M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-9-24.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 3338 — Press ~~Mis.~~

143.144

Dated 11 — 12 — ~~19~~ 1866

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 11th December 1866.

No. 143. Read the following letter from the Officiating Inám Commissioner, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Madras, 26th November 1866, No. 80:—

Name of the Talook.	Names of Villages.	Name of the Inámdar.	Nos. of Title Deeds.
Márutúr.	Márutúr and Konidena.	Singam Venkaiya.	1,665, 1,666, 1,667 and 1,668.

The Collector of the Kistna District having informed me that the quit-rent on the Ináms noted in the margin has been collected from Fusly 1270, instead of from Fusly 1275, in which year the Ináms were enfranchised and the title deeds issued by this department, I have the honor to request the sanction of Government for refunding to the Inámdar the sum of Rupees 460, over-collected from him during Fuslies 1270 to 1274.

No. 144. ORDER THEREON, 11th December 1866, No. 3,338.

Land Revenue.

Sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

To the Officiating Inám Commissioner.
,, the Accountant General.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

W 3338.

JP Sanctioned.

WDM

b. Fole Offg Inam Commr Jaunpur 12 Decr
b. the Secretary Board of Revenue

1 D 7

12 December 1906.

ought not the Collr to come rather from the Collector himself rather than from the Inam Commr? If trifling ministerial matters of this kind are to be retained by the Dt. Court, the Dt. Court will never be able to close its work.

A copy of the correspondence on application for permission to refund, from the Collector, would have done all that the letter from the Court will do & the Court would not have had to consider the Collr's order as he must now do to the Collr

WDM