19/5781

M.R.O. 14-5480-22-10-25.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1086 Press Mis.

Dated 7-5- 18 66.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 133. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 26th March 1866, No. 2,125 :—

Read the following paper from the Collector of South Arcot, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cuddalore, 6th February 1866, No. 28.

Tabular Report on a lapsed Dehat Inám Pension.

Case.	Talook and village; extent and value of the entire Inám.	When and by whom granted; name of the original grantee; Sunnud or other documents in support of the grant.	Nature of the grant, charity or service; if service, nature of the duty prescribed by the grant.	Name of the last holder; date of his decease, and the relationship in which he stood to the grantee.	Share enjoyed by the deceased, and that held by the other members.	Family left by the deceased; name and age of the parties, their circumstances, and the means of subsistence possessed by them.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Meer Syed Madally, younger brother of Meer Saduck Hoossain, one of the sharers entered in the Statement sent to the Board on 30th March, 1847.	The two villages of Navelpaukum and Manelavady in late Chaitput Talook were resumed. Money pension of Rupees 525 per annum, granted by Government in their Order, dated 29th July 1843, communicated in the Board's Proceedings, 7th August 1843, which was sub-divided as per Order conveyed in Board's Proceedings dated 6th May 1847.	The two villages were originally granted by the late Nabob of the Carnatic to Syed Goolam Hussain, and on their resumption, the money pension alluded to in the foregoing column, was granted during the pleasure of Government. No Sunnuds are submitted as the villages have been resumed.	For subsistence.	Meer Saduck Hoossain, great grandson to the original grantee by daughter, died on the 8th February 1865.	Original grant ... ... Rs. 525 A. 0 P. 0 No. 1. Syed Hoossain Mohaideen, two shares ... ... 116 19 8 2. Meer Banker Hoossain ... 39 0 0 3. Meergulam Moosa Raza ... 58 5 4 4. Syed Abasally ... ... 58 5 4 5. Appage Hoossain ... ... 39 5 4 6. Meer Syed Ally ... ... 39 0 0 7. Meer Subder Hoossain ... 58 5 4 8. Meer Saduck Hoossain ... 58 5 4 467 5 4 First lapse in No. 5, vide Extract from Minutes of Consultation, 1st February 1853 ... ... 19 0 0 Second lapse in No. 6, vide Extract from Minutes of Consultation, 5th September 1855, conveyed in Board's Proceedings, 17th September 1855, No. 2,490. 19 0 0 Third lapse in No. 2, vide Order of Government, 29th March 1862, communicated in Board's Proceedings, 2nd April 1862 ... 19 5 4 57 10 8 Total ... 525 0 0	Age. The surviving relations of the deceased are— 1st. His wife Hoossain Begum. 2nd. His brother Meer Syed Ally 50 3rd. His brother Meer Syed Madally ... ... 43 4th. His daughter Padarubeebee 5th. His son-in-law Meer Sultan Ally. 6th. Meergalam Hoossain, son to No. 4. 7th. Meergulam Ally, uncle's son to the deceased. 8th. Meer Appadally, uncle's son to the deceased. 9th. Meer Zafer Hoossain, adopted son to the deceased ... 12 Nos. 2, 3, 5, 7, and 8 have been participating in the pension enjoyed by the deceased, but nothing is known of the other means of subsistence possessed by them.

Column 8 of Statement.—Recommendation of the Collector, and the grounds on which it was made.

1. It will be seen from the preceding column that a large family was left by the deceased Meer Syed Ally; his younger brother claims the continuance of the full amount of the pension (Rupees 58-5-4) in the name of his son, Meer Zafer Hoossain, on the ground that he has given the latter in adoption to the deceased. The Mahomedan law does not recognize adoption, and, moreover, inquiry shews that the adoption was made by the widow after the demise of her husband, which renders it void. Meer Syed Ally solicits, however, that in the event of the claims of the adopted son being rejected, the pension may be continued in the name of his younger brother Meer Syed Madally.

2. Again the son-in-law of the deceased, Meer Sultan Ally, requests that it may be continued in the name of his wife, who is the daughter of the deceased; but the records shew that she is an illegitimate daughter, and consequently the claims of this individual are inadmissible.

3. Considering all the circumstances of the case, and that both the brothers were participating in the grant during the life-time of the deceased, the Collector is of opinion that the younger brother, Meer Syed Madally, may be recognized as having some claim to the pension enjoyed by the deceased. The grant is intended, however, purely as a means of subsistence, and is resumable at the pleasure of Government, and, therefore, there is no need to continue the whole of the pension, nor can any such claim be made; and it is to be observed that on the death of other co-parceners of the original pension, similarly circumstanced as the deceased, the full amount of the pension enjoyed by them severally has not been continued to their successors, but one-third was resumed, and the remaining two-thirds only was continued. The Collector, therefore, thinks it sufficient, under the circumstances, to recommend that Rupees 39, or two-thirds of the original pension, be sanctioned in the name of Meer Syed Madally, on the understanding that the other members of the family, who were participating in the pension with the deceased, should be allowed to share ratably in the pension now to be continued.

4. This case had to be referred to the Collector of North Arcot for inquiry as the parties are residents of that District, and the records connected with it were received early in last month, and the information is still incomplete because the ages of the family of the deceased are omitted; but it was thought unnecessary to delay the submission of this statement for want of these particulars.

---

1. Submitted for the orders of Government.

2. The Board support the Collector's recommendation that two-thirds (or Rupees 39 per annum) of the allowance be continued, with arrears from 9th February 1865, to Meer Syed Madally, the younger surviving brother of the deceased, on the condition that the other members of the family, who have hitherto participated in the grant, should be allowed a proportionate share in the reduced amount likewise.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
7th May 1366.

No. 134. ORDER THEREON, 7th May 1866, No. 1,086.

Miscellaneous.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council approves the Board's recommendation that two-thirds of the allowance should be continued to Meer Syed Madally for the support of the family left by the deceased.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant General.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

1866. Department.

No. 973.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 26th March 1866.

No. 2,125.

Submitting tabular report from Collector of Salem Arcot of a lapsed Dehat Inam pension, and recommending that two thirds of the allowance enjoyed by deceased be continued to his younger surviving brother.