

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	1328	<u>Press</u> <u>Mis.</u>
Dated	1-6-	1866.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1328

17

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
1st June 1866.*

No. 80. Read again Board's Proceedings, dated 2nd March 1866, No. 1,463,
recorded in G. O. 15th May 1866, No. 1,155.

No. 81. ORDER THEREON, 1st June 1866, No. 1,328.

Land Revenue.

1. The Government are willing that the highest rate of dry assessment should be levied on the area actually cultivated, because the tanks being ruined and given up by Government, more could not equitably be demanded than the special assessment fixed for the lands under them by the Board.

2. By the term "irrigable area" in G. O. 19th January 1866, paragraph 6, the Government meant the extent actually irrigable under ordinary circumstances, and not the nominal "Ayacut," which often, as in the Madras District, comprises a large extent of land beyond the influence of the tank.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Erd. S. T. Augustin.

Revenue B.^d Office,
Madras.

2^d March 1866.

N.
From The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
To The Secretary to Government.
Revenue Department.

Sir,
In Government Order dated
19th January 1866 N^o 102, at para 5, the go-
vernment remark with reference to the
relinquishment of ruined Tanks to the
Plyots that "it is doubtless understood
that the owner of the Tank is to pay the
fixed rate on every acre brought in to
cultivation by his restoration of the work."

The original proposal advo-
cated by the Board in Proceedings dated
27th November 1862, was, that the Plyot
should pay the Dry rate for the whole
by area of the Tank, and this was
what they understood to have been
sanctioned in Government Order dated
23rd December 1862 N^o 2093.

That seems the only
way of reading this
Bd's proposal. This
Govt. order.
1601

The first year tenanted
 the ^{Washington} rate of day
 at ~~the~~ activity.
 friend's little he
leave the area
actually en thirteenth
he could the founder
being receive d from
with by put have could
but again he he de
we should than the
for the last month fixed
there by the 18th
My the term "Winn"
after area "in 1819
from 1866 p. d. the
put me and the cost
actually in the

in the ordinary
circumstances
of the "Journal"
after - as in the
"Journal" of the
comprised in the
length of the
beginning of the
in the "Journal"

of the "Journal"

of the "Journal"

some moment - perhaps about
the water. If we are on the
data supplied by the "Journal"
account, that reliable data remain
for defining the "Journal" as
unexplained? The latter would
necessarily say "yearly water"
pertains to the "Journal" by
in the "Journal" - we are here by
It seems to be sufficient to know on
reading "Journal" 23 Dec: 62 that the "Journal"
proposal is followed by the "Journal" -
the "Journal" of the "Journal" -
was the "Journal" of the "Journal" -
most be handed over to the "Journal" -
of the "Journal" - the "Journal" -
Whole account.

30.5.66

W.D.

this comes, the would be to the "Journal"

~~39/90 RH~~

~~6170/90 RH~~