

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.

879

Press
Mis.

Dated

17-4-1866.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
17th April 1866.*

No. 211. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 6th April 1866, No. 2,310.

Read the following letter from the Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Gudivada Talook, 10th March 1866, No. 77 :

Enclosures Nos. 1 and 2 forwarded to the Board of Revenue, with reference to their Proceedings of the 10th February 1866, communicating the Order of Government, dated 6th February 1866, No. 308.

No. 47.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

With reference to Proceedings, Madras Government, Revenue Department, 6th February 1866, No. 308, and to the accompanying letter, the undersigned begs to state that having tried the Cotton Saw Gin alluded to in the above Proceedings in the presence of Mr. Langston, Cotton Agent in Guntoor to Messrs. Shand & Co., he afterwards forwarded the Government Proceedings, with a letter, to the other gentlemen, Cotton Agents in Guntoor. This letter is the result. Both Mr. Langston and the undersigned were disappointed on the working of the Gin, the constant "clogging of the cotton between the saws and their grooves," mentioned in the letter here with forwarded, was especially noticed. As the undersigned was incompetent to form an opinion about the effect upon the staple, he thought it necessary to submit it to the inspection of the professional Cotton Agents; he further has to observe that the brush bristles were constantly coming off with the cotton; he is inclined to think with the latter that the present specimen of Saw Gin was not well put together.

Guntoor,
6th March 1866.

(Signed) A. R. HUTCHINS,
Acting Sub-Collector.

To the Acting Sub-Collector, Kistna District, dated Guntoor, 28th February 1866.

1. We have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 42 of the 23rd instant, forwarded through Mr. Langston, and of the Proceedings of the Madras Government No. 83 of 6th February 1866, directing the Collector to report upon the working of the Cotton Saw Gin.

2. In compliance with your request, we have desired Mr. Langston to send us the above machine, and have tried it. The Cotton can certainly be much better cleaned by it than by the common native "Churka;" but this advantage is counter-balanced by the fibre being much hacked by the saws; in fact so much so that the Cotton came out at times almost in shreds.

3. This perhaps is not a good specimen of a Saw Gin, as the hopper is unmovable, and we found the Cotton in it constantly clogging between the saws and their grooves besides no seed was separated or sent down through the proper apertures.

4. In conclusion, we are of opinion that unless the machine is rendered still more simple than it actually is, and possesses less chance of getting out of order, it will never be in favor with the natives accustomed to old routine.

We have, &c.,

(Signed) G. DUNNING.
(") R. PAUCHEUX.
(") F. GUIDAMOUR.
(") E. JOMEAUX.
(") L. Sr. HILAIRE.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) A. R. HUTCHINS,
Acting Sub-Collector.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
17th April 1866.*

1. Ordered that the foregoing papers be submitted for the information of Government, with reference to Government Order, dated 6th February 1866, No. 308.
2. The result of the further trial of the Gin is unfavorable.
3. The Collector is requested to return the Gin to the Board.

No. 212. ORDER THEREON, 17th April 1866, No. 879.

Miscellaneous.

Ordered to be recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. GROSE,

Acting Under Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 2,310.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 6th April 1866.

Read the following letter from G. THORNHILL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Gudivada Taluk, 10th March 1866, No. 77:—

Enclosures Nos. 1 and 2, forwarded to the Board of Revenue with reference to their Proceedings of the 10th February 1866, communicating the Order of Government, dated 6th February 1866, No. 308.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

No. 47.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

With reference to Proceedings, Madras Government, Revenue Department, 6th February 1866, No. 308, and to the accompanying letter, the undersigned begs to state that having tried the Cotton Saw Gin alluded to in the above Proceedings in the presence of Mr. Langston, Cotton Agent in Guntoor to Messrs. Shand and Co., he afterwards forwarded the Government Proceedings, with a letter, to the other gentlemen, Cotton Agents in Guntoor. This letter is the result. Both Mr. Langston and the undersigned were disappointed on the working of the Gin, the constant "clogging of the Cotton between the Saws and their grooves," mentioned in the letter herewith forwarded, was especially noticed. As the undersigned was incompetent to form an opinion about the effect upon the staple, he thought it necessary to submit it to the inspection of the professional Cotton Agents; he further has to observe that the brush bristles were constantly coming off with the Cotton; he is inclined to think with the latter that the present specimen of Saw Gin was not well put together.

GUNTOOR,
6th March 1866.

(Signed) A. R. HUTCHINS,
Acting Sub-Collector.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

To the Acting Sub-Collector, Kistna District, dated Guntoor, 23th February 1866.

1. We have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 42 of the 23rd instant, forwarded through Mr. Langston, and of the Proceedings of the Madras Government, No. 83 of 6th February 1866, directing the Collector to report upon the working of the Cotton Saw Gin.

2. In compliance with your request, we have desired Mr. Langston to send us the above machine, and have tried it. The Cotton can certainly be much better cleaned by it than by the common native "Churka;" but this advantage is counter-balanced by the fibre being much broken by the Saws; in fact so much so that the Cotton came out at times almost in shreds.

3. This perhaps is not a good specimen of a Saw Gin, as the hopper is unmovable, and we found the Cotton in it constantly clogging between the Saws and their grooves, besides no seed was separated or sent down through the proper apertures.

4. In conclusion, we are of opinion that unless the machine is rendered still more simple than it actually is, and possesses less chance of getting out of order it will never be in favor with the natives accustomed to old routine.

We have, &c.,

(Signed) G. DUNNING.
(") R. PAUCHEUX.
(") F. GUIDAMOUR.
(") E. JOMEAUX.
(") L. ST. HILAIRE.

(True Copy)

(Signed) A. R. HUTCHINS,
Acting Sub-Collector.

C18.8.03

1. Ordered that the foregoing papers be submitted for the information of Government, with reference to Government Order, dated 6th February 1866, No. 308.
2. The result of the further trial of the Gin is unfavorable.
3. The Collector is requested to return the Gin to the Board.

(True Copies and Extract.)

Shousen
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Collector of the Kistna District.

„ to the Secretary Agri-Horticultural Society.

Exd. R. H. Lee.

Pro. to Secy/66/1883-84

14 To the Board of Rev. Secy 18 April

Dated 6th April 1866

Secy to Govt

No. 2310

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

No. 1017.

*Rev. 1866. Department
Pro of Govt for a/jud*

Submitting report from Collector of Kistna district on further trial of Cotton Saw Gin sent to that district, and requesting Collector to return it.

RECORDED.