M.R.O. 14—5,450—22-10-25.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1307 Press / Mis.

Dated 1— 6— 1866.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 26. Read the following letter from the Officiating Director of Revenue Settlement, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Madras, 23rd May 1866, No. 671-25:—

1. I have the honor to state that in November last, under the authority vested in me by the Orders of Government noted in the margin, I granted to Mr. J. H. M. Cox, Assistant Director of Revenue Settlement, privilege leave of absence for ten days, and in reply to his enquiry whether he might return to his duty at the close of the Christmas holidays, I informed him, that under paragraph 2 of the Order of Government, also quoted in the margin, he would be entitled to include the Christmas holidays in his leave, should the latter not expire before the former commenced. Mr. Cox availed himself of his leave on the afternoon of the 13th December, and returned to duty on the afternoon of the 29th idem. The Deputy Accountant General has now retrenched his pay from the 24th to the 29th December, amounting to Rupees 82-4-2, stating that the Government of India having declined to confirm the general ruling of the Madras Government, that officers may be absent on account of general holidays in continuation of privilege leave, he is not entitled to pay from the 24th to 29th December for taking advantage of the Christmas holidays, in addition to his *privilege leave of ten days.*

17th October 1851, No. 506, Financial Department.
18th October 1865, No. 2,540, Revenue Department.
27th October 1865, No. 2,648, Revenue Department.

2. I do not suppose it can have been the intention of the Government of India, in declining to confirm the general ruling of the local Government, to subject to retrenchment all officers who might in the meantime have availed themselves by permission of the advantages of that ruling, or in fact that the new ruling of the Government of India was intended to have retrospective effect. I request that should the Government see no objection, the Accountant General may be directed to withdraw the retrenchment against Mr. Cox, as a special case. Such sanction was granted in Government Order of the 3rd March 1866, No. 551, in a similar case.

Madras Government Order, 15th February 1866, No. 73, Financial Department.

No. 27. ORDER THEREON, 1st June 1866, No. 1,301.

Miscellaneous.

As Mr. Cox had the benefit of the Order of Government of 27th October 1865, Revenue Department, No. 2,648, before the decision of the Supreme Government adverse to the ruling contained in it was received, the Accountant General is authorized to pass his pay for the period retrenched.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

To the Officiating Director of Revenue Settlement.
„ the Accountant General.

Ezd. T. O' Connor.

Rev. 1866. Dep.t
Pro of Govt. for June
No. 1494

From
The Officer
of Revenue stationed
at
The Secretary
to Government
Revenue Department
Fort St George

Dated 23d
Rec.d 25th May 1866

No 671/25

Stating the circumstances under which the Pass of the Coss Ap.k Brandy at Bonnerottee Settlement has been detained & requesting for reasons given that the Acct.k Sub may be desired to withdraw the Detachment

No 1301 Dated

With order &

No. 1301.

As the Coy had the benefit of the order of Rec.d of 27 Oct 1865 RD No 2648. before the detention of the Ap.k. Pass & there is nothing contained in the Letter received in the Accountant Gen.l's Office from the Collector of Customs to show that the Pass was produced before the Detachment was stationed over the Ap.k Stock, I am directed to request that the Detachment may be withdrawn.

Dated 2 June 66

13 Copies Letter Offg Secy to Govt Revenue to Offg Accountant General
6 Do Accountant General
6 Guard Order

No. 26-27.