MADRAS R

s Government, Revenue Department,
d January 18

d 20th

retary

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 197	Press ~~Mis.~~
387. 388	
Dated 23 - 1 -	1866.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

387-88

No. 387. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 20th December 1865, No. 8,169.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Nellore, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 13th November 1865, No. 283 :—

1. Néknamkhan, younger brother of the present Tsundi Zemindar's grandfather, had three sons, named Devakara Naidu, Ankappa Naidu, and Pedda Kondala Naidu. In the list annexed to paragraph 5 of the agreement executed by the Zemindar, the eldest son, Devakara Naidu, is entered as drawing a monthly allowance of Rupees 20.

2. On the demise of Devakara Naidu, his allowance was registered in full jointly in the names of his widow Venkamma and brother, Ankappa Naidu, and some time after, Venkamma dying, the whole allowance was drawn by Ankappa Naidu.

3. It has been reported that this Ankappa Naidu died on the 4th December 1863, leaving behind him two widows named Lukshimi Amma, age thirty-five years, and Narsamma, age thirty-two years; together with a daughter by the latter, aged seven years.

4. The eldest widow presented a Petition, No. 1,73[illegible] 1864, claiming the entire allowance to be registered in her name. Subsequently, both the widows addressed another Petition, No. 2,266 of 1864, requesting that it may be registered jointly in their names.

5. The Tsundi Zemindar asserts a claim to reduce the allowance by a third, on the ground that the share of Devakara Naidu, who died without male issue, had lapsed. On the demise of Devakara Naidu, however, no change was made in the amount. Rupees 3,000 was deducted from the assets, as stated in my letter of to-day, No. 279, to enable the Zemindar to meet such claims; the claims being only Rupees 1,280; and the 6th clause of the agreement distinctly stating that such payments shall be discontinued only on the failure of heirs, I do not consider that the claim raised by the Zemindar, to diminish the allowance of Rupees 20, is entitled to any attention, and beg to recommend that the allowance be ordered to be continued to be paid to the claimants with arrears to date. As regards the delay in the disposal of this case, the Tahsildar's report on the subject, dated 25th April 1864, No. 4 (S.,) which was received before my present Sheristadar took charge, I find was mislaid, and having come to light in September last, an order, dated 9th idem, was issued, calling for further information, which has been received with Arzi, dated 3rd October last, No. 7, (S).

---

1. Submitted for the consideration and orders of Government, with the Board's recommendation that under the circumstances stated by the Collector, the entire allowance of 20 Rupees per mensem, enjoyed by the deceased, be continued in the joint names of his two widows and of his daughter until the latter's marriage, when her name can be removed. The arrears of pension from 5th December 1863, the day following that on which the last incumbent died, should also be disbursed to these heirs.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
23rd January 1866.

2. The particulars of the original grant are alluded to in the Collector's letter, referred to in paragraph 5 of his present communication, and which was submitted to Government with the Board's Proceedings, No. 7,998, dated 14th December 1865, recommending the continuance of another allowance from the same source as that from which the present is disbursed.

3. The Collector has been requested, in the Board's Proceedings above specified, to submit similar applications to the present in the form of a tabular statement, as prescribed in the Board's Circular Orders.

4. Mr. Dykes's explanation of the delay which occurred in this simple matter is not satisfactory. If a proper system of checking reports disposed of, and to be disposed of, had existed, this lengthened delay would not have taken place.

No. 388. ORDER THEREON, 23rd January 1866, No. 197.

Miscellaneous.

1. Ordered that the entire allowance of Rupees 20 be registered and continued in the joint names of the widows of the deceased and of his unmarried daughter, whose name can be removed after her marriage.

2. The delay, noticed by the Board, is very unsatisfactory.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant General.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 8,169.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 20th December 1865.

Read the following letter from J. W. B. DYKES, Esq., Collector of Nellore, to R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 13th November 1865, No. 283 :—

1. Néknamkhan, younger brother of the present Tsundi Zemindar's grand-father, had three sons, named Devakara Naidu, Ankapp Naidu, and Pedda K[illegible]ndala Naidu. In the list annexed to paragraph 5 of the agreement executed by the Zemindar, the eldest son Divakara Naidu is entered as drawing a monthly allowance of Rupees 20.

2. On the demise of Devakara Naidu, his allowance was registered in full jointly in the names of his widow Venkamma and brother, Ankappa Naidu, and some time after, Venkamma dying, the whole allowance was drawn by Ankappa Naidu.

3. It has been reported that this Ankappa Naidu died on the 4th December 1863, leaving behind him two widows named Lukshimi Amma, age thirty-five years, and Narsamma, age thirty-two years; together with a daughter by the latter, aged seven years.

4. The eldest widow presented a Petition, No. 1,737 of 1864, claiming the entire allowance to be registered in her name. Subsequently, both the widows addressed another Petition, No. 2,266 of 1864, requesting that it may be registered jointly in their names.

5. The Tsundi Zemindar asserts a claim to reduce the allowance by a third, on the ground that the share of Devakara Naidu, who died without male issue, had lapsed. On the demise of Devakara Naidu, however, no change was made in the amount. Rupees 3,000 was deducted from the assets as stated in my letter of to-day, No. 279, to enable the Zemindar to meet such claims; the claims being only Rupees 1,280; and the 6th clause of the agreement distinctly stating that such payments shall be discontinued only on the failure of heirs, I do not consider that the claim raised by the Zemindar, to diminish the allowance of Rupees 20, is entitled to any attention, and beg to recommend that the allowance be ordered to be continued to be paid to the claimants with arrears to date. As regards the delay in the disposal of this case, the Tahsildar's report on the subject, dated 25th April 1864, No. [illegible] (S.), which was received before my present Sheristadar took charge, [illegible] and was mislaid, and having come to light in September last, an order, dated 9th idem, was issued, calling for further information, which has been received with Arzi, dated 3rd October last, No. 7 (S.).

---

1. Submitted for the consideration and orders of Government, with the Board's recommendation that under the circumstances stated by the Collector, the entire allowance of 20 Rupees per mensem, enjoyed by the deceased, be continued in the joint names of his two widows and of his daughter until the latter's marriage, when her name can be removed. The arrears of pension from 5th December 1863, the day following that on which the last incumbent died, should also be disbursed to these heirs.

2. The particulars of the original grant are alluded to in the Collector's letter referred to in paragraph 5 of his present communication and which was submitted to Government with the Board's Proceedings, No. 7,998, dated 14th December 1865, recommending the continuance of another allowance from the same source as that from which the present is disbursed.

3. The Collector has been requested, in the Board's Proceedings above specified, to submit similar applications to the present in the form of a tabular statement, as prescribed in the Board's Circular Orders.

4. Mr. Dykes's explanation of the delay which occurred in this simple matter is not satisfactory. If a proper system of checking reports disposed of, and to be disposed of, had existed, this lengthened delay would not have taken place.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Acting Sub-Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.
Copy to J. W. B. Dykes, Esq., Collector of Nellore.

Exd. J. Wright.

Rev. 3591 of 65

Revenue 1865. Department.
Proc: of Govt for Jany: 66.

No. 3656.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 20th December 1865.

Dup 21-
Rd 22.

No. 8,169.

Recommending that the entire allowance of Rupees 20 drawn by the deceased Ankappa Naidoo be continued in the joint names of his two widows and daughter and that the arrears may also be disbursed to them.

No. 197. 23d Jany

Withdrawn

No 38 of 388.

Rep Jany 25 Rey Reg

No 197.

ordered that the entire allowance of Rs 20— be registered and continued in the joint names of the widows of the deceased and of his unmarried daughter whose name can be removed after her marriage

The delay noticed by the Board is very unsatisfactory

14 To the Bd of Revenue 24 Jan
6 Sect Govt