M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-9-24

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

## Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 3034 — Press ~~Mis.~~

187. 188

Dated 8 - 11 — 1866.

***Not to be folded or roughly handled***

No. 187. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 23rd October 1866:—

Read the following letter from the Collector of the Godavery District, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 10th October 1866, No. 246.

1. I have the honor to report that an Inámdar, Dantulur Jaggaya, a woman, having died in the year 1858, leaving no legal heirs, her Inám in the village of Rávulapálem, in the Amalapur Talook, of the extent of one Putti, or eight Acres, was attached by order of the Collector, Mr. Purvis, in Fusly 1268, and the proceeds of the Inám, as per margin, are held in deposit. The Board having in their Circular, dated 21st May 1864, No. 3,127, laid much stress upon the necessity of the deposits being adjusted as soon as possible, and the Inám in question having been enfranchised, subsequently, in the names of persons mentioned in the margin,* I have written to the Inám Commissioner on the 28th June 1864, No. 15, requesting his opinion as to whether the proceeds of the Inám under deposit should be credited to Government or paid to the parties. He has answered in his letter of the 23rd August 1864, No. 609, that they should be credited to Government, and I have accordingly done so. Upon which the persons, Nos. 2 and 3, have filed a suit in the Rajahmundry District Moonsiff's Court against me for the recovery of Rupees 251-14-0,† being the amount of their shares in proportionate to their shares of land enfranchised to them. In order to enable me to prepare an answer, I have asked the Inám Commissioner to let me know under what circumstances and orders he has ordered the amount of Shist of the Inám to be credited to Government. He has replied‡ that only the Shist of Fuslies 1268 and 1269, being Rupees 93, should be credited to Government, and the remainder to be paid to the Inámdars. I have intimated this to the parties, and asked them, through the Government Vakeel, whether they would withdraw the suit and receive the amount of Rupees 193-12-0, the Shist of Fuslies 1270 to 1273.

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Fusly 1268... | ... | ... | ... | Rupees 25 |
| 1269... | ... | ... | ... | ,, 68 |
| 1270... | ... | ... | ... | ,, 51 |
| 1271... | ... | ... | ... | ,, 78 |
| 1272... | ... | ... | ... | ,, 83 |
| 1273... | ... | ... | ... | ,, 98 |
| | | | | Rupees... 403 |

* 1. D. Timma Jagapatiraz, son of the deceased's husband's brother.
2. D. Narayana Gajapatiraz, grandson of the deceased's husband's brother.
3. G. Achutaramaráz, daughter's son of the deceased's sister.

| | | | | Rs. | A. | P. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| † First | ... | ... | ... | 151 | 2 | 0 |
| Second | ... | ... | ... | 100 | 12 | 0 |
| | | | | 251 | 14 | 0 |

‡ 12th October 1865, No. 775.

2. The Government Vakeel has reported to me that the parties have agreed to withdraw the suit, after receiving the money. I have again written to the Government Vakeel to let them know that on their withdrawal of the suit the money will be repaid to them, after I have obtained sanction for refunding it; but I have received no answer yet.

3. The suit has been lately transferred to the Cocanada District Moonsiff's Court for disposal. The time fixed for hearing the suit is 13th November 1866. If no answer is received from the parties within the above period, I shall have to file a

statement, in answer, in the Court, simply stating the facts of the case as above described, and that the money will be paid accordingly. I therefore request that the Board will be pleased to sanction the refund of Rupees 193-12-0, to the two parties who have sued, as well as Rupees 116-4-0, to the person No. 1, who has filed no suit. The latter sum is only on account of Shist for Fuslies 1270 to 1273 due to him proportionately for his share, as finally directed by the Inám Commissioner to be disbursed. This amount of Rupees 310 can be paid from the Budget allotment under the head of 3 Allowances, Refunds, and Drawbacks.

The sanction of Government will be requested to the refund of Rupees 310, being the proceeds that accrued after the issue of the Title Deeds.

No. 188. ORDER THEREON; 8th November 1866, No. 3,034.

**Land Revenue.** Sanctioned accordingly.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

*Secretary to Government.*

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Accountant General.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

R. 3034.

Sanctioned accordingly.

work

To
The Bd. of Rev. Indent 12 Doo
Acct. Genl.

8 Nov. 66.

6

33/4