M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-9-24

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1959 Press ~~Mis.~~

96-97

Dated 6 – 8 – 1866.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department
6th August 1866.

No. 96. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 19th July 1866, No. 4,940:—

Read the following Proceedings of Government, dated 16th May 1866.

Read the following letter from the Inspector General of Police, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Madras, 10th May 1866, No. 736.

1. With reference to Government Order, dated 7th April 1866, No. 803, Revenue Department, I telegraphed some time ago to Ganjam to strengthen the permanent guards by twenty-five men, and also the temporary guards by the same number. This is all that can possibly be done under present circumstances. I must endeavour to adjust the increased demand from savings or otherwise. The Magistrate has stated that the District Force cannot be reduced or transferred to these State services.

2. The temporary guards are budgetted for four months. It will be requisite to accord sanction for their being employed up to 15th August.

3. I venture to bring the matter of Salt Guards generally before Government.

4. The fixed budgetted strength for permanent guards is 1,157 men, at a cost of Rupees 1,24,747, for the whole Presidency.

5. The temporary guards now budgetted for are [illegible] men, at a cost of Rupees 21,727, for four and six months of the year.

6. Under both heads, the number provided for is quite inadequate, and I cannot meet the reasonable demand for better protection.

7. I would venture to suggest that the expense of temporary and casual guards be transferred to the Salt Contingency Account. This would set at liberty Rupees 21,727.

8. If I am permitted to apply this sum to increasing the permanent guards, the present increasing difficulty would be met to some extent. It would enable me to employ one hundred and seventy-four more men on the permanent establishment all the year round.

Referred to the Board of Revenue for their observations.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

---

1. With the above Order, the Government refer, for the observations of the Board, a letter from the Inspector General of Police. This Officer suggests in paragraph 7 of his letter, that the expense of temporary and casual Salt Guards be transferred to the Salt Contingency Account, by which a sum of Rupees 21,727, provided for temporary guards in the Police Budget, would be set at liberty, for the purpose of increasing the permanent guards. The Board observe that the provision made in the Budget for 1866-67, on account of charges for the provision of salt, is only Rupees 12,09,857, while the actual expenditure on the same account, in 1865-66, was Rupees 12,43,448, being in excess of the sanctioned estimate of that year by Rupees 41,484. The cost of Police Guards for the Salt Department, amounting to no less than Rupees 21,727, can, therefore, hardly be met from the current year's provision for Salt Contingencies. It also appears to the Board that the expenses of Police Guards for the Salt Department cannot, under the present

rules, be properly charged to the Salt Contingencies, as they are properly debitable to the Police Department, as a Police charge. If any saving is expected from the provision made for Salt Contingencies, it may be transferred to the Police Budget; but even this course cannot be followed without the permission of the Government of India.

2. The Board, therefore, suggest that the Inspector General of Police should apply to the Government of India for any extra funds needed to meet the requirements of his Department.

No. 97. ORDER THEREON, 6th August 1866, No. 1,959.

Separate Revenue, No. 3.
Salt.

The Government are of opinion that the expenses of Police Guards cannot be charged to the Salt Contingencies.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Inspector General of Police.
„ the Accountant General.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

D. 4th April 66 No 54 [illegible]

Referred to the Bd of Rev for their observations

16/5/66. J. D. Sim
Secy to Govt

Rev 1866 Deptt
Draws No 1245
The Insp Genl of Police
To the Secy to Govt R. D.
Pro. Board of Rev dated 4th July 66 Ct 2194
Rec'd 11th 3 May 1866

136.

Suggests that the expense of temporary and casual guards be transferred to the Salt contingency account.

Received 16 May 1866.
Dept of Rev & Rd 87
Secretary

Wm Doeke

No. 1959

The Court are of opinion that the expenses of Police Guards cannot be ~~legitimately~~ charged to the Trust contingencies.

JG
6th August

To the Bd of Rev Despatch
4 — Supt Police
6 — Accts Genl

Pro. No. 59-60 07.4.66

...the above Order the ... Officer suggests in paragraph ... letter, ...
...from ... Inspector General of ... be transferred to the Salt ... Agency ... nt,
...sons of ... ry, and Cast... ... Temporary ... in the Police Budge... that be
...by ... ees 21 ... Permanent Guards. The S... observ... that the
...sanction ... the purpose ... for one provi... only
...provision made ... ... Rupees
...Rupees 2,09,357 ... The cost
...of Rs. 18, being in ... ing to ...
...Police Guards for the Salt Depa... ... Conference ... to the
...hardly be met from the cur... ... ...
...Board that the expenses of Police Guards for the ... to the Police
...be provided charged to the Salt Co... made for Salt
...Department ... Police charge... If any saving ... cannot be fol-
...Contingencies, ... may be transferred to ...
...ged ... Commission ... Government of ...
...Government ... the Inspector G... should apply to the
... meet the requirements of his Department.

W. Bulgin
Acting Secretary

... Secretary to ...

... J. Nelson.