

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2175

Press
~~Mis.~~

577-578

Dated 22-8-1866.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

22/8/66

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
22nd August 1866.*

No. 577. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 7th August 1866, No. 5,450.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Salem, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 4th July 1866, No. 120:—

I have the honor to forward, in duplicate, the Deeds of sale of lands to the

No. of Title Deeds.	Names of purchasers of lands.
7 ...	J. Manikum Pillay.
8 ...	Bishop F. L. Bugnion.
9 ...	Bustian and Valayudum.
10 ...	Bishop F. L. Bugnion.
11 ...	Richard Hunter.
13 ...	E. H. Short.
16 ...	Richard Hunter.
17 ...	James Freeman.
18 ...	Sellyum Savury Uppen.
19 ...	Robert Fisher.
20 ...	Richard Hunter.

purchasers noted in the margin on the Shervaroy Hills, with the Maps attached thereto, in view to the seals of Government being affixed to them, according to paragraph 5 of the Subsidiary Instructions relating to the sale of waste lands, and to request you will be good enough to return the said Deeds at your earliest convenience. In Title Deed No. 9, I have been obliged to alter "his" into "their" throughout the document, as the property was bought jointly by two individuals Bustian and Valayudum.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 1 to 11.—Title Deeds in duplicate.

1. Ordered that the Title Deeds received with the above letter, with the exception of Nos. 9, 11, 16, and 20, be submitted for the seal of Government in accordance with Rule 5 of the "Subsidiary Instructions relating to the sale of "assessed waste on the Neilgherries, Shervaroys, and the Wynaad," recorded in the Proceedings of Government, No. 1,208, dated 6th July 1863.

2. The extent, purchase money, &c., of the properties, for which Title Deeds are now submitted to Government, are as follow:—

No. of the Title Deed.	Extent.	Annual assessment.			Purchase money.		
		RS.	A.	P.	RS.	A.	P.
7 ...	15.22	15	3	9	51	0	0
8 ...	18.02	18	0	0	95	0	0
10 ...	20.28	20	4	6	43	0	0
13 ...	5.59	5	9	0	10	0	0
17 ...	23.43	28	7	0	60	0	0
18 ...	2.16	2	2	7	60	0	0
19 ...	0.56	0	9	0	12	11	6

3. Title Deeds Nos. 13 and 18.—The names of the estates instead of only their numbers should have been mentioned in describing the boundaries of the properties for which these two Title Deeds are intended. The name of the purchaser in the attestation clause of Deed No. 18 is spelt differently from the mode adopted in the body of the Deed.

4. Title Deed No. 8.—The decimal places in the extent shewn in the plan require to be revised, or the extent in the Schedule should be written "Acres eighteen and nought two decimals or two hundredths."

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
22nd August 1866.*

5. The Title Deeds numbered 9, 11, 16, and 20 will be returned to the Collector for revision or renewal, as may be necessary, with reference to the following remarks.

6. In Nos. 9 to 11, the balance due, after deducting the deposit money, is apparently calculated wrong. In the first case, the balance should be Rupees 504, and not Rupees 447-5-4; and in the second case, it should be Rupees 171, instead of Rupees 150-2-1.

7. Nos. 16 and 20 are returned, as the boundaries written in the Schedules do not correspond exactly, and in some instances not at all with those shewn in the plans; for instance, in No. 16, the northern boundary is written in the Schedule simply as "No. 125," whereas it should have been added "or Lieutenant Norton's property." "No. 204, or Honorable J. B. Norton's jungle-block," would also appear to form a large portion of this boundary, and should not have been omitted in the Schedule.

No. 578. ORDER THEREON, 22nd August 1866, No. 2,175.

Land Revenue.

1. Of the seven Deeds forwarded with these Proceedings, three bearing Nos. 7, 17, and 19 will be sealed and returned.

2. The words *Collector's Office* omitted in the third page of one copy of Deed No. 19 should be entered therein.

3. The remaining four Deeds, Nos. 8, 10, 13, and 18, will be returned for alterations.

4. As regards Nos. 8, 13, and 18, the Collector will attend to the Board's remarks in paragraphs 3 and 4 of the foregoing Proceedings. The alteration indicated by the Board in paragraph 4 in respect to No. 8, if made in the Schedule, should also be made in the first page of the Deed, 31st line. It will also be pointed out to the Collector that the residence and occupation of the witnesses to the purchaser's signature in No. 13 have not been entered.

5. From the plan attached to No. 10, the eastern boundary of the property therein referred to would appear to be *Government land*, and 201 *Bishop Bugnion's property*, while in the Schedule of the Deed the former only is entered. The omission should be supplied.

6. If practicable, the Deeds may be altered in the manner now pointed out, the Collector's initials being attached to the alterations in each instance; otherwise fresh Deeds must be prepared and submitted.

7. The Collector's seals attached to the ends of the tapes, where broken, should be re-affixed.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue, with seven Deeds in duplicate.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

W-2175 *RD*
No. 2185 *Proceedings of the Madras Govt.*
Under date the 22nd August 1866.

Read the following
Pro. of the Board of Revenue

Submitting for seal of Govt.
Title Deeds for certain lands sold
on Sherwaroy Hills in Salem Dis.
tract, and returning four Title
Deeds to Collector with remarks.

(Here entered 22 Aug 1866)
No. 2185

Of the seven Deeds
forwarded with these
Proceedings, three bearing
Nos 7, 17 & 19 will be
sealed and returned
2. The words Collector's
office omitted in the
third page of one copy
of Deed No 19 should be
entered therein.

3. The remaining
four Deeds Nos 8, 10, 13
& 18 will be returned for
alterations.

4. As regards Nos 8, 13 & 18 the collector will attend to the Board's remarks in paras 3 and 4 of the foregoing Report. The alterations indicated by the Board in para 4 in respect to No 8, if made in the Schedule ^{to go} should also be made in the first page of the Deed 3rd line. It will also be pointed out to the collector that the residence and occupation of the witnesses to the purchaser's signature in No 13 have not been entered.

5. From the plan attached to No 10, the eastern boundary of the property therein referred to would appear to be Government Land and 201 Bishop Rugman's Property, while

in the Schedule of the Deed the former only is entered. The omission should be supplied.

6. If practicable the Deeds may be attested in the manner now pointed out, the collector's initials being attached to the alterations in each instance; otherwise fresh Deeds must be prepared and attested.

7. The collector's seals attached to the ends of the tapes, where broken, should be re-affixed.

17

14 Copies to the Office of the Receiver with 7 Deeds in duplicate Despatch

Revenue 1866 Re/ve
No of \$005 for Aug 1

(No 2175)

No of the Address
Government
8/22: August 1/66.

With Order for
Name of the Lots of Lands
sold on the 11th day of
March 1866 returned those
for the other lots returned
for alterations.

No 374-548