

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

*Revenue* DEPARTMENT.

|          |         |                                     |
|----------|---------|-------------------------------------|
| G.O. No. | 982     | <del>Press</del><br><del>Mis.</del> |
|          | 193-194 |                                     |
| Dated    | 11. 5 - | 1863.                               |

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,  
11th May 1865.*

No. 193. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 22nd April 1865, No. 2,041.

Read again letter from the Collector of Ganjam, dated 10th February 1865, No. 24, in Board's Proceedings, 16th March 1865, No. 1,503.

Read also the following letter from W. ROBINSON, Esq., Inspector General of Police, to R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated Madras, 23rd March 1865, No. 637 :—

SIR,

1. With reference to Proceedings of the Board of Revenue of the 16th March 1865, No. 1,503, I have the honor to point out that it rests with the Department requiring the services of Policemen to obtain sanction of Government for the same.

2. Paragraph 10 of the Government Order quoted in the Board's Proceedings lays down that "the officers of Police are the proper authority for determining the strength of a guard, and directs accordingly that every application from a Department for Policemen shall be scrutinized by the proper officers of Police."

3. Government ruled in their Order No. 1,200 of the 29th July 1862, Judicial Department, that "the general rules regarding salaries and establishments will apply to Police guards employed for other Departments."

4. It therefore appears to me that the proper authority to obtain the sanction of Government for this guard is the Board of Revenue, and I therefore beg to suggest this course. If the Government decides that the increase is required, it will then be the duty of the Police officers to examine the matter and report with how small a force the extra duty may be undertaken.

5. I must at once admit that the increase is required. And it must be within the knowledge of Mr. Clarke, Member of your Board, who has so recently visited and examined all your salt pans that the force employed to protect this important source of revenue is everywhere miserably inadequate. The men are over-worked, and cannot possibly overtake the extended duties required of them; but we dare not withdraw from the protection of life and property the number of men that is required for this fiscal duty. To increase the number of salt guards would increase the Budget, in which no provision has been made, and the Government of India have refused to allow an increase. I think it will be quite possible to increase, for the present Fusly, the period for which the extra guards are employed in Ganjam, and to meet the charge from savings; but I fear the additional establishment is out of the question.

1. Resolved that the foregoing paper be submitted to Government with the Board's request that the temporary increase of the salt guard in the Ganjam District, be sanctioned.

2. The Board are still under the impression that as the necessity of this temporary increase of the guard has been acknowledged by the Head of the Department requiring the service, and by the Head of the Police Department, it was the duty of the latter Department under the orders quoted to provide the guard from the means at its disposal, or, if necessary, to apply for sanction to increase those means. They would also observe that if the means of concentration proposed by the Third Member are carried out it may be possible to meet the general increase advocated by Mr. Robinson without any additional duty to the Collector.

No. 194. ORDER THEREON, 11th May 1865, No. 982.

|                           |
|---------------------------|
| Separate Revenue, No. 15. |
| Salt.                     |

1. The temporary increase of the salt guard in the Ganjam District, is sanctioned.

2. The question raised in the first part of paragraph 2 of the Board's Proceedings will be considered in the Judicial Department.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM;

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.  
,, the Judicial Department.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 16th March 1865.

Read the following letter from E. B. FOORD, Esq., Acting Collector of Ganjam, to R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chatterpore, 10th February 1865, No. 24:—

1. I have the honor to submit, for the Board's consideration, copy of an application from my Salt Deputy Collector for additional guards during the present manufacturing season, and containing a request that the period of guarding the salt pans might be extended during the current Fasli from four to five and a half months.

2. I have consulted the Superintendent of Police on the possibility of withdrawing men from other posts, and stationing them at the salt pans; but that Officer declares his inability to comply with my request.

3. Under these circumstances, and considering the exceptional character of the present season, I would request the Board to sanction, as a temporary measure, an increase of forty Constables for the protection of all the pans of the District, the charges for which might be met from the provision in the Budget under I. "Land Revenue" minor head "Salt," Item "Purchase of Salt and Manufacturer's share."

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

From D. VENKATA NARSINGA ROW, Deputy Collector in charge of Salt Department, to E. B. FOORD, Esq., Acting Collector of Ganjam, dated Chicacole, 20th January 1865, No. 14.

1. I have the honor to bring to your notice that the number of additional Police Constables, sanctioned to watch the salt pans during the manufacturing season, is seventy-five, and the time fixed for their employment is only four months. The number of Constables, and the period fixed for their employment, is quite insufficient; but I did not urge the matter immediately after I was informed of it, as the last manufacturing season was unfavorable, and the works were closed earlier than usual.

2. The salt works in this District are generally commenced in December, and the salt evaporates in large quantities from the 1st of February to the end of July. Arrangements can be made to close the manufacture at the end of June, which would be five months; but if heavy rains do not fall at the beginning of July, there will be spontaneous salt evaporating in the beds, and pilfering will be occasioned by it. I would, therefore, solicit you to recommend to the Board of Revenue to extend the period of watching the pans for five and a half months.

3. You are aware that the Itchapore salt pans have been extended during the current Fasli, for want of greater quantity of salt. Mr. Clarke, the Member of the Board of Revenue, in the 20th paragraph of his report to Government, dated 5th April 1864, on this District, expressed his opinion that twenty Police Constables are quite sufficient to watch the Ganjam salt pans, and the Government in their Order, dated 6th July 1864, No. 1,168, expressed "that the insufficiency of the preventive guard will be brought to the notice of the Inspector General of Madras Police."

4. As the crops in the District have failed during the last year, while the people are very poor, I am afraid that unless proper steps are taken in time, thefts will be committed to a large extent, which will of course affect the sales. I will, therefore, beg you will recommend for forty Constables more for the protection of the pans in the District, in addition to those already sanctioned. I intend to allot them as below:—

30 At Ganjam pans, straggling to four miles in circumference.

22 At Itchapore pans, which are two miles in circumference.

35 At Nowpada salt pans, which are four miles in circumference.

23 At Womeravelly salt pans, which are three miles in circumference.

115 This was the number of additional Peons that were formerly employed to guard the pans, and if the same number of Constables are employed for about two or three years to check the smuggling, they may gradually be reduced again to a smaller number.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) E. B. FOORD,  
Acting Collector.

1. The Board admit the necessity of the increased guards for the salt pans applied for by the Collector, but their cost cannot be charged to the Salt Contingencies as proposed by the Collector. The charge should be incurred by the Police out of the provision made in the Police Budget. The necessary sanction for the charge should be obtained by the Superintendent of Police, through the Inspector General. The charge being debitable to the Police Department, though the service is performed in the Revenue Department, (see Proceedings of the Government of India, dated 31st July 1863, No. 3,468, communicated to the Collector with the Board's Standing Circular, dated 12th September 1863, No. 123).

2. The Inspector General of Mofussil Police will be requested to instruct the Superintendent of Police of Ganjam to take the necessary steps in the matter.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,  
Acting Secretary.

To E. B. Foord, Esq., Acting Collector of Ganjam.

Copy to W. Robinson, Esq., Inspector General of Mofussil Police.

Exd. J. Wright.

Sanctioning the temporary employment of an additional guard of 40 Constables for protecting the Ganjam Salt Pans, and requesting the Inspector General of Mofussil Police to instruct the Superintendent of Police in that District to take the necessary steps for meeting the charge.

No. 1,503.

Dated 16th March 1865.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

No.

1865.

Department.

No. 2,041.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 22nd April 1865.

Read again letter from the Collector of Ganjam, dated 10th February 1865, No. 24, in Board's Proceedings, 16th March 1865, No. 1,503.

Read also the following letter from W. ROBINSON, Esq., Inspector General of Police, to R. A. DALYELL Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Madras, 23rd March 1865, No. 637:—

1. With reference to Proceedings of the Board of Revenue of the 16th March 1865, No. 1,503, I have the honor to point out that it rests with the Department requiring the services of Policemen to obtain sanction of Government for the same.

2. Paragraph 10 of the Government order quoted in the Board's Proceedings lays down that the Officers of Police are the proper authority for determining the strength of a guard, and directs accordingly that every application from a Department for Policemen shall be scrutinized by the proper officers of Police.

3. Government ruled in their order No. 1,200 of the 23rd July 1862, Judicial Department, that "the general rules regarding salaries and establishments will apply to Police guards employed for other Departments."

4. It therefore appears to me that the proper authority to obtain the sanction of Government for this guard is the Board of Revenue, and I therefore beg to suggest this course. If the Government decides that the increase is required, it will then be the duty of the Police officers to examine the matter and report with how small a force the extra duty may be undertaken.

5. I must at once admit that the increase is required. And it must be within the knowledge of Mr. Clarke, Member of your Board, who has so recently visited and examined all your salt pans that the force employed to protect this important source of revenue is everywhere miserably inadequate. The men are over-worked, and cannot possibly overtake the extended duties required of them; but we dare not withdraw from the protection of life and property the number of men that is required for this fiscal duty. To increase the number of salt guards would increase the Budget, in which no provision has been made, and the Government of India have refused to allow an increase. I think it will be quite possible to increase, for the present Fasli, the period for which the extra guards are employed in Ganjam, and to meet the charge from savings; but I fear the additional establishment is out of the question.

1. Resolved that the foregoing paper be submitted to Government with the Board's request that the temporary increase of the Salt guard in the Ganjam District, be sanctioned.

2. The Board are still under the impression that as the necessity of this temporary increase of the guard has been acknowledged by the Head of the Department requiring the service, and by the Head of the Police Department, it was the duty of the latter Department under the orders quoted to provide the guard from the means at its disposal, or, if necessary, to apply for sanction to increase those means. They would also observe that if the means of concentration proposed by the third Member are carried out it may be possible to meet the general increase advocated by Mr. Robinson without any additional duty to the Collector.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

*G. Hunter*  
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.,  
with copy of Board's Proceedings 16th March 1865, No. 1,503.

Copy to E. B. Foord, Esq., Acting Collector of Ganjam.

" to W. Robinson, Esq., Inspector General of Mofussil Police.

Exd. R. H. Lea.

Ex 7 Aug 5/6202 90-91  
 18 Sept/63-449-100  
 6 July/64-100-101

Recd = 1865. Department  
*Don't get any more*

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE  
 No. 1105.  
 1865 Dec 16 2944

Dated 22nd April 1865.  
 Dec 20 2944  
 Dec 22 2944

Dec 20 2944  
 Dec 21 2944  
 No 2041 2003

193-194

Submitting letter from the Inspector General  
 of Police and requesting sanction for the tem-  
 porary increase of the Salt guard in the Gam-  
 jam District.

*1902-11 Aug*  
 With an Order

82.982

The temporary increase of the  
 Salt guard in the Gamjam District is  
 sanctioned.

2. The question raised in the

first part of para 2 of the Board's Resolution  
 will be considered in the Judicial Department

*W. J. J. J.*

14 Board of Revenue Dec 13 1865  
 Judicial Department  
 110-21 Aug 1865

25 Aug 105