

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 358

Press
~~Min.~~

183-184

Dated

9 - 2 - 1866.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

B5

A4

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
9th February 1866.*

No. 183. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 22nd January 1866.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Malabar, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Calicut, 10th January 1866, No. 10 :—

* 1st May 1865, No. 889A.
6th July 1865, No. 1,542.

1. Adverting to the Orders of Government noted,* directing that the allowance of 10 per cent. for wastage shall

not be allowed on Bombay Salt delivered in this District, I have the honor to inform the Board that the Contractor who engaged to deliver during the *last* Fusly 3,640 Garces of Salt, as particularized in the Board's Proceedings, dated 7th September 1864, No. 5,834, was unable to import the entire quantity in that Fusly, and there were 542 Garces due by him at the commencement of this Fusly (1275), 1st July 1865.

2. He has, however, of late delivered the remainder due by him, and claims the allowance of 10 per cent. for wastage that had been usually allowed prior to the receipt of the Government Orders under notice.

3. According to the certificates given him, by the Customs Commissioner at Bombay, he exported from it 572½ Garces of Salt, and when it was weighed and received here, there was a deficit of 27 Garces and 34 Maunds. This is far less than 10 per cent. of the quantity exported.

4. Under former practice he would have had to pay no duty on this wastage, but on the other hand had he fulfilled the letter of his contract the new rule would not have affected him. As, however, I have no reason to impute *mala fides*, either in his failure to deliver the full amount of Salt last Fusly, or in the amount of wastage that has occurred this Fusly, I think the old rule may fairly be allowed to hold good in his case.

5. I beg that if the Board approve of this, Government may be moved to accord sanction to this proposal as a special case, and intimate the same to the Bombay Government.

Recommended for the sanction of Government.

No. 184. ORDER THEREON, 9th February 1866, No. 358.

Separate Revenue, No. 10.
Salt.

The Government do not see why they should allow a greater amount of wastage than actually occurred. If the Contractor had fulfilled his contract he would have received the 10 per cent. allowance for wastage, which was in force when the contract was made.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.


Etd. S. T. Augustin.

to the Bombay Government.
Labor Coll^{rs} }
Calicut, }
January, 1808. }

Shave Yen
Signed G. A. Ballard
Collector.

Recommended for the sanction
of Government.

(True Copy and Extract)



Kudra
Secretary.

J. D. Sir Esq.

Secretary to Government
Revenue Department.



W. L. C. G. G. G.

