M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-9-24

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

---

## Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 2979 — Press / Mis.

56.59

Dated 3 - 11 - 1866.

***Not to be folded or roughly handled***

## *Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 3rd November* 1866.

Read the following papers :—

No. 56. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 15th October 1866, No. 7,414.

Read again Government Order, dated 4th February 1865, No. 219, Rev. Dept.
Do. „ „ 18th October „ „ 2,534, „ „
Do. „ „ 18th December „ „ 3,047, „ „
Do. „ „ 16th January 1866, „ 122, „ „
Do. „ „ 23rd „ „ „ 257, P. W. Dept.
Board's Proceedings, „ 19th April „ „ 2,739.

Read also the following letter from the Collector of the Godavery District, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 6th August 1866, No. 189 :—

1. As directed by the Board of Revenue in their Proceedings, dated the 19th April 1866, No. 2,739, I have the honor to submit, herewith, two plans, one shewing the accommodation in the old Travellers' Bungalow at Nursapur, and the other shewing its site and position among the neighbouring houses of the town, from which I trust that the Board will observe that the bungalow is situated in the midst of native houses, and in a locality which is not suitable for the Sub-Collector's office.

2. The bungalow itself is a very small one, and does not afford sufficient accommodation for the Sub-Collector and his establishment.

3. A copy of the letter,* which I have received from the Acting Sub-Collector, Mr. Webster, on the subject of the bungalow, is herewith enclosed.

* 23rd July 1866, No. 132.

4. I have not seen the bungalow myself, but from what I have heard, and the plans, I think it is totally unfit for the use of the Sub-Collector's office, and I agree with the Acting Sub-Collector in the suggestions made by him in his letter above quoted. I think that there is no possibility of making the bungalow fit for the office, although a record-room is built in a separate locality in the compound.

5. The Superintendent of Police wrote to me, asking that the bungalow might be handed over to the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Mr. Jones, for his quarters at Nursapur, as there is no house available for him there. I therefore beg to suggest that the old Travellers' Bungalow may be let out to him on a fair rent.

6. As the Acting Sub-Collector states that the house for his office will be built by some merchant at Nursapur, on condition of receiving from Government a fair monthly rent, this arrangement might be permitted, if the Government do not choose to build one, at present, at their own cost.

---

From the Acting Sub-Collector of the Godavery District, to the Collector of the Godavery District, dated Nursapur, 23rd July 1866, No. 132.

1. I understand from Mr. Rundall, the Executive Engineer of the Martair Range, that Government have sanctioned 540 Rupees for the construction of a record-room to the public bungalow, and I therefore submit, through you, my protest against this building being made over to me as an office. The public bungalow lies more than eight hundred yards from my house, and is situated in the native town ; it is built in a sandy compound that does not contain a single tree, but surrounding this compound are native houses and trees in numbers sufficient to exclude every

breath of fresh air. In fact, it would be difficult to select a more unpleasant or a hotter site for the Sub-Collector's office; nor is the present building any better than the site on which it is built. The bungalow consists of one small room, ill-ventilated, low-roofed and dark, the atmosphere of which cannot but be both offensive and unhealthy when breathed by the lower classes, who form the great majority of the crowds that daily attend the Joint Magistrate's Court.

2. Government are now paying one Rupee a month for the rent of the post office here. The public bungalow would do very well for this purpose, or it might be put up to auction at a fair upset price, and would, I have no doubt, be bought by some of the rich native merchants here.

3. Mr. Rundall is sending you the plan of the bungalow, which you called for some time back, under instructions from the Board, No. 2,739, dated 19th April. In forwarding your report to the Board, I beg you will be good enough to submit, also, a copy of this letter.

4. I should add that there are many sites here suitable for my office, and that there would be no difficulty in finding a native merchant willing to build the office, and rent it to Government, should public money for the purpose not be available.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. FRASER,
*Collector.*

ENCLOSURES Nos. 2 and 3.—Plans.

1. Ordered that the above letter and the Board's Proceedings of 19th April 1866, to which it refers, be submitted to Government, with the Board's opinion that the old bungalow at Nursapur is not fit for the Sub-Collector's office, and that a new building should be erected on a proper plan, and that meanwhile the former plan, of allowing the Sub-Collector to provide his own office drawing extra tentage, be reverted to.

2. It appears that if funds are not likely to be available for building a Government office, some native merchant in the place will be willing to build one on the assurance that it will be rented by Government for the purpose; but the Board do not advocate the adoption of this alternative.

No. 57. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 16th October 1866, No. 7,441.

Read the following letter from the Collector of the Godavery District, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 18th September 1866, No. 222:—

1. I have the honor to state that Mr. Webster, not satisfied with the Board's decision, expressed in their Proceedings, dated 9th ultimo, No. 5,508, regarding the extra tentage claimed by him, has made an appeal against it to Government.

2. Copy of his letter I beg to enclose for the Board's information.

From the Acting Sub-Collector of the Godavery District, to the Collector of the Godavery District, dated 14th September 1866, No. 136.

1. I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, No. 5,508, dated August 9th, 1866, returning unaudited a bill for extra tentage submitted by me to your office, and forwarded by you on the 7th of May last.

2. For reasons which are fully set forth below, I beg to submit, through you, an appeal to Government against the refusal of the Board to pass this bill, as well as against the personal imputation raised against me in paragraph 1 of the Proceedings quoted above.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 3rd November* 1866.

3. I believe that I am fully entitled to draw extra tentage at Nursapur from the date of my joining the Godavery Sub-Division in August 1865; because the Board of Revenue, in their Proceedings, No. 2,739, of the 19th April 1866, have admitted the justness of my claim, on the express ground that this allowance had been drawn, under their sanction, by the Head Assistant of Salem, where a state of things existed precisely similar to the position in which I have been placed ever since I first took charge of this Sub-Division, in August 1865.

4. Upon receipt of this order, I sent in a bill for extra tentage from the day I joined at Nursapur, and I confidently affirm that I was justified in doing so, both by the terms of the Board's order, and also as a matter of common sense; because the circumstances which justified my drawing extra tentage from the 19th April, had existed ever since I joined the district. And therefore, I submit, that the decision of the Board, contained in their Proceedings, No. 5,508, of 9th August 1865, that I was entitled to draw extra tentage only since the 19th April, is unjust, as it withholds from me a portion of an allowance to which they have already decided that I am entitled.

5. I beg, further, to submit that the following words, used by the Board of Revenue in their Proceedings, No. 5,508, of 9th August, "that the public bungalow was occupied by my predecessor for some months without any claim of the sort being put forward," are unfair to me in two ways. *First*, because they are calculated to raise the erroneous impression that I was over-eager to claim a personal allowance, to which I was not fairly and honorably entitled by the rules of the service—an imputation, the justness of which I wholly deny; and *secondly*, because, when used as an argument against my right to draw extra tentage, they are not to the point; for, it is clear that whatever my predecessor's opinion or conduct may have been in the matter, it is illogical to prejudice me by it.

6. I feel confident that Government will acquit me of any over-eagerness to claim allowances, and will endorse my statement, that, under the circumstances which I have detailed above, I was perfectly justified in submitting, to the Board of Revenue, the tentage bill which has given rise to the present appeal.

7. And in conclusion I beg to state, with reference to the Board's remark, that the Nursapur "public bungalow was occupied for some months by my predecessor," that this building consists of one small room 30 feet by 7' 9", and therefore falls far short of what is necessary for the proper housing of the Sub-Collector's Cutcherry; and, indeed, it is physically impossible that my establishment could be lodged within it, and I have, therefore, always provided accommodation for my office in my own compound. Whether or not my predecessor did at any time hold his Court there, I am unable to say. But when I relieved him, I found that the Sub-Collector's private bungalow had been converted into an office; that my predecessor held Court there daily; and that the public bungalow was completely filled with office records. This building is upwards of eight hundred yards from my house, it lies in the heart of the native town; is built on a sandy tract with scarcely a tree in its vicinity, and is shut out from every fresh breeze by the native huts which surround it.

8. I enclose copies of the Board's Proceedings, No. 2,739, of the 19th April 1866, No. 5,508, of the 9th August 1866, to which I have referred in the course of this letter, and I request that you will be good enough to forward the whole correspondence to Government, with the expression of my earnest hope that I may be relieved from the galling imputation of having done any thing in the matter unworthy of a member of the service to which I belong, and for such further orders in the case as the Government may be pleased to pass.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. FRASER,
*Collector.*

1. The Board observe that Mr. Fraser should not have submitted Mr. Webster's appeal *direct* to Government. It should have been forwarded through the Board.

2. With reference to the appeal, the Board will merely remark that they had no intention of casting any imputation on Mr. Webster. They simply did no consider him entitled to more than the Proceedings quoted sanctioned, and they alluded to his predecessor, that Mr. Webster might perceive that he had been already liberally dealt with. The Orders of Government against permitting Officers to draw permanently Rupees 157-8-0 monthly, merely for providing a little office accommodation to their Cutcherries, are unmistakeable, and the Board desired to give effect to these orders as far as possible.

No. 58. From the Collector of the Godavery District, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Cocanada, 13th October 1866, No. 27.

With reference to the Proceedings of the Government, dated 27th ultimo, I have the honor to forward copy of Mr. Webster's letter, dated 9th instant, affording the information therein called for regarding his office building.

No. 59. ORDER THEREON, 3rd November 1866, No. 2,979.

**Miscellaneous.**

1. From the papers submitted, it appears that Mr. E. F. Webster pays a rent of Rupees 70 monthly for his house and bungalow. The latter he devotes to office purposes, there being no sufficient office accommodation at his station. For this he claims extra tentage (Rupees 157¼ monthly) all the year round. By this arrangement, supposing Mr. Webster to be out in tents for half the year, he would still receive more for the use of the bungalow alone than he pays as yearly rent for both house and bungalow.

2. The Government consider the Board's original proposal to pay him 35 Rupees monthly, as rent for the bungalow, a very fair arrangement, and accordingly sanction it.

3. Orders will be issued in the Department Public Works for the preparation of a plan and estimate for a Cutcherry for the Sub-Collector. The Board will submit a rough sketch of Narsapoor, shewing the proposed site for the Cutcherry, and they will take care that the site is selected with due regard to the convenience of the people, and not solely with reference to that of the Sub-Collector. The eligibility of Narsapoor for the Sub-Collector's station should, at the same time, be considered.

4. Measures should be at once adopted for destroying all useless records.

5. The Collector should, as the Board point out, have addressed Government through the Board.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

*Secretary to Government.*

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Public Works Department.
,, the Collector of the Godavery District.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 2,739.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 19th April 1866.

Read the following letter from J. FRASER, Esq., Collector of the Godavery District, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chintalapudi, Ellore Taluk, 21st March 1866, No. 50 :—

13th March, No. 37.

I have the honor to forward, for the favorable consideration of the Board, copy of a letter from the Acting Sub-Collector, regarding his extra tentage allowance.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From E. F. WEBSTER, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector, to the Collector of the Godavery District, dated Nursapur, 13th March 1866, No. 37.

1. I am in receipt of Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, No. 887, dated 6th February, from which it appears that no funds are available for the construction of a record-room to the old public bungalow at Nursapur, now ordered to be converted into the Sub-Collector's office. I am, therefore, still without a Government building sufficient for my office, and yet am debarred from drawing extra tentage under Proceedings of the Board, No. 8,247, dated 21st December 1865. Many months ago the public bungalow at Tripatore in the Salem District (a building considerably larger than the bungalow in Nursapur) was converted into the Head Assistant's Cutcherry, but as it required some alterations, which the Department of Public Works had not executed, Mr. Hathaway and I continued to draw extra tentage as usual; bills for the same being regularly passed by the Board of Revenue before the post-audit system came into force. On my promotion from Salem to act as Sub-Collector at Nursapur, I find that a public bungalow, smaller than the one placed at my disposal when Head Assistant, had been made over to me, but that additions were required before it could accommodate my office, and that my predecessor has, meanwhile, been obliged to provide quarters for some of his clerks. This state of things was precisely similar to that existing in Salem with this additional fact in my favor, that although I was now Acting Sub-Collector, and requiring more accommodation for my office than a Head Assistant, the Government had placed at my disposal a building smaller than the one in Tripatore, and therefore, as I was entitled to extra tentage in Salem, I must be "*a fortiori*" entitled to it in Nursapur. I wish further to submit that the Nursapur public bungalow is in itself unfit for the office of the Sub-Collector. It contains only one small low room, it lies in the middle of the native town in a sandy tract with scarcely a tree near it, and shut out from fresh air by the houses which surround it.

2. If no Government money is available for the purpose, there would be no difficulty in getting a native merchant here to build me a suitable office for a moderate monthly rent. I respectfully beg that the Board will be pleased to take this proposal into consideration, and at all events, either to provide me with a building fit for my office, or place my tentage allowances on the same footing as those of the Head Assistant Collector of Salem.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. FRASER,
Collector.

1. Pending the execution of the work necessary to render the old Public Bungalow available for the Sub-Collector's Office, the Board authorize the Sub-Collector to draw Extra Tentage at Nursapur, he providing the requisite accommodation for the establishment and records.

2. The Board request the Collector's opinion as to the possibility of making the Bungalow fit for the proposed purpose, and wish to see a ground plan of the building. The position as described seems also very unsuitable.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Secretary.

To the Collector of the Godavery District.

Copy to the Accountant General.

Exd. J. Wright.

Public Branch Proceedings
Extract Proceedings
No. 7441 dated 16th October
1866. Department.
Rev. 3688/66

No.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 19th April 1866.

No. 2,739.

Authorizing Sub-Collector of Godavery district to draw Extra Tentage at Nursapur pending construction of a record room to old public bungalow at that station ordered to be converted into an Office, and requesting Collector to forward a ground plan of the building.

Rev. 3rd Mar. 1866 Mad. Rec.

No. 7,441.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 16th October 1866.

Read the following letter from J. FRASER, Esq., Collector of the Godavery District, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 18th September 1866, No. 222:—

1. I have the honor to state that Mr. Webster, not satisfied with the Board's decision, expressed in their Proceedings dated 9th ultimo, No. 5,508, regarding the extra tentage claimed by him, has made an appeal against it to Government.

2. Copy of his letter I beg to enclose for the Board's information.

---

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From E. F. WEBSTER, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector of the Godavery District, to the Collector of the Godavery District, dated 14th September 1866, No. 136.

1. I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, No. 5,508, dated August 9th, 1866, returning unaudited a bill for extra tentage submitted by me to your office, and forwarded by you on the 7th of May last.

2. For reasons which are fully set forth below, I beg to submit, through you, an appeal to Government against the refusal of the Board to pass this bill, as well as against the personal imputation raised against me in paragraph 1 of the Proceedings quoted above.

3. I believe that I am fully entitled to draw extra tentage at Nursapur from the date of my joining the Godavery Sub-Division in August 1865; because the Board of Revenue, in their Proceedings, No. 2,739, of the 19th April 1866, have admitted the justness of my claim, on the express ground that this allowance had been drawn, under their sanction, by the Head Assistant of Salem, where a state of things existed precisely similar to the position in which I have been placed ever since I first took charge of this Sub-Division, in August 1865.

4. Upon receipt of this order, I sent in a bill for extra tentage from the day I joined at Nursapur, and I confidently affirm that I was justified in doing so, both by the terms of the Board's order, and also as a matter of common sense, because the circumstances which justified my drawing extra tentage from the 19th April had existed ever since I joined the district. And therefore, I submit, that the decision of the Board, contained in their Proceedings, No. 5,508, of 9th August 1866, that I was entitled to draw extra tentage only since the 19th April is unjust, as it withholds from me a portion of an allowance to which they have already decided that I am entitled.

5. I beg, further, to submit that the following words, used by the Board of Revenue in their Proceedings, No. 5,508, of 9th August, "that the public bungalow was occupied by my predecessor for some months without any claim of the sort being put forward," are unfair to me in two ways. *First*, because they are calculated to raise the erroneous impression that I was over eager to claim a personal allowance, to which I was not fairly and honorably entitled by the rules of the service: an imputation, the justness of which I wholly deny. And *secondly*, because, when used as an argument against my right to draw extra tentage, they are not to the point, for, it is clear that whatever my predecessor's opinion or conduct may have been in the matter, it is illogical to prejudice me by it.

6. I feel confident that Government will acquit me of any over-eagerness to claim allowances, and will endorse my statement, that, under the circumstances which I have detailed above, I was perfectly justified in submitting, to the Board of Revenue, the tentage bill which has given rise to the present appeal.

7. And, in conclusion, I beg to state with reference to the Board's remark, that the Nursapur "public bungalow was occupied for some months by my predecessor," that this building consists of one small room 30 feet by 7' 9", and therefore falls far short of what is necessary for the proper housing of the Sub-Collector's Cutcherry, and indeed it is physically impossible that my establishment could be lodged within it, and I have, therefore, always provided accommodation for my office in my own compound. Whether or not my predecessor did at any time hold his Court there, I am unable to say. But when I relieved him, I found that the Sub-Collector's private

Mad. Rec. Proceedings
17-9-1866

bungalow had been converted into an office, that my predecessor held Court there daily, and that the public bungalow was completely filled with office records. This building is upwards of eight hundred yards from my house, it lies in the heart of the native town, is built on a sandy tract with scarcely a tree in its vicinity, and is shut out from every fresh breeze by the native huts which surrounded it.

8. I enclose copies of the Board's Proceedings, No. 2,739, of the 19th April 1866, No. 5,508, of the 9th August 1866, to which I have referred in the course of this letter, and I request that you will be good enough to forward the whole correspondence to Government, with the expression of my earnest hope that I may be relieved from the galling imputation of having done any thing in the matter unworthy of a member of the service to which I belong, and for such further orders in the case as the Government may be pleased to pass.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. FRASER,
Collector.

---

1. The Board observe that Mr. Fraser should not have submitted Mr. Webster's appeal *direct* to Government. It should have been forwarded through the Board.

2. With reference to the appeal, the Board will merely remark that they had no intention of casting any imputation on Mr. Webster. They simply did not consider him entitled to more than the Proceedings quoted sanctioned, and they alluded to his predecessor, that Mr. Webster might perceive that he had been already liberally dealt with. The orders of Government against permitting officers to draw permanently, Rupees 157-8-0 monthly merely for providing a little office accommodation to their Cutcherries are unmistakeable, and the Board desired to give effect to these orders as far as possible.

(True Copies and Extract.)

W Balgrave
Sub-Secretary.

To the Collector of the Godavery District.

Copy to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Exd. J. Wright

27 Sept 1866 - 668

Rev. Dept. 1866.
Proc. of Govt. for Revenue Department.
No. 3710-

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 16th October 1866.
Cuddapah
No 22.

Read Proceedings of Board of Revenue No 2979 - 8 October 1866

No. 7,441.

Observing that Collector of Godavery district should not have submitted direct to Government appeal of Mr Webster, Acting Sub Collector, against Board's orders regarding extra tentage claimed by him.

Rev. Dept.

---

Godavery District
No. 165. Sub Collectorate
Narsapur
9th October 1866

From

E. F. Webster Esqr
Actg Sub Collector

To

James Fraser Esqr
Collector of the
Godavery District

Sir.

In reply to your docket dated 6th Instant giving Cover to Proceedings of the Madras Government Revenue Department dated 27th September 1866 No. 66, I have the honor to report that I have converted a Bungalow situated in my Compound into an office and that this building has been wholly devoted to this purpose ever since I joined the Sub Division and within its walls all my clerks have been accommodated and all the work of my office has been

1866 Dec 6th.

Inclosing letter from the Collector Customs Dublin To the Secretary to Government Read 7th

Public Works

Dated 13 October 1866

Read

No 27 Rec. 3/12/66.

No

Pros. 3rd Nov 1866 No 158.

Copy of Mr. Webster's letter dated 9th Oct. Regarding his Office Building.

Public Works

1858


# SKETCH

*Shewing the site & neighbouring houses of the Old Travellers Bungalow.*

NARSAPUR

Scale 1. Ch to an Inch or 100. Ft to an Inch

1.Ch 50 Ft 0 1.Ch 2.Ch 3.Ch 4.Ch 5.Ch 6.Ch 7.Ch 8.Ch




*Martair*
*26th July. 1866.*

*F.W. Rundall*

*Executive Engineer*
*Nos III. Range. G Dist*

1866 Sept.

Inclosed from the Collector Godavery Dt.
To the Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Madras

Dated 6 Augt 1866

Rec.d
on 189 Rev=3688/66
no


Jud No 2760/66

Sketch shewing the Site & neighbouring Canal of the old Travellers Bungalow at Narsapur -

From 3d November 1866 to 56


# OLD TRAVELLERS BUNGALOW
AT
NARSAPUR

Scale 8. Feet =1.Inch




LONGITUDINAL
HALF SECTION THRO A.B

TRANSVERSE
HALF SECTION THRO C.D



F. W. Rundall.

Executive Engineer.
No III. Range. G. Dist.

Martair
6th July 1866.

Re a letter from the Collector
Backergunge District
to the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue Calcutta
dated 6th August 1866
Read
No 159 Rev-3688/66
Do

Rights of the old Tenants
Bengal vest at Nuddea

Proc. 3rd Nov. 166 Dec. 56

Mr. Webster pays 70 Rs for his house & Bungalow — deducts the Salter adv of his Offices & cash pd. & let him draw Extra Tentage Rs 157½ monthly! Suppose him to be out for seven months in Each year, he would get (6 × 157½ =) Rs 945 or Rs 140 more than the Rent of house & bungalow for the year! The Rs 35 seems to me very fair — Mr. W's feelings seem to be sensible to I O S —

Mr. Webster ci wrote to Comm'd. Star Hotel for Col ci's affair & Comm'd. Star Hotel for Col ci's affair & Rama is now with Lake in Mr. W's absence Rama is now with Lake in Mr. W's absence Govt's permission: Mr. W's clerk. Govt's permission: Mr. W's clerk. Thos Kinniscal room 30 Oct 19. the Plan matter, ci 17th 9. Thos Kinniscal room 30 Oct 19. the Plan matter, ci 17th 9.

Rev: 1866 Septr for Novr
Pro of Govt No. 3782.

from The Collector
Cuddapah Dt.

To The Secretary to Govt
Revenue Dept.
Int[illegible]

Dated 13th Octr 1866
Recd 22d.
No 27
Encls 1
No

[illegible]

Forwarding Copy of
Mr Webster's letter
affording certain
information regarding
his Office Building.

[illegible] No. 2979 - 3d November

[illegible]

Ro 27th September 1866 No. 8670 [illegible]

# It is not, as Mr W. seems to put it, a subject of grievance that the late Pub[lic] Bungalow should be 800 yards from Mr W's private residence. Half a mile is a very short distance to go on one's way to daily office. Neither is it a grievance that the P.B. should be in the middle of the Town. The Cutcherry at Nellore is so situated - so it is at Salem & may be in other places w[hich] I cannot immediately recall to memory. - The bulk of the S. Collr's words seem to be all [illegible]. I would order the standard orders in which [illegible] of work to be at once enforced, in view to their early reduction. Mr Fraser should have seen to a matter of this kind in the discussion of the duties belonging to his position as the Head of the Dept &c. - Mr W. [illegible] has not been [illegible] the dimensions of the Bungalow nor what he has been doing his work.

[illegible] Rev

No. 58

2979. 3rd Board/66

From the papers returned
it appears that Mrs E.
J. Webster pays the rent
of the P.O. premises for the
house and Garden also
the taxes he decides to
pay the future. Rent being
sufficient
Notice accordingly.
Letter at the Statutes - to
the claim Extra Lent
of Rs 157/4 monthly/ allo
the year Rent. Dr. By the
arrangement to this Statute
Mrs Webster pays the

[illegible] for half the year, he
should [illegible] receive more
for the use of the Bungs.
but when there the keys
do yearly [illegible] for both
[illegible] and Bung alone
[illegible] the [illegible] of the.
[illegible] by [illegible] 35 R
[illegible] for the
[illegible] Bung alone a very fair
arrangement and one

according [illegible]
order [illegible]
the [illegible] for the Re-
[illegible] of a Plan the Grants
for the Cut Cherry for the
Left [illegible] The Bill hitherto
[illegible] and Key I sketch the
Plan or Map showing the
proposed Line for the Cut
Cherry - and the Key while
to the case that the Line
is selected for Light Rail
Report of the Conference of

the people and not solely

[illegible] the reference of [illegible]

the [illegible] ×

The Colleges should, in

[illegible] [illegible] to [illegible], and [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] of [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible]

× The [illegible] of [illegible]

for the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

at the same time be con-

sidered. [illegible] [illegible]

Measures should be at once

adopted for [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

records.

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] the Colleges [illegible]

Revenue 1866 Despt
Pro of Govt for Nov.

1370

On Rs. 85 @ 4 - 2 - 6
330 - 1 @ 18 - 12 - 6
133 - 4 @ 18 - 12 - 6
238 - 9 @ 16 - 1 - 6
522 - 3 @ 31 - 1 - 6

No 2979.

of the Madras
Government
dy 3d Novr 1866.

Pro of the Board of Rs
dy 10 June /67 C No 1

Pro Board of Revd
28 Oct /67 C No 2999

No 5659

D O Works to pro:
pose and estimate for the
erection of the Salt Golahs
Cutcherry at Narsapur
Rent of Rs 35 for the
Office accommodation
provided by Mr Webster
Sanctioned in lieu of
extra tentage. —