

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 232;	Press <u>Mis.</u>
457.458	
Dated	26 - 1 - 1866.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
26th January 1866.*

No. 457. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 22nd December 1865, No. 8,303.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Tanjore, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Vellum, 24th November 1865, No. 385:—

1. I have the honor to submit the information called for in the Proceedings of Government, dated the 19th September 1865, No. 2,256, communicated with the Board's Order of the 22nd idem.

Petition herewith returned.

2. With reference to paragraph 1 of the Order of Government, I beg to state, that of the eight Yeomiahdars referred to in paragraph 2 of my letter therein referred to, three have died since their allowances were stopped. The

* Kristnaiengar, 18th February 1864.
Ananta Josi, 4th August 1860.
Balakristna Josi, 19th May 1862.

dates of their death, as now ascertained on inquiry, are noted against their respective names* in the margin. The other five are reported to be alive, but

in the event of the continuance of their Yeomiahhs being sanctioned, the fact of their being the same parties as were in receipt of the payments they claim, will be ascertained on personal examination and comparison with the original Register in this Office, which contains a full description of their persons. This in fact is invariably done on every occasion on which orders are passed for the usual periodical payment to a Yeomiahdar.

3. I would here beg to add, that in my report on the Petition under consideration, I did not intend to recommend the continuance of all the eight Yeomiahhs without a further report. I simply referred to all of them, because all of them were of the same description, and had been stopped under the same circumstances.

4. As directed in paragraph 2 of the Government Order, I enclose herewith translations of two Memoranda from the Sarkhele of His Highness the late Rajah, dated the 19th December 1850, and 24th December 1852, and which are the latest found on the records; from which it will be seen, that the Yeomiahdars in question are therein mentioned as Astronomers compiling Almanacs in the "Circar," (meaning the Rajah's Circar,) thereby shewing that it was for the use of the Rajah or his establishment that they were performing the service. The Agent of Her Highness Kamakshiamba Bayi Saheb, the senior widow of the late Rajah, to whom I made a reference on the subject, has intimated that the five survey Yeomiahdars, as also the representatives of the other three who have deceased, are still performing the said service "for the benefit of the Palace." On this point, however, I would beg to refer the Board to their letter to Government, dated the 11th September 1848, (paragraph 2,) and the orders of Government thereon, dated the 26th idem, No. 1,057.

5. With reference to paragraph 3 of the Government Order under reply, I beg to observe, that the Yeomiahdars in question have not been remiss in urging their claim to the allowances which have been stopped. On a search made into the records of this office, five Petitions bearing different dates have been found. The earliest of these Petitions, however, is dated the 13th April 1860, and bears the signatures of six of the Yeomiahdars in question, including two of those deceased,

& the
 satisfied of their identity.
 But - ~~cannot~~ from the paper now circulating
 and from my own personal experience
 of Layton & Co's Palace affair, I may
 safely say that the Publishers have
 altogether failed in attempting their
 scheme to take steps for demanding
 payment of the enormous amount - subsequent
 to the 19th of May 1856, and date - it appears
 from the paper, that Mr Col. H. Forbes
 paid to two of them the sum of £1000
 they were indebted to the other. -

date - 20.1.66. This is the sum of the Government in arrears.

No written order to cease pay of these
Yeomahs, or for them, and if any such
order to the Sarkhele, was ever given
and acted on after the death of the late
Raja, the com. person connected
with the Sarkhele and the representa-
tive of Govt. on the spot, have been so
continuous & those that, beyond doubt,
they would have appealed to
either the Spec. Comr., the Resident, the
Agent or the Collector.
The duty of the Yeomahdar unit, I
apprehend have ceased to be performed
on the Rajah's person
and with that, they would have ceased
that their allowance ceased. I think
it is, I conclude that they have only
recently obtained the sanction of renewal.
But whether or not, the principle of
not paying Yeomahs & other charitable
allowances in arrears, after a death of 4
years, that, I consider, operate in the present
case & prevent their receiving arrears
from any date prior to ^{the 13th April 1860} ~~the 13th April 1860~~ it is
stated by the law to be the Sarkhele date
on which they should have demanded
payment of their allowance - from that +

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant General.

Erd. S. T. Augustin.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 22nd December 1865.

Read the following letter from G. L. MORRIS, Esq., Collector of Tanjore, to R. A. DAL-
YELL, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Vellum, 24th November 1865,
No. 385:—

1. I have the honor to submit the information called for in the Proceedings of Govern-
ment, dated the 19th September 1865, No.
2,256, communicated with the Board's Order
of the 22nd idem.
Petition herewith returned.
2. With reference to paragraph 1 of the Order of Government, I beg to state, that of the
eight Yeomahdars referred to in paragraph 2 of my letter therein referred to, three have died
since their allowances were stopped. The dates of their death, as now ascertained on inquiry,
are noted against their respective names* in
the margin. The other five are reported to be
alive, but in the event of the continuance of
their Yeomahs being sanctioned, the fact of their being the same parties as were in receipt of
the payments they claim, will be ascertained on personal examination and comparison with the
original Register in this Office, which contains a full description of their persons. This in fact
is invariably done on every occasion on which orders are passed for the usual periodical payment
to a Yeomahdar.
* Kristnaiengar, 18th February 1864.
Ananta Josi, 4th August 1860.
Balakristna Josi, 19th May 1862.
3. I would here beg to add, that in my report on the Petition under consideration, I did
not intend to recommend the continuance of all the eight Yeomahs without a further report.
I simply referred to all of them, because all of them were of the same description, and had been
stopped under the same circumstances.
4. As directed in paragraph 2 of the Government Order, I enclose herewith translations of
two Memoranda from the Sarkhele of His Highness the late Rajah, dated the 19th December
1850, and 24th December 1852, and which are the latest found on the records; from which it
will be seen, that the Yeomahdars in question are therein mentioned as Astronomers compiling
Almanacs in the "Circular," (meaning the Rajah's Circular,) thereby showing that it was for the use
of the Rajah or his establishment that they were performing the service. The Agent of His
Highness, Kemadashirama Dayasandhu, the senior widow of the late Rajah, to whom I made a re-
ference on the subject, has intimated that the five survey Yeomahdars, as also the representa-
tives of the other three who have deceased, are still performing the said service "for the benefit
of the palace." On this point, however, I would beg to refer the Board to their letter to Go-
vernment, dated the 11th September 1848, (paragraph 2,) and the orders of Government thereon,
dated the 26th idem, No. 1,057.
5. With reference to paragraph 3 of the Government Order under reply, I beg to observe,
that the Yeomahdars in question have not been remiss in urging their claim to the allowances
which have been stopped. On a search made into the records of this office, five Petitions bear-
ing different dates have been found. The earliest of these Petitions, however, is dated the 13th
April 1860, and bears the signatures of six of the Yeomahdars in question, including two of
those deceased, viz., Ananta Josi and Balakristna Josi. Previous to 1860, the affairs of the Tan-
jore palace were in a very unsettled state, and these Yeomahdars, erroneously imagining that
their Yeomahs were in some way connected with the palace, kept quiet till that time.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

ABSTRACT.—Translation of a Mahratta Memorandum from the Sarkhele, to the Resident.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

ABSTRACT.—Translation of a Mahratta Memorandum from the Sarkhele, to the Resident.

ENCLOSURE No. 3.—Petition.

Submitted to Government with reference to their Proceedings, dated 19th September 1865.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Acting Sub-Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.,
with three enclosures, and a Petition.

Copy to G. L. Morris, Esq., Collector of Tanjore.

Erd. J. Wright.

No. 19 of 1850-1851
6. of 1852-1853

26. of 1854-1855

PP. 1855. Department.
of 1854-1855

No. 70.
PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

23-1-53

Dated 22nd December 1855.
22nd 1855
22nd 1855
23. 02. 02. 02.

No. 8,303.

22nd 1855: 25 Jan
With Order

Submitting letter from the Collector of Tan-
jore furnishing information on certain points
regarding the claims of Atmaran Josi and
others to certain Yeoniah pensions.

22nd 1855.

7th. Order

71-232

The Governor in Council observe
that no satisfactory excuse has
been given for the delay shown
by the Comptroller in attending
in these proceedings in ability to
present the claims of these persons
The is accordingly made to
Sanction payment of the amount
which is recommended for the
13th April 1856, the earliest date
on which any such application
was received by the Collector.
The Comptroller is to be paid the
money from the 13th April 1856
from the 13th April 1856.