M.R.O. 14-5,450—22-10-25.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1090 Press Mis.

Dated 7 - 5 - 1866

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 7th May 1866.

Read the following letters :—

No. 140. From the Acting Deputy Accountant General, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort Saint George, 28th November 1865, No. 183.

An Officer absent on privilege leave is allowed (Government of India, Financial Department, No. 1,139, dated 6th July 1864,) to draw his fixed tentage, provided he keeps up his tents and place them at his substitute's disposal. During Mr. Reade's late absence from Cuddalore, Mr. Banbury acted for him; the former claims his fixed tentage as having left his tents for the latter, the latter claims his as having brought them over from his old station, and so not using or wanting Mr. Reade's. I have therefore the honor to ask whose claim is to be admitted; the case is not provided for in the Order quoted, though one must infer from its terms that, as only one set of tents could be used, allowances for both should not be drawn.

No. 141. From the Accountant General, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort Saint George, 23rd March 1866, No. 335.

I have the honor to call attention to my letter No. 183, dated 28th November last, touching the respective claims of acting and permanent incumbents to fixed tentage.

No. 142. ORDER THEREON, 7th May 1866, No. 1,090.

Miscellaneous.

As the rules do not admit of fixed tentage being drawn by two persons for one and the *same period* in the same office, the Governor in Council considers that Mr. Reade's claim alone is admissible, he having fulfilled the obligation of the rules by leaving his tents for Mr. Banbury's use.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Secretary to Government.

To the Accountant General.
,, the Board of Revenue.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 1090. Je. May 1/60

Under the Tenure Rules, an Officer draws fixed Lenitage or the allowance for procuring & keeping teeth - during privilege Leave, provided he leaves the Teeth available for the use of his locum tenens. And in no case is the allowance to be drawn by two persons for one & the same period.

Last year Mr. Cole of S. Ascot Received first privilege and Mr Bambury 2nd Cole of Maidenhead acted for him - Mr Metcalfe Asst Commr of Commissariat acting for Mr Bambury.

WDM

Mr. Reade left his
Tents for Mr. Bam-
bury Creek - and
had to fulfilled his
Negations -

Mr. Bambury took
his own Tents & went home
and did not take
Mr. Reades - and
claims Lew Cage.
Mr. Webster left his
tents in Canada &
proceeded himself
here - some how - left
Tents.

Mr. Reade & Mr.
Bambury cannot
by the Deed the money -
which is not yet -

It seems true that
Mr. Reade did take
that the Tents &

fame - he left his
Tents behind for
Mr. Bambury - who
might or might like Mam-
-ber have left his
for Mr. Webster.

The rule as I read
it - leave the Commis-
sioners no option - there
the Tents are and
he cannot declare
his principal of
his Contract by pre-
ferring to the
own Tents. If the
Tents left are bad
or in the opinion of
Canada the Coke is
altered - but as bad
Coke is here alleged.

Mr. Bambury in
fact has preferred his
own Tents - Neither as

a matter of fee.
and Comfort or
Recourse to the said
Act for an Injunction
Restraining the Defendant
Trade - But the same
is to be set.

Ordered that the said
Action abide the same
at the Trial - and
costs to be in the

Ordered Accordingly

L. J. C.

Ordered Mr Bambury
have the papers - Plaintiff
to pay Defendant the
Costs, when taxed & paid
to pay Mr Bambury or
any proceedings taken or
left to be set aside
Mr Field may be
[illegible]

J.C.

As the Rules do
not admit of this
Injunction being drawn
up two years over for
one & the same Re-
lief in the same
Office, the Just: con-
siders that Mrs Rendle
alone. admitted
Claim is ~~dismissed~~,
he having fulfilled
the Mandate of the
Rule by leaving his
Costs for Mr Bambury's
taxed. L. J. C.

[illegible]

Nº 41,396 & 26,784.

Revenue 1866 Despte
Pro of Government Treasy

Nº 1090

Pro of the Madras
Government
7th May 1866.

Pro. of the Board of Rev.
Nº 26 dated 10th Jany 1867

All Receipts claimed
to Centage admitted as he
subjected the obligation
of the Rules by Receiving
the Centage for Mr Bamber
vide