M.R.O. 14-5,450—22-10-25.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1833 Press ~~Mis.~~

Dated 20-7- 1866.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 447. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 11th July 1866, No. 4,756.

Read Proceedings of the Board, dated 15th December 1865, No. 8,014.

Read the following letter from the Acting Collector of the Kistna District, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam, 20th June 1866, No. 214 :—

1. With reference to the Board's Official Memorandum, No. 1, and Proceedings of the 9th January and 9th February last, respectively, calling for detailed information in the case of the deaths of the two women referred to in the margin, I have the honor to forward, herewith, copy of a letter from the Acting Sub-Collector, dated 13th instant, No. 121. This, I hope, will afford the information required.

1. Lakshmi.
2. Kutta Linga.

2. In the case of the woman who died at Dharanekota, in Krosur Talook, I beg to observe that the three individuals mentioned in paragraph 4 of the Acting Sub-Collector's letter, have disclaimed the property left by the deceased.

From the Acting Sub-Collector, to the Acting Collector of the Kistna District, dated Guntoor, 13th June 1866, No. 121.

1. I have the honor to submit the information called for in your letter, No. 55, dated 6th instant.

2. The former of the cases, regarding which more detailed information was required by Board's Proceedings, No. ——, dated 9th February 1866, was originally reported by me on the 7th November 1865. The deceased died, as I gather from the Tahsildar's Urzi, No. 61, dated 6th November 1865, on the 13th October* 1865, aged fifty. So far as could be ascertained, she had no heirs of any kind; a claim to succeed to her property was put forward by a man who had kept her as his mistress, but had lived apart from her in Nursarowpett for the last fourteen years. This man was in no way related to her, and he was the sole claimant to succeed. His claim rested entirely on the ground of his connexion with her, and of his having, from time to time, when visiting Guntoor, given her maintenance money. The connexion he had with the deceased does not, of course, entitle him to succeed to her estate; and his other allegation of even occasional maintenance was denied by the village Curnums, who declared that to their knowledge, the deceased had, since abandoned by the claimant, been self-supporting.

* He says 10th current, but as his Urzi is dated 5th, past month is evidently meant.

3. Neither the connexion alleged, nor the maintenance allowed, if it was allowed, could constitute him the heir of the deceased, who, so far as is known, is legally heirless.

4. With regard to the second of the two cases, I learn from an Urzi, No. 173, dated 2nd June, received yesterday from the Tahsildar of Krosur, that the deceased is represented by two nephews and a married niece, who, it seems, have relinquished their claims. This disclaimer of their's seems to have been the cause of the deceased having been originally reported to have died heirless. In ignorance that the case was that under reference, I endorsed upon the Tahsildar's Urzi—

"So long as there are any representatives of the deceased, she cannot be called heirless. "They may refuse to accept the property belonging to the deceased, but this is no ground for "taking steps, under Regulation VII. of 1817, with regard to the immovable property.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 20th July 1866.

"You must report to the Civil Court which will administer."

5. For your information I enclose the original Urzees, received from the Tahsildar of Guntoor and Krosur, on the two cases.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) T. A. N. CHASE,
Acting Collector.

---

1. Resolved that the foregoing papers be submitted to Government, with reference to the Secretary's Official Memorandum, No. 1, dated 3rd January 1866, and to the Board's Proceedings recorded above.

2. The sanction of Government is requested for the sale of the property left by Kutta Linga, under the circumstances reported by the Acting Sub-Collector.

No. 448. ORDER THEREON, 20th July 1866, No. 1,833.

Miscellaneous. Sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant General.

No. 8,014.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 15th December 1865.

ead the following letter from T. A. N. CHASE, Esq., Acting Collector of the Kistna District, to R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Masulipatam 16th November 1865, No. 234:—

I have the honor to state that the Head Assistant Collector in charge of the Sub-division s reported the death, without heirs, of an old woman of the weaver caste, named Lutchmi, ving among other property a house and ground in the town of Guntoor, valued at Rupees and to request that the Board will be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government for the e of the property in question as an escheat under Section 6, Regulation VII. of 1817.

Submitted for the sanction of Government in accordance with Section 6 of Regulation VII. 1817.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Secretary.

J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.
Copy to T. A. N. Chase, Esq., Acting Collector of the Kistna District.

d. J. Tulloch.

Rev. Department.

1866.

No. 2125

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 11th July 1866.

No. 4,756.

Requesting sanction for the sale of certain intestate property left by one Kutta Linga of the Kistna district. Rs. 1833.

Rev. Department.

1865.

No. 3606.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 15th December 1865.

No. 8,014.

Submitting letter from the Acting Collector of the Kistna district requesting sanction for the sale of certain escheated property under Section 6 of Regulation VII of 1817.

Sanctioned as requested.

To The Board of Revenue
" Accountant General