M.R.O. 14-5,450-22-10-25.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1157 Press Mis.

Dated 14 : 5 1856

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 259. Read the following letter from the Accountant General, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Fort Saint George, 27th April 1866, No. 384 :—

1. In forwarding the accompanying papers, I have the honor to report that Mullikarjana Rau appears (from the Audit Registers of the late Civil Paymaster's Office) to have acted as Salt Superintendent of Karapa Division, Godavery District, from 15th May 1862 to 30th June 1863, and to have drawn from that office pay at the rate of 100 Rupees a month, Rupees 30 more than the full pay of his own post as Head Accountant, Godavery Collector's Office, which was, for the same time, drawn by his substitute. The pay of Salt Department (Superintendent ?) being 150 Rupees a month, there was thus a saving of Rupees 50 per mensem from 15th May 1862 to 30th June 1863, making a total of Rupees 677-6-8.

2. Pilutkhana Sayanna, Peishcar Salt Department, Godavery District, previously acted in the same appointment from 15th March to 14th May 1862, and was permitted by Government Order No. 2,112, dated 6th September 1865, to draw the full pay for that period.

3. There is no objection on the part of this office to the grant of full pay to Mullikarjana Rau ; but seems from paragraph 4 of the Board's Proceedings enclosed, that he was appointed on condition of receiving only Rupees 100 a month, and it rests with Government to decide whether he should be allowed any thing more.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 13th April 1866, No. 2,540.

1. The Board resolve to submit, for the favorable consideration of Government, after verification by the Accountant General of the facts of the case so far as his Department is concerned, Petition 628 of 1866, in which Cota Mullikarjana Rau, Salt Superintendent of the Karapa Division, Godavery District, prays that the same boon may be granted in his case as was allowed by Government Order, 6th September last, No. 2,112, in the case of the Assistant Superintendent of the same Division.

2. Petitioner, who was then Head Accountant in the Hoozoor Cutcherry at Cocanada, was appointed by the Collector, on the 13th May 1862, to act as Superintendent of the said Division on an allowance of Rupees 100, the full pay of the appointment being Rupees 150. Up to the 1st July 1863, when he was confirmed in the appointment, he continued to draw at the same rate, the balance of 50 Rupees monthly being credited to Government as the original incumbent had, apparently, been pensioned. The full pay of Petitioner's permanent appointment was in the meantime drawn by his substitute. Petitioner's prayer is that the amount thus re-credited to Government, and which at 50 Rupees per mensem from 15th May 1862 to 30th June 1863, amounts to Rupees 677-6-8, may be paid to him.

3. From the enclosures to the petition it appears that in May 1864, Petitioner brought this claim of his, as well as that of Pilutkhana Sayanna, the Assistant Superintendent, to the notice of the Deputy Collector in charge of the Salt, who, however, declined to forward them.

4. After the Assistant Superintendent's claim had been eventually admitted,* Petitioner again addressed the Deputy Collector who replied that as Mr. Purvis, the late Collector, had stated in his original order of appointment that one hundred Rupees would be allowed out of the pay of the Superintendent, it was not expedient now to recommend the disbursement of full pay for the period during which Petitioner had been acting-

* Government Order, 6th September 1865, No. 2,112.

5. On appeal the Collector gave a similar endorsement,* observing that, as Mr. Purvis was in the District for a year after Petitioner's first appointment, application should have been made to him, and that it did not appear to have been his intention that Petitioner should draw the full pay.

* Dated 27th November 1865.

6. The Board are of opinion that Petitioner's claim should be admitted, as they see no reason why it should be treated differently to that of Pilutkhana Sayanna, and they, therefore, recommend that the sum re-credited to Government out of the full pay of the appointment during Petitioner's acting incumbency be paid to him. The Accountant General will be good enough to state the precise amount.

No. 260. ORDER THEREON, 14th May 1866, No. 1,151.

Miscellaneous.

1. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council observes that the Collector had no authority to direct Mullicarjana Rau to do the duty of Salt Superintendent for Rupees 100 per mensem, when the full salary of the appointment, Rupees 150 per mensem, was available.

2. He accordingly sanctions payment to Mullicarjana Rau of Rupees 677-6-8, being the sum credited to Government out of the full pay of the Salt Superintendent of Karapa whilst he was acting in the office.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant General.

Encl. T. O'Connor.

No. 1151.

The Right Hon'ble the Governor in Council observes that the Collector had no authority to direct Mullicarjana Rau to do the duty of Salt Superintendent for Rs 100 per mensem when the full salary of the appointment, Rs 150 per mensem was available.

He accordingly sanctions payment to Mullicarjana Rau of Rs 677-6-8 being the sum credited to Govt out of the full pay of the office of Salt Superintendent of Carapa whilst he was acting in the office.

To the Board of Revenue
" the Accountant General

14th May 1866

J. D.

Pro 6th September 65 to 31st 4

Revd 1866 Depart
Pro & Govt from Mad
No 1244

From

The Accountant General
Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
Fort Saint George

Dated 27th
Recd 30th } April 1866.

No 384

No

Reporting on the claims
preferred on behalf of Mullekarjuna
Row to full pay of Sub Superin-
tendent Trichinopoly Division Godavery
District from 15th May 1862 to 31st
June 1863.

No 1857 15 May

Secy Govt

No 259-260.

25 May 66 RH