M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-9-24

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 3062. Press Mis.

244.245

Dated 12 — 11 — 1866.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 244. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 25th October 1866, No. 7,736 :—

Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of Nellore, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 19th October 1866, No. 327.

1. I have the honor to forward, for the information of the Board, an Extract from the Accountant General's Result Statement of August, retrenching "fixed tentage" drawn by me, for the time I acted as Collector in the Kistna District. His reasons are that I had the use of Mr. Thornhill's tents; this I object to, for I had my own tents; Mr. Thornhill's certainly were lying in his godowns, but they were quite distinct from mine, which I maintained myself; and not only so, I had them all the time I was away from the district as Acting Judge of Cuddapah, and I have them in my possession now here at Nellore. I request, therefore, the Board's sanction for my drawing "fixed tentage" for the time during which the Accountant General has ordered the retrenchment.

2. I am also unaware why one day's deputation allowance has also been deducted, for I only gave over charge of the Kistna District to Mr. Thornhill on Monday 30th July, and not on Sunday 29th.

Enclosure No. 1.—Result Statement.

1. The Board observe that the decision of Government, dated 7th May 1866, No. 1,090, on the similar case of Mr. Banbury and Mr. Reade would bar Mr. Chase's claim; but they resolve to request that the point may be re-considered, as the decision in question appears to them to involve a considerable amount of hardship.

2. In submitting Mr. Banbury's case, Mr. Taylor, the Acting Deputy Accountant General, observed that, as only one set of tents *could be used*, it must be inferred that allowances for two sets should not be drawn; but the Board do not see much force in this observation. Two sets of tents *must be maintained*, and it is for the maintenance of tent equipage that fixed tentage is allowed. Tents are expensive and perishable articles, and Officers are not willing to leave them to the tender mercies of servants and rats, when they proceed to another station on temporary deputation, and certainly are not likely to part with them when proceeding on short leave.

3. Both Messrs. Banbury and Chase were, as Sub-Collectors, entitled to the same rate of fixed tentage as the Collectors for whom they were acting, and probably had as valuable sets of tents.

4. It appears to the Board unfair that Officers so situated should lose an allowance which is not forfeited by the Officer on leave.

5. The Board submit that it would be perfectly reasonable to view fixed tentage as being as much a permanent allowance of a Revenue Officer in active employ as is his pay proper, so long as his substantive appointment is in the Revenue Department, and provided that he *bonâ fide* maintains his proper tent equipage.

No. 245. Order thereon, 12th November 1866, No. 3,062.

Miscellaneous.

The Accountant General's retrenchment is in accordance with rule. Mr. Chase had the option of leaving his tents for the use of his substitute, and had he done so, would have drawn tentage.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
" the Accountant General.

P. 3853/11.

Note.

The order in Mr Reeves Case
has merely a reiteration of the
old Standing order, that an
Officer on Privilege leave retains
his fixed Seniority, provided
he leaves his Seat for his Suc-
cessor - and that Seniority can-
not be drawn by two Officers
for the same Office & Period -
The rule does not seem
to provide any Remedy for Indi-
vidual hardship. Ex. gr.
Mr Thorne Hill left his

Tents for Mr Chase & Drew
the Tentage -

Mr Chase, if he had seen
fit to leave his Tents for
his successor, would be
drawn his - which are
the same in amount as
Mr L's -

Mr Chase's successor - a
Methodist Preacher took the
Tents the use of Mr C's Tents
and continued to draw
the lower Rate of a Head
Rent: of which he could

[illegible] Mr Chase as
[illegible] Preacher
the Expenditure of letting the larger
Establishment of Tents & Huts
a Settler is to be stocked &
Breed & Keep it.
If Mr Chase chose to take
his tents with him, that
is his look out - & so those
he left his Horse & Hut
behind - & by rights also his
tents.
If his claim be allowed

Jno. Mitchell Esq. [illegible]

Rec. 12th November 1866 L. L. 244

4

Q.C. No 3853/66

My Lords are entirely with the 18th Law in this matter - the Supreme of placing one man's interests at the temporary disposal of another, is a rather one in my opinion. Should he dropped although I may cost the Govt a tiff to pay the higher allowance to some man a single individual. The constantly disposed married Sub Collector who has many load & comfortable about him would be awkward situated if he had to take such a result of his actions now proposed - say Mr. Radcliffe's decision such as I have had to have been one of those

When a wife has children & Mr. R
had to be accommodated with - Mr.
R - was then Respondent - afraid,
many a time will he answer to
leaving behind him. Pressed by his
Executor, a set of cruel bad debts,
when he knows from the habits of
the Executor that they will be bills
at all cards for. and that they
can only be accepted by a
man with days innumerable -
person who would not pinch
administrators debts whilst on
the hands of the owner still. There
is further great difficulty - as learning
debts behind at once: am house
with even the best of Builders and
Lascars in charge. Mr Chase buy
Every thing bad therein and
even bills for expenditure of

5

money. I can therefore take no=
derstand his suspense for his
own, our to Rowlatts debts, and
the debts should be so framed as
to guard against difficulties arising
out of similar predilections

W.H.

I am much afraid of meddling
with the Estate debts, until
an of long standing, lest worse
should come of it - If it could
I dare not safely - that is without
knowing to some explanation
action on the part of the Financial
Sept. I shall be glad to get
the Board's sanction only, to
certainly is

7

To the Right with R.G.O.
12 November 66 No 244-245

Council. 12.11.66
Gr-3062.

6

The Accountant
Genl's Retrenchment
is in accordance
with the rule - The Chuda
Rah. the Sirdar of
Rah. has his Sons for
leaving his
the side of his Sch.
Ste Corps and had
he gone he would
have drawn Double
Pay

WDL

14 copies to A.G. Bengal 79
6 " " Genl's

L D S
12th Nov 66

