

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1113

Press
Mis.

Dated

9 - 5 -

1866.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
9th May 1866.*

No. 183. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 19th April 1866, No. 2,733 :—

Read the following papers :—

Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 23rd March 1866, No. 708, Revenue Department.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 3rd March 1866, No. 723, Public Works Department.

Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 18th January 1866.

1. The Board resolve to submit the usual Budget Estimate of Original Works and Repairs to be executed for the development of the Salt Revenue in the several Districts of the Madras Presidency, during the official year 1866-67.

2. Among the several works proposed by the Collectors in their lists of Original Works, the provision for the construction of a new Salt Cutcherry at Ramapatam, and that of a Store-yard at Gangapatam, in the Nellore District, have been struck out by the Board, as these two places of manufacture and sale are about to be abolished. In Madras also, the estimate for the erection of seven watch towers at Cheyoor salt pans, amounting to Rupees 175, has been reduced to Rupees 25, as the Board consider that one tower will be enough.

3. The Collector of the Godavery District entered in the Budget an item of 16,000 Rupees for carrying out the alterations, &c., proposed by Mr. Clarke in the present salt works of Carapah, but neither specified any particulars of the works, nor proposed any expenditure during the ensuing year 1866-67. The item has, therefore, been omitted from the Budget now submitted.

4. Out of the estimates forwarded to the Board of Revenue with the Order of Government, dated 3rd October 1865, No. 2,385, Revenue Department, some were disallowed, and others which are considered necessary have been included in the present Budget, as intimated in the Board's Proceedings, dated 12th January 1866.

5. In the Budget of Repairs the Collector of Nellore has provided for the repair and extension of several Store-yards, and the formation of ditches and hedges. Those noted in the margin have been struck out by the Board, as several of these places are to be done away with as places of manufacture and sale.

6. The Collector of Godavery provided for the construction of a large number of platforms, but as it has been ordered by Government, in accordance with the recommendation of Mr. Clarke, that the pans in all other villages except Pennagudum should be closed, the Board consider that the provision made by the Collector is unnecessary. It has, however, been retained in the Budget, and the Collector will be requested to state if these works are still necessary, and whether he has any objection to close these pans as already contemplated.

Store-yards, &c., in Urtarapa Nuttu	...	...	...	Rs. 300
Taspu Nuttu	...	...	...	200
Livo do.	...	...	...	700
Debba do.	...	...	...	200
Gogalaputtu	...	...	...	500
Nachini Nuttu	...	...	...	700
Mannaba do.	...	...	...	100

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
9th May 1866.*

7. In Tanjore, a sum of Rupees 17,185 was allowed to be spent in the current official year (1865-66) upon repairs of certain salt roads, which are imperial lines, on the understanding that the amount spent in the current official year is to be re-imbursed to the local fund from imperial funds next year. The necessary provision has, accordingly, been made in the present Budget, the arrangement having been approved in the Order of Government, dated 27th October 1865, No. 2,635.

8. The Collector of Nellore has submitted, with his Budget, plans and estimates for some of the works. They will be returned to the Collector, in order that the necessary sanction of Government may be obtained through the Superintending Engineer.

* Communicated with the Proceedings of Government, Revenue Department, dated 12th December 1865, No. 3,012.

9. With reference to the Proceedings* of Government, dated 31st October 1865, No. 2,787, Financial Department, a list of the works entered in the Budget now submitted, and which are to be executed by the Deputy Collectors of the Salt Department, will be separately submitted so soon as the Returns called for from the Districts are received.

10. The following abstract shows the estimated charges for the year 1866-67, with the per-centage on the Salt Revenue of Fusly 1274 :—

Districts.	Estimated cost of New Works.	Estimated cost of Repairs.	Total.	Salt Revenue of Fusly 1274.	Per-centage.
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	
Ganjam	4,150	4,600	8,840	13,81,384	63
Vizagapatam	26,204	4,600	30,804	3,02,657	1.17
Godavery	2,000	2,000	4,000	4,16,158	.48
Kistna	20,710	4,900	25,610	6,81,683	3.75
Nellore	7,731	4,850	12,581	12,25,862	1.02
Madras	74,833	2,917	77,750	18,57,828	4.18
South Arcot	3,432	1,959	5,391	5,27,568	1.40
Tanjore	22,070	26,185	48,255	9,92,360	4.86
Madura	14,495	2,000	16,495	5,83,698	2.82
Tinnevely	27,580	6,900	34,480	6,10,301	5.59
South Canara	35,010		35,010	5,54,391	6.31
Malabar	30,170	2,372	32,542	7,82,731	4.15
Total...	2,66,085	63,373	3,29,458	99,17,221	3.32

11. The delay in the submission of these estimates is owing to the late receipt of the Budget from the Malabar District, which reached the Board only on the 30th December 1865.

Transferred to the Department of Public Works, for disposal.

22nd January 1866.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

ORDER THEREON, 3rd March 1866, No. 723.

1. With their Proceedings above recorded, the Board of Revenue submit the Budget of works which they recommend for execution during the year 1866-67 for the development of the Salt Revenue in this Presidency. The requirements under the heads "New Works" and "Repairs" are Rupees 2,66,085 and 63,373, respectively.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
9th May 1866.*

2. These Statements having been received after the closing of the Imperial Budget, could not be considered in conjunction with the Superintending Engineers' estimates for the ensuing year. Of the new* works which are proposed by the Board, funds have been allotted in the Budget of 1866-67 for those noted in the margin.

* Part I.

Item No. 15 Rupees 5,000
Do. ,, 23 ,, 4,444
Three works (as follows), not included in the Board's Statement, have been entered in the Imperial Budget.

	Amount allotted.
Improvements to the new salt pans at Tranquebar, Tanjore	3,910
Road from Aska to the Ganjam Port and salt pans, Ganjam	17,000
Salt road from Morecolam to Ettival, Madura	2,800

3. No estimates have yet been submitted to Government for a large number of the works entered in Part I. As the cost of several of these works is less than Rupees 2,500 each, and the estimate cannot, under the rules, be inserted in the Budget, the funds which are required for their execution must be provided from the Reserve under "Civil Buildings." With the very inadequate grant which is annually made for Public Works in this Presidency, it is impracticable, in any one year, to allot the whole of the funds which are applied for by the Board. The Statement under review will accordingly be referred to the Revenue Department, with a view to a few of the most pressing works being selected for execution during the coming year.

4. Such of the works entered in the Board's Statement as may be left unfinished at the close of the current year, and are not specially provided for in the Budget of 1866-67, should be included in the Returns of incomplete works which the

See paragraph 18 of Government Order, No. 405, dated 1st February 1866.

Superintending Engineers have been desired to submit to Government early in May next, when funds will be allotted for their completion.

5. The Government do not understand why the Tannadar's Cutcherry at Pentacottah is twice† entered in the Board's Budget. The Order which is quoted opposite to the first of the entries conveyed sanction to an estimate of Rupees 1,130 for a Cutcherry at Binlipatam, which is now shown‡ to have been

† Items 9 and 11.

‡ See remark opposite to Item 11.

"mentioned by mistake for Pentacottah." The Board will be requested to furnish further information regarding these entries.

6. An estimate of Rupees 10,000 is shown to have been sanctioned in Government Order, No. 410, dated 24th February 1865, for "a road and road dam to connect the platform with the high road from Binlipatam to Vizianagram." As this Order cannot be traced, the Board of Revenue will be desired to furnish a copy.

7. The estimate of Rupees 454 for a tank at Binlipatam, although submitted to Government with the Board's Proceedings of the 4th February 1864, has never been formally sanctioned by Government.

8. The estimated cost of the salt platforms at Cheyoor is entered at Rupees 3,958, and Rupees 128 are proposed for expenditure during 1866-67. The Govern-

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
9th May 1866.*

ment observe that the amount of the sanctioned estimate is Rupees 3,950, and that

Vide Item 26 of Budget of 1865-66.

that sum was provided, in full, in the Budget of the current year, and is now at the Superintending Engineer's disposal.

9. Rupees 25,038 are entered as the probable cost of excavating boat channels at Cheyoor. The revised estimate which was called for in Government Order, No. 2,677, dated 15th September 1864, should be submitted for sanction at an early date.

10. Item 66 of the Board's Budget, being a *repair*, should have been entered in Part II.

11. Raising the salt platform at Carapaud.—In the Proceedings of Government, No. 240, dated 7th February 1865, Revenue Department, the Collector of Tinnevely was requested to explain the necessity of this work, but, up to this date, no reply appears to have been received. His attention will now be called to the subject.

12. Rupees 1,900 are shown to be required for completing the widening and extending of the salt road up to the platform at Vaipaur in Tinnevely. This amount having been allotted in the Order of the 4th July 1865, No. 1,868, is available for expenditure during the current year.

13. The sum which is applied for on account of *repairs* to salt works is Rupees 63,373. On reference to the Budget of 1866-67, the Government observe that provision to the extent of Rupees 31,009 is made for this purpose, and they will rely upon the Superintending Engineers adopting measures, in concert with the Collectors, for expending the grant for each District to the best possible advantage.

14. The salt Budget, as now submitted, is not in the prescribed form. The Board of Revenue will be careful to see that future statements are compiled in the forms of the printed Budget of 1866-67, which accompanied Government Order, No. 405, dated 1st February 1866, and that they are laid before Government early in November each year.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) CHARLES A. ORR, Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

ORDER THEREON, 23rd March 1866, No. 708.

The Board of Revenue will be requested with reference to paragraph 3 of the Order in the Public Works Department, above recorded, to make a selection of the most urgent works for execution in the next official year, and to submit a list thereof as soon as possible.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. GROSE,

Acting Under Secretary to Government.

1. Resolved that the foregoing Proceedings communicating the Orders of Government in the Public Works Department, dated 3rd March 1866, No. 723, on the Salt Public Works Budget for 1866-67, be communicated to the Collectors of Coast Districts for their information.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
9th May 1866.*

2. Of the new works which are proposed, funds have already been allotted in the Imperial Budget of 1866-67 for those noted in the margin. The three following works, not included in the Collector's Budget, have also been entered in the Imperial Budget:—
- | | |
|--|-----------|
| 15. Improving salt platforms at Buntumilly in the Kistna District | Rs. 5,000 |
| 23. Constructing a metalled road from Dugurazapatam to the store-yard in Nellore | 4,444 |

RS.

Improvement to the new salt pans at Tranquebar in Tanjore	3,910
Road from Aska to the Ganjam port and salt pans in Ganjam	17,000
Salt road from Morecolum to Ettival in Madura	3,800

3. With reference to paragraph 3 of the Order in the Public Works Department, Collectors are requested to forward, without delay, a list of such of the works entered in the Budget as are most pressing and require execution during the ensuing year. It appears to the Board that, of the several works entered in the Budget, those noted in the margin are not of an emergent nature, and may be safely postponed for another year. If any of these works should, however, be considered as urgently required for execution during the ensuing year, full explanation should be given as to the necessity. In the list now called for, the works selected by the Collector should be entered distinctly under the two heads noted in the margin.* Those works which are likely to be completed within the 30th April 1866 need not be entered in the lists.

ORIGINAL WORKS.

Nos. 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 22, 26, 27, 32, 33, 36, 37, 38, 39, 41, 42, 43, 44, 52.

REPAIRS.

NELLORE.

Repair and extension of store-yards at Chadaraputtu, at Pakala Division.
Do. do. of Cutcherry buildings in Kistna-patam Division.

MADRAS.

Turfing the two western platforms at the Madras Cotaar.

SOUTH ARCOT.

Repair of the Salt Cutcherry at Chunampett.

TANJORE.

Repairing approaches to the depôt in the Chandradrapadi Division.

Do. and turfing the platform in do.

Do. embankments in the Negapatam Division.

Do. approaches to the depôt at Tambekinalavenkotai.

Do. do. at Adrampatam.

Do. road No. 22.

Do. approaches to the depôt at Kattumavady.

Do. and turfing one platform in Arsanagiri Division.

TINNEVELLY.

Repairing Salt platform at Manjancolum.

* 1. ORIGINAL WORKS.

Works, the estimated cost of which is above Rupees 2,500.

1. Newly proposed.

2. Under execution.

Works, the estimated cost of which is below Rupees 2,500.

1. Newly proposed.

2. Under execution.

2. REPAIRS.

Newly proposed.

Under execution.

4. In paragraph 9 of their Proceedings, dated 18th January last, the Board promised with reference to the Proceedings of Government, dated 31st August 1865, No. 2,737, to submit to Government lists of works entered in the Salt Public Works Budget, which are to be executed by the Deputy Collector in charge of the Department. The Collectors of the several Districts have furnished these lists; but as several of the works, originally estimated in the Budget, are not likely to be executed in the next year, the Board resolve to request that the Collector will, when sending the lists called for in the preceding paragraph, enter opposite to each work by whom it is proposed to be executed, whether by the Salt Deputy Collector, or by the Public Works Department.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
9th May 1866.*

5. The Collectors of Madras and Tanjore estimate large amounts for the construction of Salt Cutcheries, ranging from Rupees 1,900 to Rupees 980. The Board believe that these Cutcheries may be built at a more moderate cost, as was done in Madura. The Collectors of the two Districts, as well as any others who have similarly estimated for Cutcheries, will adopt the plan of the Cutcheries in Madura, in communication with the Collector of that District, and have the estimates framed accordingly. The Collector of Tanjore estimates Rupees 180 for the construction of a watch tower at Tenpadi Division. The amount is too large, and the estimate must be reduced.

6. As regards the inquiry in paragraph 5, the Board observe that item No. 11, in the list of original works, is the Tanadar's Cutcherry at Bimlipatam; but it has been, through oversight, entered as at Pentacottah, for which place a separate provision was made in the Budget. The Government Order, dated 19th February 1864, sanctioned a Cutcherry for Bimlipatam at a cost of Rupees 1,130, but it was subsequently found that Bimlipatam was entered by mistake for Pentacottah. No estimate appears to have yet been submitted for the Bimlipatam Cutcherry.

7. The Proceedings of Government, dated 24th February 1865, Revenue Department, do not sanction the estimate of 10,000 Rupees for a road and road dam to connect the platform with the high road from Bimlipatam to Vizianagarum; but as the Department of Public Works were desired to consider the project agreeably to paragraph 2 of their Proceedings, dated 12th February 1864, No. 436, the provision of 10,000 Rupees was inserted in the Budget in anticipation of the estimate being sanctioned. A copy of the Proceedings which has been communicated to the Public Works Department by the Revenue Department, will now be forwarded to that Department. It may be observed, with reference to paragraph 7 of the present Order, that the estimate of Rupees 454 for a tank at Bimlipatam will have to be formally sanctioned in connection with the project above referred to.

8. With reference to paragraph 8, the Collector of Madras is requested to state why a sum of Rupees 182 is proposed for expenditure during the ensuing year on account of the platform at Cheyoor, while the whole amount of the estimate is now at the disposal of the Superintending Engineer for expenditure during the current year. The amount of the estimate was wrongly given by the Collector as Rupees 3,950 instead of Rupees 3,958. He is also requested to call on the Superintending Engineer to submit the revised estimate for excavating the boat channels alluded to in paragraph 9 of the Government Order as early as possible.

9. Item No. 66 of the original works noticed in paragraph 10 is not a repair, the word "repairing" appears to be a misprint, and should be altered to "preparing."

10. The Collector of Tinnevely is requested to furnish the explanation called for in paragraph 11 of the Government Order. He will also explain why Rupees 1,900 have been provided for completing the widening and extending of the salt road up to the platform at Vaipaur, while the sum is available for expenditure in the current year.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
9th May 1866.*

					RS.
Ganjam	...	...	...	...	9,198
Vizagapatam	...	...	...	...	5,301
Godavery	...	...	...	...	2,000
Krishna	...	...	...	...	4,900
Nellore	...	...	...	...	5,000
South Arcot	...	...	...	...	950
Madura	...	...	...	...	1,000
Tinnevely	...	...	...	...	2,660
					61,009

11. Collectors will see from paragraph 13 of the Order, that the amount sanctioned on account of repairs to salt works is only 31,009, as distributed among the several Districts noted in the margin; and they should be careful, in submitting the revised lists now called for, to bring their estimates for repairs within the amount as far as practicable.

12. Adverting to paragraph 14 of the Order, the Board observe that the Salt Budget is according to the prescribed form as far as the original works are concerned, and in the absence of any orders from Government, the Budget for repairs was submitted in the usual form. The Collectors will, however, be desired to submit their future Budgets in the form of the printed Public Works Budget of 1866-67, a copy of which has been already furnished to them. These Budgets should in future be despatched punctually on 1st October, to enable the Board to submit their Budget to Government early in November.

No. 184. ORDER THEREON, 9th May 1866, No. 1,113.

Separate Revenue, No. 3.
Salt.

Ordered to be recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. GROSE,

Under Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 23rd March/66 1866
12th Dec/63 1863
24th Feb/65 1865
19th Oct/65 1865

1866. Department.
Pro of Govt for May

No. 1213

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 19th April 1866.
Despatched 25th
Received 26th

No. 2,733.

Communicating to Coast Collectors, with Board's instructions, Orders of Government in Department of Public Works, on the Salt Public Works Budget of 1866-67, and calling for revised lists of works selected from those entered in the Budget for execution in the next year.

RECORDED.

L. D. H.
19th May/66

1866-1867

in copies to the P. W. D. Office & to the P. W. D. Office