

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	568	Press
		~~Mis.~~
	248. 249	
Dated	16-3-1865.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
16th March 1865.*

No. 248. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 13th January 1864, No. 202.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Madura, dated 12th December 1863, No. 288:—

1. With reference to the Order of the Board dated the 24th October last, on Petition No. 2,972 of 1863, I have the honor to submit

• Dated 24th March 1863, No. 171.

copy of the Deputy Collector's decision* with translation, and to report that when the land was applied for, and whilst inquiry was going on before the Tahsildar, it was not brought to his notice that Petitioners used it as a threshing floor, and he accordingly granted it to the Durkhasdar.

2. Petitioners appealed to the Deputy Collector, who reversed the Tahsildar's Order on the ground that the land was used by them as a threshing floor.

3. A special appeal was made to me. I found that the land was assessed at the Faisal, and that there was sufficient ground close to it, set apart for a threshing floor. Under the sanction of the Tahsildar the land was cultivated by the Durkhasdar, and Putta issued in his name. I therefore allowed him to retain the land.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Deputy Collector's Decision.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.—Petition.

The Board consider that Petitioners should not suffer in consequence of the Kurnum having failed to bring their claims before the Tahsildar. The orders of the Deputy Collector should be carried out at the close of the present Fusly.

No. 249.

ORDER THEREON, 16th March 1865, No. 563.

Land Revenue.

The Government gather from the Collector's report that the land in question had never been assigned as a common threshing floor, and that Respondents had never paid its assessment nor procured its regular transfer to them. Under these circumstances the Board are requested to re-consider their decision.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. T. O'Connor,

[Translation]

Order issued to the Tahildar of Nadur
Taluk, by Pashaykeri Rao Deputy Collector
of Nadur dated 25th March 1863 No 171.

Your Order No 147 of the 6th Instant
together with documents of enquiry held and
submitted by you in answer to Order No 34
of the 17th January last which was issued
with reference to an Order previously written
by you on an appeal presented by Karian
Pamarsawing Rao and others of Ayen Pappa-
rady village have been received.

The Buznom is fined, stopped for
having fraudulently omitted to enter in the
Column of Remarks the facts of the land having
been used by the Appellants and others for
stacking straw and as thrashing floors which
sum you are ordered to collect from him
and credit in the Accounts. As the
Duskaradar has already cultivated the
land, you will prepare the Guttah in his
name for this year alone and from the next
year let the Guttah be made out in the
Appellants name. You will also recover

the

the cost of the wall from the Appellants and
pay it to the Daskastlan. If any dispute
arises in the matter it will be considered
as having been caused by the Gurnams.

(Signed) D. Chaykhai (Pam)

Deputy Collector

(True Translation)

(Signed) W. H. Loring

Collector

Mem

349.

An appeal against
order of the Board
No. 202.

Appellants have
checked for land
in dispute & got
it made over to
them in the usual
way by the tahsil
dar.

Respondents then
went to the Dept
Collector in charge, &
told him that
they had long been

very much
in the
order of the
Board
No. 202.
Appellants have
checked for land
in dispute & got
it made over to
them in the usual
way by the tahsil
dar.
Respondents then
went to the Dept
Collector in charge, &
told him that
they had long been

Exd. J. Wright.

Exd. J. Wright

using the land as
a threshing floor
&c. The District Court
found the karnam
for not having
informed the taluk
Chaldar of this
fact, & ordered that
the land should
be restored to Res-
pondents at the
end of the fushli

Appellants then
went to the Court.
He found that res-
pondents had not
acquired any title
to the land, inas-
much as they had

This is not distinctly
stated but is evident
from the Court's report.

only made use of it &
had never applied
for it or paid the
tax leviable on it.
He further found
that there was other
land in the neigh-
borhood that could
be used as a threshing
floor. He accordingly
admitted the daskhar
right & gave the land
to Appellants.

The Board have
now reversed this
decision on the
ground that res-
pondents ought
not to suffer from
the fault of the

And the Board of District Judges
was not satisfied with the decision.

I would say

No. 568.

"The put for this
from the Collector
put that the land
in question had
never been assigned
as a common thresh-
ing floor & that re-
spondents had
never paid it as
Lassam or pro-
ceeded its replace-
transfer to them
under these cir-
cumstances. It
is one request
to reconsider their
decision. J.D.V.
16th March/55.
cannot see why the Board has recommended to

Karnam in not bring
their claims to the
notice of the Tah-
sildar.

It seems to me the
even if the claim was
made had been
brought before the
Tahsildar he must
have given the land
to applicants. The
only way to acquire
a title to govt. land
is to get it transferred
the usual way &
then the respondents did not see the
land with a right
paying for it. Hence I

No. 202.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 15th January 1864.

Read the following letter from the Collector.

Dated 12th December 1863, No. 202.

1. With reference to the Order of the Board dated the 24th October last, on Petition

No. 2,572 of 1863, I have the honor to submit

Dated 25th March 1863, No. 171.

copy of the Deputy Collector's decision with

translation, and to report that when the land was
applied for, and whilst inquiry was going on before the Tahsildar, it was not brought to his
notice that Petitioners used it as a thrashing floor, and he accordingly granted it to the
Durkhasdar.

2. Petitioners appealed to the Deputy Collector, who reversed the Tahsildar's Order on the
ground that the land was used by them as a thrashing floor.

3. A special appeal was made to me. I found that the land was assessed at the Faisal,
and that there was sufficient ground close to it, set apart for a thrashing floor. Under the
sanction of the Tahsildar the land was cultivated by the Durkhasdar, and Puttah issued in his
name. I therefore allowed him to retain the land.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Deputy Collector's Decision.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.—Petition.

The Board consider that Petitioners should not suffer in consequence of the Karnam having
failed to bring their claims before the Tahsildar. The orders of the Deputy Collector should be
carried out at the close of the present Fasli.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Sub-Secretary.

To A. R. McDonell, Esq., Acting Collector of Madura.

Edw. J. Wright.

Dec 1864. Department
Honorable Secy of War

No. 218.
PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REFUGEE.

Read 18th January 1864
Reverend 135 French
Rev. Mr. J. J. Williams
of 80 York St. N.Y.

No. 202

Mr. 668. 18. 8. 1864. 1/65

Passing orders on the claim of Petitioners in
No. 2972 of 1863, to certain land used as a
brushing floor.

With an order

Chas. H. 249.