

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 12 3

Press
~~Mis.~~

240. 241

Dated

17-1-18 66.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 240. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 18th December 1865, No. 8,107.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Nellore, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 15th November 1865, No. 291 :—

1. In the Shrotriem village of Muttanpadu, in the Guduru Talook, Gorru 1-12-0 of dry land was taken up in Fusly 1268 for the road from Nellore to Toderu.

2. On the 5th May 1860, the Shrotriemdar presented a Petition No. 3,962, representing that three Gorrus of land was appropriated, and requesting that Rupees 27, at the rate of 9 Rupees per Gorru, may be remitted both for Fusly 1268 and 1269—equivalent land being granted from Fusly 1270.

3. The Shrotriemdar was thereupon called upon to produce an endorsement from the Engineer's Department, with reference to the practice which then obtained. On the 24th October 1861, petitioner accordingly presented a Petition No. 953, enclosing certificate from the Executive Engineer, which was referred to the Tahsildar on the 28th April 1862 for report.

4. No report having been received, and the Shrotriemdar having presented another Petition No. 426, dated 9th September 1863, Takid dated 17th October 1863, No. 76, was issued to the Tahsildar for early report.

5. On the 30th November 1864, the Tahsildar sent in his report No. 77, stating that the land actually taken up was only Gorru 1-12-0; that of this, only Gorru 0-5-0 were under cultivation; that the land in the Shrotriem had not been assessed; that it may be valued at Rupees 6-14-11 per Gorru; that Rupees 7-13-5 may be granted to the Shrotriemdar, on account of the said Gorru 0-5-0 of land, being a rate of 8 Rupees per Gorru; and that fresh land, equal to the total extent taken up, may be granted to the Shrotriemdar, who was instructed by the Tahsildar to make the selection.

6. The appropriation of the land having been made in 1268, the Tahsildar was directed by Takid, dated 24th December 1864, No. 93, to report whether the land in question was deducted from the assets upon which the quit-rent was charged by the Inám Department. In this Takid, the Tahsildar was also directed to report if any fresh land was available, and on what basis he valued the land taken up from the Shrotriem.

7. In reply, dated 7th June 1865, No. 98, the Tahsildar reports, that the land in question was not excluded from the assets; that a combined quit-rent of Rupees 239 was charged on the Shrotriem; that he valued the land at Rupees 6-14-11 per Gorru, which is the rate on similar land in the neighbouring Government village of Amancherla; and that the Shrotriemdar proposes to select land in the resumed Kandriga of Punchedu in the Nellore Talook.

8. Thereupon, Takid dated 5th August 1865, No. 223, (Miscellaneous,) was issued to the Nellore Tahsildar for report on the land selected by the Shrotriemdar. In reply, dated 24th idem, No. 183, (Standing,) the Nellore Tahsildar reports that the Shrotriemdar holds a Puttah in the said resumed Kandriga for $2\frac{1}{2}$ Gorrus of dry land, assessed at Rupees 12-3-0, the rate being Rupees 4-14-0 per Gorru, and recommends that the same may be granted in lieu of G. $1\frac{3}{4}$ taken up, which has

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
17th January, 1866.*

been valued by the Gudur Tahsildar at Rupees 12-2-1, (at Rupees 6-14-11 per Gorru,) remarking that there is an equality in value though not of the extent.

9. The Shrotriendard claims remission for the past years in addition to the grant of fresh land for the future. He further claims a permanent remission of Rupees 24 from the quit-rent, on the ground that the formation of the road has rendered his crops exposed to danger, and that he employed a servant to watch the same for avoiding any loss.

10. The Shrotriendard's claim for permanent reduction of Rupees 24 is deserving of no notice. If he is exposed to loss by the road, he also shares in the advantages thereof, and for these advantages, were he sufficiently enlightened, he would be glad to give the land in consideration without further compensation.

11. In case of lands taken up for the Krishnapatnam Canal, compensation was granted at certain rates per acre in consideration of the trouble to reclaim the land granted instead. On this consideration, the Gudur Tahsildar recommends the payment of Rupees 7-13-8 for $\frac{1}{4}$ th Gorru of land under cultivation. But as puttah land is selected and as no claim has been laid by the Shrotriendard on this ground, no such payment need be made.

12. And in requesting sanction to make over the $2\frac{1}{2}$ Gorrus recommended

Fusly	RS.	A.	P.
1268	12	2	1
1269	12	2	1
1270	12	2	1
1271	12	2	1
1272	12	2	1
1273	12	2	1
1274	12	2	1
<hr/>			
	84	14	7

by the Nellore Tahsildar, I request also permission to disburse to the Shrotriendard the sum of Rupees 84-14-7, on account of his loss of income on the land, from Fuslies 1268 to 1274, without reference to the area previously cultivated.

13. The road originally made to a Talook Cutcherry since abandoned has now been made use of as the Nellore Podalakuru and Someshwaram District road.

1. Submitted to Government, with the Board's recommendation that the proposed exchange of $2\frac{1}{2}$ Gorrus of fresh Puttah land, of the annual value of Rupees 12-3-0 for $1\frac{3}{4}$ Gorru of land, assessed at Rupees 12-2-1, which was taken up for a public road, be sanctioned, and that the Collector be further authorized to disburse to the Shrotriendard Rupees 84-14-7 as compensation for past years, from Fusly 1268 to Fusly 1274, both years inclusive.

2. The delay which has been allowed to take place in the adjustment of this claim is quite inexcusable.

3. The Collector will be instructed to submit similar applications to the present in the proper Tabular form, as required by the Board's Standing Circular, dated 10th July 1858.

No. 241.

ORDER THEREON, 17th January 1866, No. 123.

Miscellaneous.

1. The proposed exchange of land is sanctioned, as also Rupees 84-14-7, being the amount of compensation in arrears from Fusly 1268 to Fusly 1274, both inclusive.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
17th January 1866.*

2. The delay noticed by the Board is very unsatisfactory, and the Tahsildar should be punished for it by fine, unless he can explain it to the Board's satisfaction.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
Accountant General.

Ed S. T. Augustin.

122 87-123. 37

The proposed exchange of land
is sanctioned
as also Rⁿ 84-14-7 being
the amount of compensation
in arrears from
F. 1268 to F. 1274 both inclusive.

The delay noticed by the
Board is very unsatisfactory.
The Taxpayers should be
permitted for it
they find - unless he
can explain it to the
Board's satisfaction - unless
he can explain it

To the Board of Revenue
Accountant General
17th May 1866

