

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 240

Press
~~Mis.~~

473.474

Dated

26-1-1866.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
26th January 1866.*

No. 473. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 22nd December 1865.

Read the following letter from the Collector of South Arcot, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cuddalore, 15th December 1865, No. 284 :—

1. With reference to the Orders of Government, 27th November last, No. 2,922, communicated through the Board, in the case of Govinda Row, I request to be informed up to what date and at what rate the arrears of salary are to be paid to the late Tahsildar. I presume a moiety, and up to the 1st of the current month.

2. I beg to add my deep regret at the decision of Government, and especially that I am made to appear as the responsible Officer in the matter.

3. Government never had a more respectable public servant in their employ, and one whose character for integrity has ever been unimpeached; and if it were practicable, I would still, with all due deference, urge a re-consideration of the present decision, which simply entails ruin on the family of a man, who has for thirty-one years honestly served the Government, and his father before him.

4. As I am made the immediate cause of so great an injury to a family so highly respected in the District, I may be pardoned for again urging Govinda Row's case on the attention of the Board in view, if possible, to their further advocacy on his behalf with the Government.

1. Submitted for the orders of Government, and recommended for favorable consideration.

2. It is evident that Mr. Reade expressed himself more strongly regarding Govinda Row in the first instance than he is now prepared to justify; and it would seem from the Government Order of 14th July 1865, No. 1,614, Revenue Department, that Govinda Row was displaced from office under the impression that he was then entitled to pension, without the condition of a medical certificate of physical incapacity.

3. The G. O. 15th September 1865, No. 2,217, permitted him to retain office to the end of that month.

4. For the sake of the public service the Board would greatly regret that a Tahsildar, who has obtained so marked a reputation for integrity and purity of conduct, should be dismissed in disgrace after thirty-one years' service, without any pension, in consequence of delay in disposing of one of a class of cases, which it has been too generally the custom to subordinate to other business of a more essentially public character. Under these circumstances the Board respectfully submit that the punishment is excessive, however objectionable the practice referred to.

No. 474. ORDER THEREON, 26th January 1866, No. 240.

Miscellaneous.

In consideration of Govinda Row's high character for integrity, the Government will not object to his employment as a Gumashta.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

„ the Accountant General.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

From the Bd of Rev. 22 Dec. 1865.

The Board misstate the case of
Mr. Marshall. He has not been
dismissed in consequence of delay in dis-
posing of a case, he "one of a class of
cases"; and as only 15 years of his
service for 31 years can be accepted
as such service as the rules require
for pension; I do not quite understand
why the "31 years" period is being kept
upon by the Board.

The Ithaca? as I comprehended the paper,
which I have carefully examined, was
ordered to be placed on the out-of-employment
list, with the usual retiring allowance,
for general delinquency, &c. had been
the subject of frequent admonitions,
and it was declared by the Col. who
has known him for some years, that it
was a hopeless task to expect of him
punctuality or obedience in the conduct
of his duties.

When reading the [?] Mr Neade's
communication, I made due allowance
for his poor & flimsy & ordinarily
despise that Officer's production, but
later these off & there remain. The
awkward facts & no subsequent qua-
lification can alter the character. -

Either Mr Neade has stated that
it is not, or these facts remain -

It is unlikely for the Throdder that
he has not established a claim to pension,
but looking to the interests of the
State, which ought not to be our redoubt
& more of the man, I think he may
deserve what he has unfortunately got.
and I am unable to modify the
views I stated in some remarks
on a paper, & in which H. & the Post
& the Noble the people concerned.

I have never seen any good
work done by an old Throdder

after his reduction from the highest
grade in the division to a subordinate
post in it - and looking to the more
important work it now does by
on a Salook Larikbladar, compared
with the mere scriptory duties it
then used to perform prior to the
new codes coming into operation,
I don't think properly of awarding
to the Bds proposal to convert a
lazy Throdder into what I feel
Salook will prove to be something
of the same kind in the grade next in
rank to a Throdder.

The Board's object is no doubt ach-
vitable one. As Salook Larikbladar
the man will crawl on by effluence
of him, or by a pair of simulators. The
complaint is he has snarled the
unsuccessfully, been alleging his decline
of, will create a little amount of
dispendium.

It strikes me that a Throdder

11.1.66

This ~~case~~ has been several times before Jots. & stands thus, a Tahildar is guilty of excessive delay in reporting on a Gurmiah letter - For this, per se, Jot. would probably have considered a fine or suspension from office to pay sufficient punishment - Frequently - in the case of Jots & other servants a censure has been deemed enough.

But the Coler. - having some years personal knowledge of the man - declares that he has always

Wm. H. Davis

been dilatory to a degree amount-
ing almost to absolute disquali-
fication for office - that he has
never been degraded without effect
and that improvement is almost
hopeless!

With this opinion before them - &
seeing that the Tahsildar had
31 years service, the Jmt. had no
alternative but to place him
on the pension ^{list} or sacrifice the
public interests.

The former course was adopted
and then it proved that the Tah-
sildar's service in pension grades
was only 15 years & that being phy-
sically capable of more work, he
could ^{get neither pension nor} ~~only get~~ a gratuity. ~~definitely~~

and report the result - for with
them have been many like evidence
of his fitness or incapacity for office.

The Jmt. are now for the
second time asked to show
mercy on the man & not
throw him adrift after 31 years
service. He is said to have
earned a ^{high} character for in-
tegrity & purity of conduct
and the Cms. in the first ^{instance} wrote
of him in stronger terms than
he should have used!

Mr. Reade is unfortunately
rather fond of fine writing
and perhaps under all
the circumstances ^{for}
will be disposed to
give the Tahsildar the
benefit of his long service and
character for honesty to the extent
that the Bd. shall call for ^{scruti-}
nise the returns of his work for 6 months.

No doubt Mr. Reader is in the
habit of writing in exaggerated
terms, and then printing down
down can be placed on the
lips of a poor or servant - whether
for good or evil, than on that of a
man more accustomed to
weigh his words and not to fancy
that strong writing is good writing.
I would not take for a word
as a Thackeray writer any
circumstances, but I would
not object to make him a
Thackeray ^{2 full length portrait} on the subject.
- told by Mr. P. J. P.

and the Board would greatly regret
that a scholar who has obtained so
much reputation for integrity and
purity of conduct should be diminished in
disgrace after 31 years service without any
reason or consequence of delay in his
choosing of one of a class of cases which it
has been too generally the custom to
subordinate to other business of a more
essentially public character. Under the
circumstances the Board will not justify
submitting that the punishment is excessive
however

14 July/65 No. 227-8
15 July - 229-11
27 Nov - 513 514
23-2-94 (11/11)
12/4/84/11.

The pt. adhere
to their order of the
14 July & 27 Nov.
Cont No. 1614 & 292

No. 220.
In consideration
of Govinda Rao's high
character for in-
tegrity the pt. for
not being a
ment on a
for Govinda Rao - his con-
duct being referred to
at the end of that per

Per. 1803. Depart
1866
Pro. of Gov. of Lang. 1/66
W. 3.

Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue

Dated 22nd
Decr. 22 } December 1865.
Recd. 3rd 1866

No.

Recommending for favo-
rable consideration letter
from the Collector of
South Arcot urging a
reconsideration of the
case of Govinda Rao
late Tahsildar of
Cuddalore.

No. 240-26 Jan.
1866. With order