

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.G.O. No. *1058*

Press
Mis.

Dated *4-5-1866*Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
4th May 1866.*

No. 96. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 18th April 1866, No. 2,687.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Salem, to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 7th April 1866, No. 47 :—

1. I have the honor to bring to the notice of the Board the following case, for the consideration and orders of Government.

2. Thanikachella Mudaliar, Sheristadar of the Sub-Collector's Office, took in 1864 his whole privilege leave for that year, and before serving continuously for eleven months to entitle him again to fresh leave, (under Rule 17 of the Uncovenanted Servants' Leave of Absence Rules, published in the "Port Saint George Gazette," 1864, page 863,) obtained again privilege leave in September 1865 from Mr. Thomas, Sub-Collector. On the return of Thanikachella Mudaliar from his leave, his pay for the period he was on leave was disbursed to him, and a Supplemental Bill was submitted to the Accountant General for audit; but he retrenched his pay on the ground that he was not entitled to privilege leave, because he did not serve continuously eleven months from the date of his return from privilege leave in 1864 (November 23rd) to the date on which he went on similar leave in 1865 (18th September).

3. It appears that Thanikachella Mudaliar took his leave in 1865 for twenty days, which being less than a month, Mr. Thomas thought in granting the leave that it formed an instalment of the leave he was entitled to in 1865, and should, therefore, be regulated by the analogy of Rule 17 above quoted; i. e., that the first instalment should be not less than six months, and the second not less than eleven months, subsequent to the expiration of the previous year's leave.

4. An indulgence has already been allowed in the case of a servant, who having in one year taken an instalment of leave, desires in the next year to take his privilege leave at a less interval than eleven months. Thanikachella Mudaliar's case is the exact converse of this. As this case is not one that is provided for in the Uncovenanted Civil Service Absentee Rules, I request the favor of a ruling on the point.

5. Should the Government concur with the Accountant General, and consider that privilege leave, under the circumstances above explained, should not have been granted, I request that Thanikachella Mudaliar's case may be made a special one, and that the Accountant General may be instructed to withdraw the retrenchment of Rupees 94-6-0 made from the pay of Thanikachella Mudaliar and recovered from him. Mr. Thomas is anxious for this, as he has found Thanikachella Mudaliar "an able and hard-working Officer, and ready to sacrifice his own convenience to the public service;" and wishes that he should not be made a sufferer by what was a mere mistake.

1. The Board resolve to recommend to Government that the Sub-division Sheristadar be allowed his pay as a special case.

2. They, however, observe that there is a most essential difference between the cases quoted by the Collector in paragraph 4, viz., that in one case the party

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
4th May 1866.

had (as it were) leave *at his credit*, while in the other, (the case of the Sub-division Sheristadar,) he was not entitled to any leave.

Referred to the Accountant General for his remarks.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

21st April 1866.

From the Acting Deputy Accountant General, to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, dated Fort Saint George, 30th April 1866, No. 388.

With reference to Endorsement on Board's Proceedings No. 2,687, dated 18th instant, the undersigned has the honor to say that the Board undoubtedly takes the proper view, that the leave was improperly granted by the Sub-Collector without due consideration of the rules (which forbid instead of suggesting the reading chosen), and that any indulgence can only be specially granted. But if Government be pleased to grant the Board's request, the undersigned does not think any further special orders necessary, as the absentee will be regarded as having returned with a clean bill, having leave neither at his credit nor his debit.

No. 97. ORDER THEREON, 4th May 1866, No. 1,068.

Miscellaneous.

The Government accede to the Board's recommendation as a special case.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant General.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

740/
Referred to
the Accountant
Genl for his
remarks

740
Recd. 1866. Department.
Chas. Gault, for Secy

No. 1139.
PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

L. D. Sim
21-4-66. Secy to Govt.

Dated 18th April 1866.

Depy Acct. Genl.
Recd. 20th.

21-4-66.

50 copies to the Secy to Govt. & to the Secy to the Board of Revenue.
The pt. accede
to the Bd's recom-
mendation as
a special case

No. 2,687.

Recommending that the Sub-division Sheristadar of Salem be allowed pay for the period he was on leave.

L. D. Sim
21-4-66.
in report with
No. 97.

21-4-66 & May

10580 P. H. D. C. L. 2