current year's allotment for the work is Rupees 1,500, and the Government do not understand how Rupees 2,500 have been passed by the Board as the computed outlay up to 30th April next.

5. Coast road from Vellattore to Sandole. The estimate for this work should have been entered at Rupees 3,520, which is the amount sanctioned in G. O. No. 461, dated 6th February 1866.

6. The computed expenditure* up to 30th April 1866 on the work noted in the margin, added to the allotment† proposed for the ensuing year, is in excess of the estimated‡ cost, as entered by the Board. The amount which was sanctioned in the Order of Government No. 3,590, dated 15th December 1864, is Rupees 3,540, which has been entered in the Government Office.

7. *Madras*.—No estimate appears to have yet been sanctioned for the road from Sydapett to Codumbaukum, upon which Rupees 300 are proposed for expenditure in 1866-67, in addition to the outlay (estimated at Rupees 2,000) during the

* Rupees 1,920.

Road from Jeggiappett to join the Hyderabad road at Chellaguntah.

† Rupees 1,620.

‡ Rupees 2,500.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department.
7th April 1866.

current year. These two sums added together exceed the estimated cost (viz., Rupees 2,000,) as given in the Board's Budget.

South Canara.

Improving Jalsore and Casargode roads.
Moodbedry and Beltangady roads.

Malabar.

Road from Tambracherry to Arcacode.

8. The remark in the preceding paragraph applies equally to the works noted in the margin. The Board's attention will be drawn to the subject.

REPAIRS.

9. The schedule of items composing the lump sums entered in the Abstract is not among the papers received. It is presumed that the proposed repairs are of a miscellaneous character, and estimated, in each case, to cost less than Rupees 2,500.

10. With these remarks, the Budget will be printed and forwarded to the Government of India.

(True Extract.)



Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Revenue Department.

- „ Revenue Board.
- „ Accountant General.
- „ Controllor of Public Works Accounts.
- „ Superintending Engineers.

No. 6th Feb/66 N104105

Per 1866 Depart
P-1 of 400 for April
N. 1026.

Proceedings of the
Madras Govt. in the
P.W. Depart.

Dated 7th 3rd Apr.
Received 11th 3rd 1866
N. 1064.

Pro Board of Revenue
11 Aug 66 Cno 2797

Pro of the Madras Govt

15 Sept/66 A. 295
2935

Passing order
on Local Fund Budget
estimate submitted by
the Board of Revenue for
the year 1866/67.

N. 923.

N. 296-297.

RECORDED.

2020/66