M.R.O. 14—18,000—27-9-24

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 2640 Press ~~Mis.~~

36.37

Dated 3 — 10 — 1866.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 36. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th September 1866, No. 6,484.

Read the following letter from the Collector of the Kistna District, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 13th August 1866, No. 281:—

1. With reference to the Order of Government, dated 25th June last, on Petition No. 1,396 of 1866, (herewith returned,) presented by Woondatun Nissa Begum Saheba, widow of the late Nabob Hussain Ali Khan Bahadur of Masulipatam, I have the honor to submit the following brief report.

2. On the death of the Petitioner's husband, who was in the receipt of a monthly pension of Rupees 419-6-0, her elder son, Sadulla Khan, was recognized as head of the family, and two-thirds of the deceased's pension, or Rupees 280, were renewed in his name, agreeably to the Orders of Government, dated 15th July 1854, for the support of himself and his family.

3. The pension was divided among the several members of the family as shewn below, and has since been enjoyed by them:—

	Rs.
1*st*.—Sadulla Khan, as head of the family	90
2*nd*.—Suban Ali Khan	50
3*rd*.—Nuruddeen Ali Khan	50
4*th*.—Russeed-ood-deen Ali Khan...	50
5*th*.—Their three sisters, at 10 Rupees each ...	30
6*th*.—Their maternal aunt's son	10
Total...	280

4. The four sons used to pay to Petitioner 7 Rupees each a month out of their respective shares for her support.

5. On the night of 1st November 1864, Petitioner's second son, Suban Ali Khan, was killed in the cyclone with his family.

6. The recognized head of the family claimed to incorporate with his share the whole amount of the pension enjoyed by his deceased brother, while the other members of the family prayed that it might be ratably divided amongst them.

7. This question was referred to the representative of the late Masulipatam Nabob, who reported that, according to the usual practice observed in his family, the pension of the deceased should go to Sadulla Khan, as senior surviving member. I passed orders accordingly, and on appeal by the other members, the Board confirmed my decision.—*Vide* Board's Proceedings, 9th October 1865, No. 6,358.

8. The Petitioner's request that it should be divided among all the surviving members has, therefore, been already disposed of.

9. Her second request that the sum of Rupees 7, formerly paid by her deceased son, should be ordered to be paid to her on the receipt of her third and fourth sons, was granted, and orders were accordingly issued to Sadulla Khan, the head of the family. She has, therefore, now no grounds of complaint.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
3rd October 1866.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

1. Submitted to Government, with reference to their endorsement on the Petition in question.

2. It is observed that Petitioner states in her Petition that she has not received the additional allowance of Rupees 7 from her eldest son, but it is presumed that the necessary orders have now been issued by the Collector.

No. 37. ORDER THEREON, 3rd October 1866, No. 2,640.

Miscellaneous.

The following Endorsement will be passed on Petition 1,396 of 1866:—

"The orders of the Collector deciding that the pension of the deceased Suban Ali Khan should revert to the head of the family are approved. The Collector will direct him to pay Rupees 7 per mensem out of the amount to the Petitioner."

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
the Petition Department.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

W—2640.

The following endorsement will be passed on petn 1396 of 1866

The orders of the Collector deciding that the pension of the deceased Subhan Ali Khan should revert to the head of the family are approved The Collector will direct him to pay Rs 7 per mensem out of the amount to the petr

No Copies to the Bd of Rev Cesp
1 — Petitioner Depts

Rs 7 N.

3d Oct 1866