

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 832

Press
Mis.

Dated 11-4-1866.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

832

9/11/66

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
11th April 1866.*

No. 117. Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government in the
Judicial Department :—

Passing orders on Revenue Proceedings refer-
ring for consideration a question as to the
Department which should provide any neces-
sary increase of the Salt Guards. (Here enter 23th March 1866, No. 456.)

No. 118. ORDER THEREON, 11th April 1866, No. 832.

Separate Revenue, No. 8.
Salt.

Ordered to be recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. GROSE,

Acting Under Secretary to Government.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Judicial Department,
28th March 1866.*

Read the following papers:—

No. 222. Proceedings of Government in the Revenue Department.

Referring for consideration a question raised by the Board of Revenue, as to the Head of Department which should provide any necessary increase of the Salt Guard, the Board thinking that the obligation rests with the Head of the Police Department. (Dated 11th May 1865, No. 982.)

No. 223. Proceedings of Government in the Revenue Department.

Inquiring, with reference to the extra Salt Guards required in Ganjam, whether the Board of Revenue or the Inspector General of Police was the proper authority to apply to Government to sanction the increase. (Dated 20th January 1866, No. 172.)

No. 224. ORDER THEREON, 28th March 1866, No. 456.

The Governor in Council is of opinion that the view taken by the Board of Revenue is correct. Since the date of the Order of the 29th July 1862, No. 1200, quoted by Mr. Robinson in his letter of the 23rd March 1865, to the Board of Revenue, the system of inter-departmental cash payments has been discontinued by the Government of India, and the Police estimate is to include the whole of the expenditure incurred for Police purposes, without reference to the Department for which the service is required.

2. As, however, the funds at the disposal of the Inspector General of Police are limited, it must be left to that Officer's discretion to determine the strength of the guards required, it being the duty of the Collector, if he consider the guards actually provided to be inadequate, to report the matter to the Board of Revenue. (True Extract).

G. Cunningham
Chief Secretary.

To the Inspector General of Police,
with Proceedings, Nos. 982 of 1865, and 172 of 1866.

„ Revenue Department. ✓
„ Board of Revenue.

Exd. J. H. Burns.

W. H. Burns

Rolls 1851-1852
2000-239-240

Volume 1866
Michigan
No. 185

Proceedings of the
Michigan Board
the 2nd of October

Volume 200-240
Page 65-100
1866

10-156

Dealing with
the 30: 200-240
for consideration as
a solution as to the
which should provide
any necessary, increase
of the debt -

RECORDED.

1872-1873

J. H. J.
11-24-1866