

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 21	Press Mis.
41-42	
Dated	4-1-1866.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
4th January 1866.*

No. 41. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 14th December 1865, No. 8,001.

Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of the Kistna District, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 21st November 1865, No. 238 :—

With reference to the Board's endorsement of Petition No. 222 of 1865, presented to Government by Raja Sakara Chinna Venkaya, inhabitant of Chajerla, Herewith returned.

in Rajapett Talook, I have the honor to report that the claim of the Petitioner regarding the Pagoda Inám was already disposed of by the Board in their Proceedings of the 15th December 1864, No. 8,043.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

Resolved that a copy of the Board's Proceedings already recorded, with reference to the Pagoda Inám alluded to in Government Petition No. 2,112 of 1865, herewith returned, be submitted for the information of Government.

No. 42. ORDER THEREON, 4th January 1866, No. 21.

Miscellaneous.

The following endorsement will be passed on Petition 2,112 of 1865 :—

In the order referred to by the Petitioner, the Government intended to direct that land equivalent in value to that which had been resumed by the Zemindar should be granted to the Pagoda, and as this appears to have been done no further orders are called for.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Petition Department.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 15th December 1864.

Read the following Endorsement from G. THORNHILL, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 12th October 1864, No. 228, on Petition No. 1,644 of 1863, presented to Board by RAJASAKARA CHINNA VENKAYA of Chajerla in Rajahpetta Taluk :—

1. On reference to the old correspondence, it appears that there were formerly 21 Kuchels of Inam land, granted about 400 years previously, for the support of the Pagoda at Chajerla.

2. After many years continuance, the Mulrauze Zemindar commuted into a money allowance of 40 Pagodas a year, equal to 154 Rupees, 13 Kuchels and 12 Veesums of land forming part of the above Inam. It was thus paid till the year 1826, when Mulrauze Lutchmiah assigned a village of Cotlagunta, in lieu of the same allowance. In the year 1833, after the Mulrauze estates had fallen under the Zuffy management of Government officers, the then Collector of the late Guntur District recommended to resume the village, and to pay money allowance again at an increased rate of Rupees 199-5-9, in consideration of the then scarcity of grain. This was confirmed and sanctioned by the Board on 18th July 1833.

3. The same increased allowance was paid by Government up to 1856, the Mulrauze estates having reverted to Government in 1846. After some correspondence, the Government* decided, in

* Extract from Minutes of Consultation, dated 7th May 1857, No. 429.

1857, that the money allowance should be discontinued; and that an equivalent in land of fair value be assigned to the extent resumed by the Zemindar, as shown hereunder :—

Allowance to be discontinued from Fasli 1267.			Land to be assigned in lieu of the extent of		
	RS.	A. P.	Kuchels.	Vissums.	
Pagoda at Chajerla	199	5 1	13	12	

4. The money allowance was accordingly resumed, and only 6 Kuchels and 14 Veesums of land of the value of 154 Rupees, the sum formerly paid by the Zemindar, was assigned to the Pagoda from the Ryots' holdings.

5. The Petitioner claims the assignment of 13 Kuchels and 12 Veesums of land in full. It does not appear, from the accounts, what the value of the 13 Kuchels and 12 Veesums of land resumed was; and so it is not possible to say whether or not the 6 Kuchels and 14 Veesums of land fairly represents the full value of the said 13 Kuchels and 12 Veesums. It would, however, appear that a money allowance of Rupees 199-5-1 a year was given by Government for a period of twenty-four years up to the year 1857, when it was commuted into land, but the land that was granted was of the value of Rupees 154 only, which sum appears to have been arbitrarily fixed by the Zemindar when resuming the lands. But on the second resumption of the village of Cotlagunta, the money allowance granted was Rupees 199-5-1, as stated above.

6. On a careful reading of the Government Order above quoted, it would seem to have been the intention of Government to assign 13 Kuchels and 12 Veesums of fair land without reference to the money value, and so to place the Inam on the same footing as it was before the resumption by the Zemindar. This was not done, but a smaller extent of land, equivalent to the money payment made by the Zemindar, was assigned. That payment was found inadequate, and was increased when the estate fell to the Government, and now it would appear just to assign either the original extent of land held, viz., 13 Kuchels and 12 Veesums, or land equivalent to the enhanced payment made by Government.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

The Board differ from the Collector as to the intention of the Extract from Minutes of Consultation, dated 7th May 1857, which he has quoted in the above endorsement. The Govern-

ment clearly point out, that previous to the permanent settlement, an allowance of Rupees 154 per annum was all that the Pagoda was entitled to, and that any additions to this allowance made subsequently, could not be admitted as binding on Government. The Board are, therefore, of opinion that, if land of the annual value of Rupees 154 was assigned in lieu of the allowance, the Petitioner has no good ground of complaint.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Sub-Secretary.

To G. Thornhill, Esq., Collector of the Kistna District,

Exd. J. Wright.

No. 8,001.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 14th December 1865.

Read the following letter from T. A. N. CHASE, Esq., Acting Collector of the Kistna District, to R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 21st November 1865, No. 238 :—

With reference to the Board's endorsement on Petition No. 222 of 1865, presented to Government by Raja Sakara Chinna Venkaya, inhabitant of Chajerla, in Rajapett Taluk, I

Herewith returned.

have the honor to report that the claim of the Petitioner regarding the Pagoda Inam was already disposed of by the Board in their Proceedings of the 15th December 1864, No. 8,043.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

Resolved that a copy of the Board's Proceedings already recorded, with reference to the Pagoda Inam alluded to in Government Petition No. 2,112 of 1865, herewith returned, be submitted for the information of Government.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Acting Sub-Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.,
with copy of Board's Proceedings, 15th December 1864, No. 8,043.

Copy to T. A. N. Chase, Esq., Acting Collector of the Kistna District.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

No.

1864.

Department

Dated 15th December 1864.

No. 8,043.

Observing that if land of the annual value of Rupees 154 was assigned, in lieu of money allowance for the support of the Pagoda at Chajerla, Petitioner in No. 1,644 of 1863, has no good ground of complaint.

Enquire the case of the 15th Dec 1864
to meet the case of the 15th Dec 1864
for the 15th Dec 1864
No. 8001-

Enquire the case of the 15th Dec 1864
to meet the case of the 15th Dec 1864
for the 15th Dec 1864
No. 8001-

Free Yellow 157. 7. 15 = 16

Recd 1865. Department.
no: 1865 for 2 Aug 1865.

No. 3592

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 14th December 1865.

2000 16-20

E-1-470⁺ = Good M. A. B. Johnson

No. 8,001.

Submitting copy of Board's Proceedings dated 15th December 1864, No 8643, on the subject of certain Pagoda Inam in Kistna district claimed by Petitioner in Government No. 2112 of 1865.

Nov 21-61 Janney.

With our Orders

820-21.

The following in deans and
will be placed in position 2112
17865

in the order required by the law

He was the Commission's director

That land again about the area.

~~that~~ which had been assumed

By the same day I should be glad

to the paper, and the appearance

10 I have been done as far then

or does ~~these~~ called for
David Davidson

18th Dec 1894

7

100-100-100