

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 640	Press Mis.
391. 895	
Dated	23 - 3 - 1865.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
23rd March 1865.*

Read the following papers:—

No. 391. From E. LEFAUCHEUR, Esq., to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Madras, 23rd December 1864.

SIR,

I have the honor to submit, to your consideration, copy of several letters which I wrote to the Collector of the Godavery District, about my property which is situated on the bank of the Cocanada river, and observe that:—

First.—By Proceedings dated 9th August 1864, the Madras Government admitted that unless my property was purchased, the improvements advocated by Sir Charles Trevelyan and approved by Government, could not be carried out, and ordered thereon that the Board should ascertain from the Collector what subscription would probably be realized from the inhabitants of Cocanada as grant-in-aid. The inhabitants refused to subscribe for many reasons, which I stated to the Collector by letter dated 3rd September, No. 4.

Second.—I heard, subsequently, that Government ordered the Collector to ascertain from the Engineers what could be done with the property when purchased.

Third.—Since nearly nine months the doubt of keeping or losing my property kept me in great inconvenience and losses. I beg consequently to submit that, as the necessity of purchasing my property is now fully admitted by Government, the settlement should take place without further delay and inconvenience to me. As a settlement the most equitable, I beg further to submit that the valuation of the property should be made by arbitrators named one by Government, and the other by me; and in case of dispute between the two arbitrators, by a third arbitrator named by them.

Here enclosed two sets of letters and a Map of my property.

No. 392. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 9th February 1865, No. 750.

Read the following letter from J. FRASER, Esq., Officiating Collector of the Godavery District, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Ramachendrapur, 23rd January 1865, No. 9.

1. In reply to the Proceedings of the Board, noted in the margin, and the Order* of Government thereon, I have the honor to state that, supposing Government to purchase Monsieur

No. 6,255 of 22nd September 1864.

* No. 1,846, 8th October 1864, conveyed with the Board's Proceedings dated 11th idem.

LeFaucheur's property, the only advisable way that I can think of for disposing of what remains of it, after sufficient has been taken to widen the road-way along the river bank to 40 feet, is to sell it by public auction.

2. The widening the road-way, as originally intended by Sir Charles Trevelyan, will take down the whole front verandah of the house; what is then left of it might, with some small additions, be made into a public Bungalow, which would be a great boon at Cocanada; but for a private residence, the removal of the verandah would render the building quite unfit.

3. I saw Captain Ryves a short time ago, for about half an hour, at Cocanada, and spoke of this property among other things, and what should be done with it if purchased by Government. Captain Ryves suggested that any interference with the house might be avoided by encroaching on the river, where it stands, to the extent required to make the road 40 feet wide. This, however, would make an unsightly projection into it, and narrow the originally proposed width of the river, which was to be every where 100 yards I believe.

4. M. LeFaucheur values his property roughly at 15,000 Rupees; and I think that as ground in that direction is valuable, the remainder might be sold by auction, at 1½ Rupee per square yard of surface, exclusive of what the remainder of the house might fetch. A building site next to the site of the new Post Office, and nearer the bridge than M. LeFaucheur's house, containing 444 square yards, was lately sold at auction for 1,050 Rupees, this high price being realized in consequence of there being much competition for the piece of ground which was sold.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
23rd March 1865.

5. The actual value of the house and ground must be declared by the Engineer Department.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted for the information and orders of Government, with reference to their Order quoted by the Collector.

No. 393. From E. LEFAUCHEUR, Esq., to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Cocanada, 23rd February 1865.

SIR,

1. Having not as yet received any intimation about the claim I had the honor to renew before you, by letter dated 23rd December last, I beg to pray you to be so good as to let me know, if the said claim has been laid before Government, what has been the decision rendered there upon.

2. I most earnestly pray you for a quick settlement of my claim, as by the doubt of losing or keeping my property, I am kept in the greatest inconveniences.

No. 394. From T. A. N. CHASE, Esq., Sub-Collector in charge of Godavery District, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Narsapur, 11th March 1865, No. 7.

SIR,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your Official Memorandum, No. 41, dated 4th instant, and to state that the Government Order of the 8th October 1864, No. 1,846, regarding M. LeFaucheur's property at Cocanada, was answered by Mr. Fraser on the 23rd January 1865, through the Board (copy of which is herein enclosed), and by their Proceedings, No. 750, dated 9th ultimo, it also appears that Mr. Fraser's letter was ordered to be submitted to Government.

2. On the 28th February, the Superintending Engineer, 1st Division, was requested to send the plan referred to in the Proceedings of Government above quoted, and on receiving the same, it will be forwarded without delay.

No. 395. ORDER THEREON, 23rd March 1865, No. 640.

Miscellaneous.

Ordered to lie over pending the receipt of the Map referred to from the Superintending Engineer.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

By the Collector of Godavery.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

Santhi collah, 18th March

Le Faucheur Esq.

Cocanada,

I have just received your letter of this date, in which you state that in the event of its being decided to carry out the proposed road, you are willing to dispose of your house & grounds for a fair remuneration. You also consider that the property will be depreciated in value by the raising of the road in front of it. In reply I beg to inform you that it is not in my power to purchase your property, otherwise I would have done so immediately; as until your house is removed, at any rate the front part of it, it will not be possible to carry out to the full extent the proposed improvements of the River & town of Cocanada. I believe this is a matter for the consideration of the Cocanada Municipal Association, as it is of a purely local nature.

2. I must now inform you & those gentlemen who are so opposed to the raising of the road, that to suit your united wishes, and only as a temporary arrangement, the road will be made only (2) two feet higher than the stone pavement instead of (4) four feet as originally intended, commencing from the foot of the approach to the New bridge up to the East end of your compound, from which point up to the pynes beyond the Light House, where no private property interferes, it will be raised to the full height of four (4) feet. — This height (4 feet)

218 feet.

will also be given to the embankment on the South side
of the river. The natural consequence of this inequality
of height will be that, in the event of a very high tide
accompanied by a strong Easterly wind & a high fresh
in the Salween (as occurred in 1838 & may again happen
at any time) your houses & the Jail & other will suffer
most, & the flood will be forced over the ~~embankment~~
embankment in front of your houses by the higher
embankment above & below them.

You & the other gentlemen who complain of the
inconvenience of the road being raised ~~up~~ do not
seem to be aware that the first & chief reason for
constructing such a high road is to protect the town
which is situated on ~~such~~ so low a level, from
such disastrous floods as that of 1838; and it seems
to me most unreasonable that the safety of the
whole town should be sacrificed to the present interest
of a few private individuals.

I have etc, etc
Respectfully J. Stabourne Major
E. Esq. J. D.

To 195.
From J. A. Chase Esquire
Collector in charge
M. L. Stabourne
Co Canada,

Sir
In reply to your letter to Mr Newell dated
the 8th April; I have the honor to inform you that
I have visited the road in front of your house in
company with Col. Chambers & Major Stoddard
who informed me that immediately in front of
your dwelling house, no embankment or road
will be raised.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
(Signed) J. Chase
Sub-collector in charge

100.

Madame District
Collector's Office
Cecumada
14 July 1864

From James Fraser Esquire
Officiating Collector J. C.
To M. E. Leitch Esquire

Sir, I have the honor to request that you will
inform me whether you have a deed of Sale for the
house & grounds which you possess on the Bank of
the Cecumada river, which describes the boundaries
of the property; & if so that you will favor me
with a sight of it.
I have the honor to be

Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
(Signed) J. Fraser
Officiating Collector

No 262.

Madame District
Collector's Office
Cecumada
14 July 1864

From James Fraser Esquire
Officiating Collector
To M. E. Leitch Esquire

Sir, I have the honor to receive the
deed of Sale forwarded with your letter of the 27th.
An acknowledgment is requested.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
(Signed) J. Fraser
Officiating Collector

Proceedings of the Indian Government
Revenue Department, 9th Aug 1864

1. Resolved that the above papers be submitted for the consideration & decision of Government.
2. The fact being to be that unless Mr de Haucheur's property be purchased by Government, the improvement advocated by Sir Charles Cresswell in para 8 of his minute dated 15 October 1859 and approved by Government in Government Order dated 26 Decr 1864 Revenue Department, cannot be carried out, nor the safety of the town of Cocanada from inundation be secured, while delay in purchasing the property will involve enhanced expense in its ultimate acquisition.

Order thereon, 9th August 1864 No 1434 by Govt.

As the work alluded to is mainly for the benefit of the inhabitants, a grant-in-aid of private contributions would be the appropriate course for adoption. - The Board will ascertain from the Collector what subscriptions would probably be realized.

True extract

(Signed) C. J. Master

Deputy Secretary to Govt.

With reference to the above quoted Proceedings of the Board of Revenue & Order of Government, thereon, which refer to the contemplated purchase of Mr de Haucheur's property on the North bank of the Cocanada River, roughly valued by him at R. 15000. The undersigned will feel obliged by the European and Native Residents in Cocanada & Jaffernaidhpoorena stating briefly opposite their names in the accompa-

—ing

saying saying whether they are or are not willing to contribute and to what amount for the carrying out of the work alluded to by Government,
(Signed) J. Fraser

Cocanada
22nd Sept, 1864

N^o 1.

6
Barnada, 8th April 1864

To
Mr. Maxwell Esq^r
Collector & Magistrate
of the Federal District
Barnada.

Sir,

I have the honor to communicate to you
a letter I wrote to Major Stoddard on the 18th March
and his answer to it.

You will see from this correspondence that
the road in front of my house is to be raised presently
to 2 feet & hereafter to 4 feet.

The simple inspection of the place proves
enough how detrimental this will be both to my house
and to my compound which extends to more than 200
yards on the side of the said road. Indeed, the road
if raised, would be higher than the floor of my veranda
which stands by its side; the disposition of the house
would render it then completely uninhabitable.

I saw with Major Stoddard that it would
be unreasonable that the safety of the whole town
should be sacrificed to the present interests of a few
private individuals; as a professional man he must
be right when he says that the object of the raising
of the road, is to protect the town against inundation.
I am ready therefore to contribute proportionally to
the works so said to be beneficial to the public;
but it must be confessed that, between such propor-
tional contribution & the great damage done to my
property, there is indeed too great a difference.

Sure confidence, in
~~the fact~~ ^{the fact} ~~that~~ ^{that} ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~road~~ ^{road} ~~cannot~~ ^{cannot}
 be ~~made~~ ^{made} ~~actually~~ ^{actually}:-
 sooner or later, the bottom at the front of the house must
 be filled up, & even the first part of my parambark -
 taken down. Since such it must be, I cannot, to
 speak all my mind, view the actual concession but
 as a means for gaining time & perhaps, too, for evading
 payment of compensations, by carrying by slow degrees
 what would ~~be~~ too plainly appear most injurious if
 done at once. If I am mistaken in this appreciation,
 I would most sincerely apologize for having expressed it
 The

The passing of the bill has been carried so far
now, that I cannot entertain any further hope of,
saving my house, my only design consequently is to
obtain an compensation for such a loss.

I beg therefore to submit that the road be carried
according to the wishes of the Engineer after previous
agreement for indemnity; or else, if the present plan
is maintained, that arbitrators should decide the degree
of satisfaction my property would be put to by it.
For I must again assure you, Sir, to answer a
reproach from Major Stoddart, that I will always
be ready to contribute my share to whatever
is done for public good; but in this instance, the
burden required from me, would be really too heavy
in view of my means. And I offer that my
claim for compensation should be laid in the hands
of independent arbitrators, it would be unjust that
it should be assigned to be compensated.
I have the honor to be, Sir, your obedient servant.

Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Edmond E. Le Breton.

1785
Montreal, 22 July 1864
The Hon. J. G. B. Esq.
Collector of the Customs District
Montreal, Canada.

In compliance with your letter of yesterday's
date, I have the honor to forward to you the seed
of the plant which I have been growing in the back of which
are the same plants that I have been growing with it. I have
the honor to be, Sir, your obedient servant.
Edmond E. Le Breton.

104

Cocanada, 3rd September 1869

J. Fraser Esq.
Collector & Magistrate
of the Sadar District
Cocanada,

I have this morning been presented with a Circular issued by you under an Order from Government for the purpose of collecting Subscriptions from the Community of this place for the purchase of my house. You will easily comprehend why I could not enter in it, in regard of my name, anything but my adhesion to the ~~view~~ ^{view} of the majority. This majority, however, I have been able to judge from the Signatures already secured, is entirely opposed to such Subscription.

This does not at all seem to me extraordinary; for, if the opinion of the Engineers & of the high Authority who sanctioned the embankment of the River proves the necessity of such works, the inhabitants of Cocanada, on the other hand, have already submitted to sacrifices, & will have to submit to still larger sacrifices, for the first of all objects they are in need of viz: - the construction of Roads.

It might further have occurred to the Community, that the purchase of my property would not, after all, in any way be a loss to Government; for my compound alone, by its position along the river, will always possess an intrinsic value of at least half a Rupee per Square yard (there ^{are} about 15500 Square yards)

yards) which is the last price paid for adjoining ground in less favorable conditions. Moreover, the house itself, which by the rising of the embankment would be uninhabitable for a family, might very well indeed, with a few alterations, be employed by Government as Office such as a Court, Post and Telegraph Office &c.

Government therefore would not lose by the acquisition of my property in any way, even after the alterations necessitated by the embankment while I would. -

I have thought necessary to bring these observations under your consideration; and I beg to propose that you should submit them to Government. I have the honor to be,

Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
(Signed) C. Le Faucheur.

Yiddish in exit - Boneville

25



Zel.

To Col. Forsaver.

Reply in short delay
to G. O. & Oct last
No 1846. R. D. replying
Mr. Le Fancher's note
at Cocanada.

L. D.

Per 1864-1865
No. of 1864-1865
3338

From
Mr. He - Train
= chevri

Dated 23rd 3rd 1864
Received 23rd 7 1864

Requesting that
the valuation of his
property situated on
the Bank of the Co-
= Canada river may
be ordered without
further delay.

No. 640.

23rd March
1863.

L

Asy

8th October/64 No 40-71
C 1-3388/64 & 399-569/65

Pub - 21 Oct / 59 No 84 / 5
 Re - 26 - - - - 43 - 44
 Re - 9 Aug / 64 153 / 4
 o - 8 Oct / 64 70 - 71

[illegible]

Ms. A. 9. 1. v. 395.

Rev. 1865 to 1866
 from Dr. of Law "W. 760.
 He has collected

Forburg Paduany d^o

To the Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
Fort St. George

Date 11th March 1865

Reun 16th.

Not
Further C.A. 117/53-
Encls encr one

McCre-

Stating that the Look
 order of the 8th October 1845
 of 1846 regarding the de Sanchez
 map it was answered
 on the 23^d January last
 thro' the Board of Revenue.
 Ordered to lie over
 pending the receipt of
 the map referred to from
 the Supt. Eng^r.
 15 March / 65.