

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	382	Press
		~~Misc.~~
	408.409	
Dated	22. 2	1865.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
22nd February 1865.*

No. 408.

Read the following papers:—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 14th November 1864, No. 7,418.

Read again letter from the Officiating Collector of the Godavery District, dated 15th July 1864, No. 178, recorded in Board's Proceedings, dated 13th August 1864, No. 5,196.

Read also the following letter from the same Officer, dated Cocanada, 18th October 1864, No. 266:—

1. With reference to the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 13th August 1864, No. 5,196, I have the honor to inform you that the Sayer and Abkarry of the Rumpa country, with the exception of Abkarry in six villages, has been farmed out by the Munsubdar to Baddireddi Kamanna and Palepu Bamaiya for a term of five years, or from Fuslies 1274 to 1278 inclusive, at 2,000 Rupees a year. The Abkarry in the six villages has been farmed out to six different individuals for the sums and periods mentioned in the

One Division for five years from Fuslies 1274 to 1278 at...	Rs. A. P.	38 8 0
One do. for three years from Fuslies 1273 to 1275 at...	116 0 0	
One do. do. do. at...	33 0 0	
One do. do. do. at...	15 0 0	
One do. for three years from Fuslies 1274 to 1276 at...	30 8 0	
	233 0 0	

margin, at a total amount of 233 Rupees a year. The conditions of the lease for 2,000 Rupees are, that the renters should give up the farm at once, without any compensation, if the Government order the discontinuance of Sayer and Abkarry. As for the rent of Abkarry for six villages for 233 Rupees, no such conditions have

been entered into by the parties in the Puttahs and Muchilkas executed between them and the Munsubdar. As soon as the Munsubdar prohibits the renter from collecting Abkarry revenue, the Government will have the option of collecting it, and the present renters of these villages may be permitted to hold these farms for the sist and period farmed out by the Munsubdar. A statement showing the collections by the Munsubdar on account of Sayer and Abkarry between Fuslies 1257 and 1268, as well as for Fusly 1273, is herewith submitted.

2. I beg to forward a Statement showing the cost of the Rumpa Police Establishment, amounting to Rupees 4,374 per annum.

3. The amount of collections by the Munsubdar in Fusly 1273, from sources of revenue other than Sayer and Abkarry, is shown in the		margin. Though the Munsubdar should cer-
Land Revenue	Rs. A. P.	4,405 8 0
Wood cutting tax	132 0 0	
Grass rent	10 0 0	
	4,547 8 0	

his country, as he is bound to do by his Sunnud, the cost of the Police now maintained there is greater than is required for this object solely. The Police now there have, in addition to preserving the peace, to detect and repress crime. The Munsubdar now has an income, all sources included, of Rupees 6,780 per annum; and of this 1,000 Rupees are very grudgingly and rather irregularly paid by the Muttadars to him. As the Board are aware, he is not a popular man in the country; and his return to live in it would probably be followed by disturbances. I do not think that he can fairly be charged with the whole cost of the Police maintained in his country though he should pay a portion, say half of it.

4. The three Petitions, Nos. 2,095, 2,315 and 2,601 of 1864, presented to the Board by the Munsubdar on the subject of Sayer and Abkarry, and referred to me with reference to the Board's Proceedings under reply, are herewith returned.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

Statement showing the collections made by the Munsubdar of Rumpa on account of Sayer and Abkarry, from Fusly 1258 to Fusly 1267 and for Fusly 1273.

Number.	Fuslies.	Sayer.			Abkarry.			Total.		
		RS.	A.	P.	RS.	A.	P.	RS.	A.	P.
1	1258 ...	1,800	0	0	450	0	0	2,250	0	0
2	1259 ...	1,060	0	0	320	0	0	1,380	0	0
3	1260 ...	1,780	0	0	330	0	0	2,110	0	0
4	1261 ...	1,750	0	0	450	0	0	2,200	0	0
5	1262 ...	1,210	0	0	366	8	0	1,576	8	0
6	1263 ...	1,744	0	0	366	8	0	2,110	8	0
7	1264 ...	1,770	0	0	490	2	0	2,260	2	0
8	1265 ...	1,670	0	0	493	8	0	2,163	8	0
9	1266 ...	1,670	0	0	493	0	0	2,163	0	0
10	1267 ...	1,670	0	0	493	0	0	2,163	0	0
Total...		16,124	0	0	4,126	2	0	20,250	2	0
For Fusly 1273...		1,700	0	0	560	0	0	2,260	0	0
		17,824	0	0	4,686	2	0	22,510	2	0

COCANADA,
18th October 1864.

(Signed) J. FRASER,
Officiating Collector.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

Statement showing the strength of the Police employed in the Rumpa country.

Number.	Rank.	Rate of pay.			Amount per mensem.			Amount per annum.		
		RS.	A.	P.	RS.	A.	P.	RS.	A.	P.
Constabulary.	1	Inspector, 6th Class	...	...	47	0	0	564	0	0
	1	Deputy Constable	...	...	10	8	0	126	0	0
	3	Constables, 1st Class	...	...	7	0	0	252	0	0
	36	Do. 3rd Class	...	...	6	0	0	2,592	0	0
		Village Police, 35 at	...	...	2	0	0	3,534	0	0
					70	0	0	840	0	0
					364	8	0	4,374	0	0

COCANADA,
18th October 1864.

(Signed) J. FRASER,
Officiating Collector.

- Resolved that the foregoing letters, with the Petitions noted in the margin, be submitted for the consideration and orders of His Excellency the Governor in Council, with reference to the Order of Government, dated 3rd April 1864, No. 628.

Government Petitions Nos. 2,095 and 2,315 of 1864.
Board Petition No. 2,601 of 1864.

- In their Order in the Judicial Department, dated 6th October 1863, No. 1,602, the Government were pleased to decide that the terms of the engagement, which in 1848 was entered

into with the Munsubdar of Rumpa, precluded the Government from adopting the Board's recommendation that the management of the Talook should be resumed, and in the same order information was called for regarding the collection of the Abkarry and Sayer duties by the Munsubdar.

3. The Board accordingly called upon the Collector for a report on that subject, and as it appeared that, so recently as 1848, the Government had specially sanctioned the collection of the Sayer duties by the Munsubdar, and that during the period the Estate was under the Court of Wards, collections on account both of Abkarry and Sayer had been made by Government Officers on behalf of the Minor Proprietor, the Board recommended that the average collections from both sources of revenue should be carefully ascertained, and that compensation should be given to the Munsubdar for loss of income. The Board inadvertently recommended that this compensation should take the form of a reduction of Peshcush, though the Munsubdar holds the Estate free, and the Government were pleased to sanction the Board's proposition.

4. In his first letter above recorded, the Acting Collector reported that the average annual collections from both Sayer and Abkarry, during the nine years the Estate was under Government management, i. e., from 1839 to 1848, was Rupees 805-6-2, whereas in consequence of trade having improved, the collections from these sources for Fuslies 1263 to 1272 inclusive, was, on the average, Rupees 2,251-2-11 per annum. In the same letter the Collector recommended that the Munsubdar should be prohibited from making further collections, and that compensation should be granted to him at the average of the collections from both sources during the fourteen years to which reference has been made.

5. It then occurred to the Board that though the Munsubdar pays no Peshcush, he holds his Estate on the special condition of maintaining the peace of his country, a condition which he has hitherto signally failed to fulfil, and that, therefore, he was fairly chargeable with a portion, at any rate, of the cost of the Police raised for service in his Talook. The Acting Collector was accordingly requested to report his opinion on that point, and at the same time to state what was the cost of the Rumpa Police Establishment.

6. The second letter recorded at the head of these Proceedings, is Mr. Fraser's reply to this requisition. Mr. Fraser is of opinion that half the cost of the Police Establishment entertained for the maintenance of the peace, and the protection of property in the Rumpa country, is fairly debitable to the Munsubdar. In the same letter, Mr. Fraser gives the average collections made by the Munsubdar from Sayer and Abkarry from 1848 to 1857, at Rupees 2,310 a year, and reports that the farms of these sources of revenue have now been let for Rupees 2,233 per annum, with a power of cancelment in the greater part of the farm, in case these branches of revenue should be resumed by Government.

7. The total charge for Police in the Rumpa country is Rupees 4,374 per annum, and the total income of the Munsubdar Rupees 6,780; but of this, Rupees 1,000 is, as Government is aware, very irregularly paid by the Hill Chiefs. Under all the circumstances of the case, the Board consider that the Munsubdar will be liberally dealt with if, on the resumption of the Sayer and Abkarry, (an arrangement which ought to be immediately carried out, the Abkarry farm being continued to the present renters where practicable,) he be granted a compensation of Rupees 775 per annum. This arrangement will credit him with the full present value of the Abkarry and Sayer, Rupees 2,233 per annum, and will debit him with one-third of the cost of the Police, or Rupees 1,458. The Board doubt not that some unexpended portion of some provision in the Budget may be found from which this charge can be met.

4 *Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,*
22nd February 1865.

8. The Board do not deem it necessary on this occasion to notice the request made in the Munsudbar's Petitions for permission to raise the demand on the Hill Chiefs, further than to observe that if any increase were made, it is very unlikely that it could be realized.

Endorsement from Board of Revenue submitting a Petition from Sri Raja Maduviri Sreerama-bhupati Garu, Munsudbar of Rumpa, who states that the Collector has recommended to the Board that Sayer and Abkarry should, for the future, be forbidden, and that a very inadequate compensation be granted to him; prays that the Board will either direct that the terms of the Collector's Orders of 21st December 1848, and 10th August 1850, should continue in force, or declare that Petitioner is released from the obligation of foregoing the full assessment upon the twenty-three Muttahs; that no new demand may be made upon him respecting the Sibbundy, and that the Collector's order of the 17th October 1863, be rescinded, and that he be permitted to reside wherever he thinks fit.

(Here enter 3rd December 1864.)

No. 409.

ORDER THEREON, 22nd February 1865, No. 382.

Separate Revenue, No. 22
Abkarry.

G. O. 6th October 1863, No. 1,602, Judicial Department.

was drawn to the fact that the Munsudbar had been in the habit of collecting a small revenue from Abkarry and Sayer. Subsequent enquiry induced His Excellency in Council to direct that in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Revenue these collections should no longer be made, but that the Munsudbar should receive in lieu compensation in the shape of a reduction of his Pesheush.

2. The Proceedings of the Board now under review contain the reply to the enquiry which the Government then made as to the amount of compensation to be given. The first point to be noted is that as the Munsudbar pays no Pesheush whatever, the compensation which may eventually be determined upon will have to be paid direct from the Government Treasury.

	Rs.	A.	P.
• Collections under Government management from Fuzlies 1249 to 1257, or nine years...	7,249	8	0
Do. by Munsudbar from Fuzlies 1258 to 1267	20,250	2	0
" " 1268 to 1272	11,255	14	10
" " 1273,	2,310	0	0
A total period of 16 years	33,816	0	10
Total	41,064	8	10
Average collection of first period	805	6	2
" " second	2,113	8	0
" " whole	1,642	9	3

3. As to the annual collections it appears from the marginal note* that under Government management prior to 1818, the average of nine years amounted to Rupees 805, while the revenue of subsequent years under the Munsudbar's own supervision is stated to have been much higher and may be estimated at Rupees 2,113 per annum. The Collector has no means of verifying the correctness of the Munsudbar's accounts, but it appears that these two branches of revenue have just been farmed out for periods of five years and under for a total annual sum of Rupees 2,233.

4. The remaining income of the Munsudbar is calculated by the Collector at Rupees 4,547-8-0; but of this Rupees 1,000 is rather precarious being very irregularly paid by the Hill Chiefs.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 5.
22nd February 1865.

5. The proposal of the Board is that the Sayer and Abkarry should be immediately resumed; that the Munsudbar should be allowed compensation to the full extent of the income at present derived from these sources, viz., Rupees 2,233 per annum; and that he should, on the other hand, be required to pay one-third of the cost of the Police force now employed in his territory on the ground that he holds his estate on the special condition of maintaining peace there, a condition however which he has signally failed to fulfil. This one-third* being deducted from the proposed compensation† would leave a net balance‡ of Rupees 775 to be disbursed annually by the State to the Munsudbar.

	Rs.	A.	P.
Annual cost of Police employed in Rumpa	4,374	0	0
Deduct two-thirds to be paid by the State...	2,916	0	0
Remainder to be paid by Munsudbar ...	1,458	0	0

Rs.
• 2,233
† 1,458
‡ 775

6. Three petitions from the Munsudbar praying generally that his privileges may not be interfered with accompany the Board's Proceedings. He has also addressed a more detailed statement through his Vakil, which has been subsequently received from the Board's Office, and which forms the second paper recorded above. In this document he endeavors to show that the so-called Sayer collections include a tax on fruit trees existing in the uncultivated parts of the Talook, which is in fact an item of land revenue, and he intimates that any interference with his existing rights will compel him to consider as cancelled the terms to which he "acceded" when installed in the Talook and under which, according to his own account, he relinquished the hitherto collected assessment of Rupees 9,270 from 23 of the 25 Muttahs comprized in his estate and accepted in lieu an annual payment of Rupees 1,000.

7. The Munsudbar further objects to being called upon to pay the whole or any part of the Police charges, the maintenance of the public peace being a matter of State necessity; and he finally brings to notice an order which he has received from the Collector forbidding his residence in Rumpa, which order he requests may be rescinded and protection afforded him in the exercise of his right to live wherever he chooses.

8. The Board will call upon the Collector to report from what sources the so-called "Sayer" has been realized. Should it prove that any portion of it is derived from a tax on fruit trees, or similar items properly of the nature of land revenue, the Munsudbar may be allowed to keep it, a corresponding reduction being made in the compensation to be granted him.

9. The Governor in Council considers that the Munsudbar may fairly be charged one-third of the cost of the Police force employed in Rumpa. This should be deducted from the compensation to be paid to him on account of the resumption of Sayer and Abkarry, which should be calculated upon the average receipts of the last five years. The Board will report the exact sum which will, under the terms of this Order, have to be disbursed to him.

10. The collection of Sayer and Abkarry will be immediately discontinued, the latter farm being, as proposed by the Board, continued to the present renters when practicable.

11. The assumption on the Munsudbar's part in regard to his proposed treatment of the Hill Chiefs, needs no notice. As to his request about a future residence at Rumpa, the Magistrate has good reasons for the prohibition adverted to.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
" the Judicial Department.

Ed. T. O'Connor.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 13th August 1864.

Read the following letter from J. FRASER, Esq., Officiating Collector of the Godavery District, dated Cocanada, 15th July 1864, No. 178 :—

1. With reference to the Order of Government under date the 13th April 1864, No. 628, conveyed in the Board's Proceedings of the 13th idem, calling for a proposal for compensation to be given to the Munsubdar of the Rampah country, in lieu of the Sayer and Abkary collections which should be discontinued, I have the honor to submit herewith a Statement showing the collections of Abkary and Sayer during the last five years, or from Faslis 1268 to 1272, both included. The average annual collection thus amounts to Rupees 2,251-2-11, viz., Rupees 1,838-7-10 under Sayer, and Rupees 412-11-1 under Abkary. This information has been procured from the Munsubdar from the accounts in his possession. I cannot say whether these accounts are correct or not.

2. When this Estate was under Government management from Faslis 1249 to 1257, proper accounts were kept under the supervision of the Government Officers, and a Statement of Collections under the two sources of revenue during the above period of nine years was prepared with reference to those accounts, and forwarded to the Board with the Collector's letter on the 22nd June 1848; an extract from which is herewith sent. It appears from it that the average of the collections for these nine years was Rupees 805-6-2.

3. During the last few years trade having improved, and the Rampah country having been made more accessible and became better known, collections under the two heads of Abkary and Sayer have doubtless increased. I therefore beg to propose that the average of the collections of the present five years and of the former nine years, being Rupees 1,321-12-0, should be taken as the basis of compensation.

I consequently think it fair that the sum of Rupees 1,321-12-0 should be paid to him annually from the Treasury, or that the Government should redeem it by paying at once a sum, which at 6 per cent per annum, would produce an annual revenue of Rupees 1,321-11-11. In this case Rupees 22,029-1-3 would have to be paid to him. No provision has been made in the Budget for the current year to meet the above charge.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

ABSTRACT.—Statement showing the Sayer and Abkary collections in Rampah country from Fasli 1268 to Fasli 1272.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.

ABSTRACT.—Extract from a Statement of Collections from Sayer and Abkary of Rampah country forwarded to the Board in 1848.

The Board are not prepared at once to recommend the Collector's proposal for adoption as no information is given regarding the period for which the Sayer and Moturpha are at present farmed by the Munsubdar, nor regarding the terms to be made with the Renters in the event of the collection of these items of revenue being discontinued. The Munsubdar pays no Peshcush, but is bound by his Sunnud to preserve the peace of the country. He may fairly be considered therefore to be liable to pay the expenses of the Police employed in his Taluk. The Collector is requested to report his opinion on this point, and to give the cost of the Rampah Police Establishment. The collections by the Munsubdar on account of Sayer and Moturpha between Faslis 1267 and 1268 should also be given.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Sub-Secretary.

To J. Fraser, Esq., Officiating Collector of the Godavery District.

Ed. J. Wright.

Statement showing the Sayer and Abkarny Collections in the Rampa Country for faslis from 1268 to 1272.

	Faslis	Sayer		Abkarny		Total.	
		Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P
1	1268	2229	11 5	372	11 -	2602	6 5
2	1269	2001	15 2	401	5 -	2403	4 2
3	1270	1684	12 9	438	11 6	2123	8 3
4	1271	1558	- -	314	10 -	1872	10 -
5	1272	1718	- -	536	2 -	2254	2 -
Total		9192	7 4	2063	7 6	11255	14 10
Average		1838	7 10	412	11 1	2251	2 11

Godavari District.
Collectors Office,
Ocanada 15th July 1864

(Signed) J. Fraser
Offg Collector

(True Copy)

J. Hurrold
Ag Sub Secretary

[Handwritten initials]

No.
PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Enrolled in the office of the
Collector of the Godavari District
on 20th July 1864.
24th 7416

Rev 3024/04
1864. Department

Dated 13th August 1864.

C-2-64 P.D.

No. 6,196.

Declining to recommend for adoption the proposal for compensation to be given to the Munsibdar of the Rampa country in lieu of Sayer and Abkarny collections to be discontinued, and requesting to report on the Munsibdar's liability to pay the expenses of the Police employed in his taluk.

[Handwritten notes]

To

No 2095 of 1864

J. A. N. Chase Esquire

Sub Collector in charge of the
Godavery District.

Petition addressed by Sri Raja Mathurthy Sreerama
Bhupati Deva Mansubdar of Rampa Salug.

Ajjarapu Ramannagar the Sahasildar of Rayah-
maudry Salug wrote me a letter of the 9th Instant, and
a Sakied to the village Karnams of the six open villages,
viz Yerrampalem, Pentapally, Peerlankapalli, Velli-
pudi, Wheelipalli, and Kavalanka, stating that
your Honor issued to him a Hukum No 83 on 23rd Ultimo,
and directing me to tender him the accounts shewing
the amount of collections made from July 1268 to 1272,
both inclusive, of the Sayer and Abkari rents, and their
total average per annum. The Karnams above mention-
ed have only in their possession the accounts of the
revenue cist, but not the said accounts. I accordingly
prepared accounts of the Sayer and the Abkari rents
and tendered them to the Sahasildar enclosed herewith.
Since five or six centuries I and my ancestors in suc-
cession are enjoying the Salug by the designation of
Mansubdars without paying revenue to the Government.
The following few lines will prove you that I have
been collecting the Sayer as well as the Abkari rents
just as I do the revenue of my Salug and enjoying
it since a long time.

I. A.

1. At the death of my father Sri Rama Bhupati Bson far in 1835, I was a minor.
2. The Government managed the Talug for 11 years that is from 1839 (fushy 1248) to 1848 (fushy 1258), and collected the revenue of the Talug as well as the Sayer and the Abkari rents during that time, and delivered me the Talug on the 20th December 1848.
3. The length of my Talug from north to south and its breadth from east to west are 8 Annadas or 84 miles each. The area of the Talug is 64 Annadas or 4096 square miles and consists of 25 Muttas; the Chiefs of these Muttas used to pay a cist of 9270 Rs per annum while my father had managed the estate ie until fushy 1248. Subsequently the Collector Mr Pendergast decreased the cist gradually from 9270 Rs to 1000 Rs per annum and directed me by a Hukum dated 20th December 1848 to levy cist from the people of the 23 of the above Muttas and also issued to me a Puttah to the above effect.
4. When bands of Sukari people come with great many articles and cattle to buy salt in the salt barns of the Circar, they take their route through my Talug both when they come and go and graze their cattle on the wild pastures of my Talug; they therefore from the time of their ancestors are paying us a tax for pasture which I am now too levying.
5. Moreover I am giving license to sell by shops the

- the toddy which would be produced in the villages attached to the 25 Muttas of the Rampa Talug as well as that in the six open villages and the liquor brought into my Talug from foreign villages subject to the orders of Government and enjoying the cist derived therefrom.
6. Formerly the Government used to levy two taxes viz 50 Rs as the cist of the land and 25 Rs as sayer on the Jaggery worth 50 Rs annually produced from the sugar cane produced in the land - total 75 Rs. But the Government lately perceiving that it is an injustice to do so ordered that no Sayer should be levied from the produce but only the rent for the land.
7. The Government having been reported by the several Collectors of this District of my long enjoyment of the Sayer and Abkari rents issued an order to the Collector dated 20th October 1848 to the effect that the Talug should be put into my possession and that I should be allowed to enjoy the above taxes and Mr Pendergast the then Collector accordingly put me in possession of my Talug by an order dated 20th December 1848 and also issued an Istihar to the above effect for the information of the public; since that time I am accordingly collecting and enjoying it as my ancestors did.
8. There are no ryots that can cultivate the wild lands in my Talug and pay tax for it. Therefore

we from our ancestors time are levying the tax,
that we ought to get for the lands we being the owner
to them, on the produce such as Satharind &c
yielded by the fruitful trees grown there as per
the accompanying list of the manner of collecting
it. As people apply to this mode of revenue
different names such as Sayer, Cominsind &c,
it was mentioned in the first list taken in
my minority as Sayer tax which is at present
practiced by all. Properly it should be called
Revenue but not Sayer.

Therefore I humbly beg that your Honor
on consideration of the circumstances in the above
paras will be pleased to issue an order that
I may collect and enjoy without hinderance the
revenue called Sayer and Abkari rents as we
did hitherto.

12th May 1864. (signed)

Tullury Ramalingam

Swamjee, Vakeel and Attorney

to Sri Raja Madhavi Subhama

Shupati, Ben Mansubdar of

Rampasahay

The list of the amount of collections of Sayer tax and Abkari rents made during five years from the estate of present Mansabdar of Rampur Salug and also their total average per annum

No.	Names of the open villages.	Names of the tenants.	Jusly 1268			Jusly 1269			Jusly 1270			Jusly 1271			Jusly 1272			Total.			Average per annum			Remarks.
			Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	
1.	The revenue or Sayer tax which is levied by the Mansabdar for his share in the land on the produce such as turmeric &c brought from the 25 hutkas of Rampur Salug to be sold in public fair of Gokarwarain & Potha pull &c in large villages &c	1. Badereddy Appannan 2. Palapur Bulli 3. Chirata puri 4. Sanchayya	2229	11	5	2001	15	2	1684	12	9	1558	-	-	1718	-	-	9192	7	4	1838	7	10	This account is extracted from the Book showing collections & disbursements of the Estate, as I did not keep separate accounts for Sayer tax & Abkari rents, I have not rendered them with this account. The Book from which this is extracted contains the collections & of all sorts of my income, therefore it is not herein enclosed.
2.	Abkari rents	1. 29-31-32	154	8	-	185	-	-	231	-	-	100	-	-	310	-	-	983	8	-	196	11	3	
			2383	3	5	2186	15	2	1915	12	9									4	2035			
1.	Gerrampalem	Mudday Bapanna Gua &c	95	3	-	96	13	-	89	14	6				105	11	-	484	7	6	96	14	4	
2.	Pentapully	Mudday Pulla Ser &c	24	-	-	24	-	-	21	8	-				27	12	-	119	8	-	23	14	5	
3.	Geerlanka pull	Do	16	-	-	16	-	-	13	8	-				14	12	-	71	8	-	14	4	10	
4.	Pellipudy	Matta Chinnappa &c	14	-	-	13	8	-	14	13	-				12	-	-	69	9	-	13	14	7	
5.	Wherupully	Chintapully Yabaga	30	-	-	30	-	-	32	-	-	32	-	-	28	15	-	152	15	-	30	9	5	
6.	Ravidanka	Yinnukonda Rajpudu	36	-	-	36	-	-	36	-	-	37	-	-	37	-	-	182	-	-	36	6	5	
			215	3	-	216	5	-	207	11	6	214	10	-	226	2	-	1079	15	6	216	-	-	
			2602	6	5	2403	4	2	2123	8	3	1872	10	-	2254	2	-	11255	14	10	2251	3	1	
	Sayer tax.		2229	11	5	2001	15	2	1684	12	9	1558	-	-	1718	-	-	9192	7	4	1838	7	10	
	Abkari rents.		372	11	-	401	5	-	498	11	6	14	10	-	536	2	-	2063	7	6	412	11	3	
			2602	6	5	2403	4	2	2123	8	3	1872	10	-	2254	2	-	11255	14	10	2251	3	1	

This account was proved that the contents of the petition in which this is enclosed are true

12th May 1861.
(Signed) Puttur Ramalingam
Deo ajeer, Vakil & Attorney
to the Mansabdar of Rampur Salug.

Handwritten text: *Handwritten text, possibly a date or signature.*

2095
3/10
4/8

The Right Honorable
the Governor in Council
Fort St George.

Petition addressed by Sri Rajah Madhuvu Sree
Rama Ishupati Sena Mansubdar of Rampu
Talug attached to Godavery District.

I was a minor in the year 1839 corresponding to
Fushy 1248. When my father managed the estate of
Rampu he used to levy a cist of 9270 Rs per annum
from the people of the villages belonging to 25 Muttas
which my Talug then consisted.

2. Mr Smith the Collector at that time having taken
a list of all sorts of income derived from my Talug sent
it to the Revenue Board in July 1839, and having received
sanction to the effect, kept the Talug under manage-
ment for 11 years after the termination of which period,
I acquired possession of it.
3. The Chiefs of 23 Muttas, the other two viz Rampu and
Tummar being in my possession, presented promissory deeds
to the Collector agreeing to pay me from Fushy 1257, a cist
of 1000 Rs annually, having got the other part 8270 Rs of
the total cist relinquished. Whereupon the Collector sent
to me a Puttal of the cist of the different Muttas en-
closed in a Hikum dated 20th December 1848 en-
closing

joining me to levy the same as is mentioned therein: since that time I have been doing so.

1. Besides this, as there are no ayots or farmers in my Salug who are able to cultivate, I pay the ^{full} revenue for the wild and deserted lands, belonging to the villages of the said 25 huttas, my ancestors used to levy, from the people who bring the produce, such as timber, rice &c. yielded by the fruitful trees in those lands and sell it in public fairs and large villages; a certain sum of money equal to the value of the half produce, the Mansubdar being an equal sharer with them. This is the way how we collected the revenue on the produce. As the people generally call it by different names such as Commission, tax &c., it was mentioned in the list of the income taken in my minority as sayer tax. Properly it is not sayer but revenue.

5. A list of all sorts of income amounting to Rs 6857-8- derived from my Salug annually is herewith enclosed for your kind perusal.

6. The fields belonging to the open villages marked in the account mentioned in the above 5th para would yield plenty produce only when rains fall abundantly and the small streams irrigate them. But if no rain fall nothing could be produced.

7. Subject to the orders of your Government dated 20th October 1848 directing the Collector Mr Pendergast to allow me to enjoy the Salug by collecting the revenue in the aforesaid manner, he issued a Wakim on the 20th December ~~and~~ delivering the same to me. And so, I am enjoying upto the present moment the different

different cist deriving from the Salug.

8. The Collector of this District having, through the Sahaidar of Rajahmundry, made known to me to tender an average account of the cist per annum i.e. the fifth part of the whole amount of collections both of the sayer tax and Abkari rents during five years from July 1268 to 1272 both inclusive; I accordingly prepared it and submitted it on the 12th May 1864 as requested.

Average for five years.

Sayer tax	Rs.	As.	P.
1838	7	10	
Abkari rents	412	11	3
Total	2251	3	1

Exactly the above amount of money I am levying every year without failure. I herewith enclose for your perusal the copies of the form of account &c. submitted to the Collector.

9. I have given to understand by public rumour that the Collector of this District advised the Revenue Board to pay me 1300 Rs ^{annually} depriving me the sayer tax and Abkari rents which I have been long enjoying.

10. This ^{sumptuous} sayer tax which I am now collecting is a sort of revenue but not like the one which your Government formerly collected for a time.

11. A complete petition on the subject will be presented by a pleader on my behalf by which your Excellency will be informed with all the circumstances.

12. The Chiefs of the 25 huttas used to pay a cist of 9270 Rs per annum until July 1248; but subsequently as many rebellions reduced the people to poor state, the chiefs of 23 huttas agreed to pay only a cist of 1500 Rs

Res/aa. As there are no riots or disturbances in the
Zalug at present, the Mussadars are able to pay more cash
than they do now. I pray your Excellency to issue
an order authorizing me to increase the present rate
of revenue.

Therefore I humbly beg that you, on perusal
of the above facts issue a Hukum to the Collector directing
him to throw no impediments to my collecting and
enjoying as heretofore the revenue commonly called
Sayer tax and the Abkari rents which are the only
means of my livelihood.

I beg leave to remain
Sir,
Your Excellency's most Obedient servant
Gerrampalem of
Rampa Zalug
Godavery District
28th July 1864.

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

The List of all sorts of income levied by the Raja Madhuvity attached to the Godavery district in July 1273.

See Rama Bhupaty Deon Mansubdar of Kampha Salug

No.	Names of the Muttas and open villages.	Names of the mutts.	Revenue			Sayer tax.			Alkari			Total		
			Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P
1	Gerrampalem.	Tungarala Sectaramudra & others...	596	"	"	"	"	"	116	"	"	712	"	"
2	Ravilanka...	Sasare Chinnayya & others	524	"	"	"	"	"	37	"	"	561	"	"
3	Whampally...	Manikarabdy Mutyalu & others	584	"	"	"	"	"	33	"	"	617	"	"
4	Hellipudy....	Kulagani Sagayya & others	510	"	"	"	"	"	15	"	"	525	"	"
5	Yeerlankapally.	Sollenpilly Tirupatitoppe	604	"	"	"	"	"	14	12	"	618	12	"
6	Pentapully..	Musurupilly Parimuruddy	400	"	"	"	"	"	29	4	"	429	4	"
		Total	3218	"	"	"	"	"	245	"	"	3463	"	"
7.	Sayer tax of Kampha Salug.	1. Sasereddy & Appanna 2. Palaputalli 3. Samayya 3. Chiratajudy 3. Seehayya	"	"	"	1665	"	"	400	"	"	2065	"	"
8.	The tax levied from the people who obtain wood from the Kampha Salug.....	Vandamatha Ramanna & others...	132	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	132	"	"
9.	The 23 Muttadars of Kampha Salug..	Musurupilly Parimuruddy & others....	1000	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1000	"	"
10.	Kampha & Sunoor the other two Muttas that are in my possession.	Sunkuru Chitankulu & others.	187	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	187	8	"
11.	Tax for pasture levied from those who graze their cattle & horses on the wild pastures.	"	10	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10	"	"
		Total	1329	8	"	1665	"	"	400	"	"	3394	8	"
		Grand total.	4547	8	"	1665	"	"	645	"	"	6857	8	"

28th July 1864.

[Signature]

No. 2095 of 1864

Forwarded to the Board of
Revenue with advice to Government

order 13th April last. No. 628.

By order

Wm. George

By order

By order to Govt.

2095

2095

Forwarded to the

Collector with re-
ference to the Board's

Proceedings dated 13th

August 1864.

519

By order

Wm. George

Substantive

Dist. Bd. of Gen.

Chap.

13th Sept. 1864.

Sayer Tax & Abkari rent
for 5 years, & that the
said officer advised the
of Revue to pay him (the
1300 Rs annually depriving
him of the said tax & rent & from
for an order authorizing him
to increase the present rate of
Revue as the reports were formerly
paying a sum of Rs 9270 for
annum up to the year
1248 for 25 Mithak & subes.
quantity they reduced the same
to Rs 1000 for 23 Mithak, and
that they are now able to pay
more (i.e. than they do) and
objects that the Collector may
not then interfere with the
mode of Collection, but allow
him to enjoy his privileges as
here to fore.

122 Feb 11/65
13/402-107

Rev=3024/04
No 2095 of 1864-
From Sri Rajah
Madhusudan Singh Rama
Bhupatidev Mansubdar
dar of Rampur Salug
Godavery District.
Dated 28 Feb 1864-
Recd & Encl 3

3 Enclosures
Submitting herewith a list
of his income & the copies of
the Salug accounts submitted
to the Collector, in obedience to Govt
Order dated 20th Dec 1863, issued
an order on the 20th Dec allowing
the petitioners to enjoy the
above Salug as desired in
(the petitioners) to pay an-
nually to the Collector of the
whole Collection both for

Rev=3024/04

2315-4/64
3 Encl
20/8

The Honorable
the Governor in Council
Fort St George.

Petition accepted by Sri Rajah Madhusudan Singh Rama
Bhupatidev Mansubdar of Rampur Salug attached
to the Godavery District.

Subject to the orders of your Excellency, we have been
since a long time enjoying the Abkari tax, in the same
manner as the revenue of my estate by farming the sale
of liquor and white toddy in the villages appertaining to my
Salug; but the Collector of this District issued to me an
Enayethnama No 129 dated 8th Instant directing me not to
allow the sale of liquor and white toddy in my villages until
further orders; on the receipt of the above authority on 13th In-
stant, I gave notice in writing to the farmers and villagers
in my Salug preventing them from selling liquor and
white toddy. The copy of the true translation of the above
Enayethnama is herein enclosed for your Honors kind perusal.

Your Excellency, on the reports of the former Collectors
issued orders to them, in accordance with which they direct-
ed Enayethnamas to us and also they have been hitherto
allowing the sale of liquor and white toddy in my Salug;
consequently we enjoyed till this day the credit derived from it.

13/402-107

The amount of cist for July 1273 is as follows:

• Alkari cist for the villages of Rampan	400
— paid by the farmers of my open	
villages viz, Perampalam, Pentapilly, Yerr	
lankapilly, Nellipudy, Ravilanka and	245
• Bherupalli	
<u>Total</u>	<u>645</u>

I am now deprived by the Collectors above Enayetua-
ma, of the cist of 645 Rs which we have been deriving from
July 1274, agreeably with the authority issued by order of Your
Excellency by the several collectors; this collection has never
been made without the consent of the public authorities; the
revenue of my estate is very low; consequently, all sorts of
income derived from it are hardly sufficient even to cover
our Whistery expense and that of my own people, such
as, servants &c.

Wherefore I humbly beseech that your Excellency
after taking the above facts into your kind and benevolent
consideration, will be most graciously pleased to authorize
the Collector desiring that officer to allow me to keep
the Alkari Cist as hitherto, or else to grant me some
lands at my choice in the villages of the Circle yielding
a cist equivalent to the amount of the Alkari revenue
hitherto enjoyed by us.

Perampalam of
Rampan Taluk
Godavery District
21st August 1864

I beg leave to remain
Your Lordship's
Most Obedient
and humble servant.

No 129.

Dist 2315 of 1864

10

Rajah Madhuch. Sree Rama Bhupali Deva
Mansubdar of Rampan Taluk.

With the exception of Government, every one was
prohibited by the rules now in force from collecting the
Alkari tax; I am informed that you have been
farming the Alkari of the villages attached to your
Taluk and that the farmers have been purchasing liquor
in open villages and selling it in your Taluk, which
being illegal, a report has been submitted to the
Government on the subject. Therefore you are hereby
directed not to allow any body to sell either toddy
or liquor in the villages appertaining to your Taluk
and also distil liquor until further orders.

Godavery District. (signed)
Collector's Office. } S. Banganiak (signed)
Station Coanada } Head Quarter
8th August 1864 (signed) } S. S. Collector
Bam. Guindabow
AS Head Clerk.

(A true copy)

Handwritten text at the top of the left page, possibly a title or header.

Main body of handwritten text on the left page, consisting of several lines of script.

Handwritten text at the top of the right page, including a date and a page number.

Main body of handwritten text on the right page, consisting of several lines of script.

Handwritten text at the bottom of the right page, possibly a signature or footer.

Handwritten text at the top left of the left page.

Main body of handwritten text on the left page, consisting of several lines of script.

Handwritten text at the top right of the right page, including a date and a page number.

Main body of handwritten text on the right page, consisting of several lines of script.

Handwritten text at the bottom right of the right page, enclosed in a rectangular box.

சுதந்திரம்

ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय
 श्रीमद्भगवद्गीता
 अर्जुनसंवादे
 अर्जुन उवाच
 धर्मक्षेत्रे कुरुक्षेत्रे
 समवेता युयुत्सवा
 मामका पांडवयोश्च
 समं करिष्यामि
 धर्मं कुरु

Received of
 the Treasurer
 the sum of \$100.00
 for the year 1884

229838
 110
 Forwarded to the
 Collector for early
 notice with reference
 to the Board's Proceeding
 dated 13th August 1884
 No. 5796.

By order

W. H. Lytle

Secretary

Civil Office
 Chicago
 4th October 1884

Lord St George
 12th Sept 1884

Forwarded to the Board of Revenue
 with advance to G. O. 13th April 1884.

No. 2315 of 1884

By order

W. H. Lytle

Secretary to Govt

which he has been
enjoying from a long
time.

Submitting herewith
a translation of the
said Enayettuamah
prays for the reasons
herewith stated an order
may be issued to the
Collector to permit him
to collect the Abkari
as hitherto or to
grant him some ba
at his choice in the
cirkar villages, & that
the said 645 Rs being
the Abkari Revenue
of his villages.

No 2315 of 1864
From Rev 3024/04
Sree Raja Maduvidi
Sreeranga Bhupathi
Diva Insubdar of
Pumpah Taluk in
the District of Goda
very.

Dated 21st Aug 1864
RD 305
With three enclosures
I complain that the
Collector on the 8th Instant
sent to him an Enayettu
No 129 directing
him not to permit the
sale of liquor & toddy
in his villages; that by
his proceeding he has
been subjected to a
loss of Revenue of Rs 645

Revenue Department
Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date January 1865.

Read the following Papers:

Pro: of the Board of Revenue.

Submitting with remarks letters
from the Off^r Col^r of the Godavery
Dist^t, regarding the farming out of the
Lager and Abkari of the Pumpah
Country.

(Here enter 14th Nov 64 No 7418)

From Sri Raja Maduvidi Sreera:
mabhupathi Sauru Insubdar of Pumpah
Being informed that the Col^r has
recommended to the Bd^r that Lager & Abk:
should for the future be forbidden
& that a very inadequate compensation be
granted to him, prays that the Bd^r will
either direct that the terms of the Col^r's
order of 21st Dec^r 1863/10th Aug^r 1864 should
continue in force or declare that Dist^t is
released from the obligation of foregoing
the full of payment upon the 23rd muttaka,
that no new demand may be made upon him
respecting the Sikkundies, that the Col^r's
order of 14th Oct^r 1863 be rescinded
& that he be permitted to reside wherever
he thinks fit.

(Here enter 15th Nov 1864)

At the close of the discus
sion which took place in regard
to the Pumpah tract, situated in
the Godavery Dist^t, and which re
sulted in the Gov^t declining to in
terfere in the management of the
country attention was drawn to the

fact

G. O. 6th Oct 1863, No 1602 J. D.

Q. 13th April 1864, No. 628, R. L.

collections under Govt. man: Rs. 20
 payment from 1249-1257 or 9 years 7268-00
 " by Munsif.
 " from F. 1258-12 by 29250-20
 " F. 1268-1272-11,255-15-10
 " F. 1273 ———— 2,310-0-0
 " total period of 16 years ———— 238160-16
 Total Rs. 640-10
 average collection of 16 years 805-6-2
 " " " " " " 2113-8-0
 " " " " " " whole 16429-3

amounted to Rs 805 while the revenue of subsequent years under the Munsubdar's own supervision is stated to have been much higher and may be estimated at Rs 2,113 per annum. The Collector has no means of verifying the correctness of the Munsubdar's accounts, but it appears that these two branches of Revenue have just been farmed out for periods of five years and under for a total annual sum of Rs 2,233.

4. The remaining income of the Munsubdar is calculated by the Collector at Rs. 4547-8-0; but of this Rs. 1000 is rather precarious being very irregularly

irregularly paid by the Hill chiefs.

5. The proposal of the Board is that the Sayer and Akhari should be immediately resumed; that the Munsabdar should be allowed compensation to the full extent of the income at present derived from these sources viz. Rs. 2,233 per annum; and that he should on the other hand be

required to pay one-third of the cost of the Police force now employed in his territory on the ground that he holds his

Annual cost of Police	Rs	a	0
Employed in Pimpri	4,374	-	0-0
Deduct $\frac{2}{3}$ to be paid by the State	2,916	-	0-0
Remainder to be paid by Munsabdar	1,458	-	0-0

Rs.
0 2233
+ 1458
* 775

his estate on the special condition of maintaining peace there, a condition however which he has signally failed to fulfil. This one-third being deducted from the proposed compensation would leave a net balance of Rs. 775 to be disbursed annually by the State to the Munsabdar.

6. Three petitions from the Munsabdar praying generally that his privileges may not be interfered with accompanying the Board's Proceedings -

Proceedings. He has also addressed a more detailed statement through his vakil which has been subsequently received from the Board's Office and which forms the second paper recorded above. In this document he endeavours to shew that the so-called Sayer collections include a tax on fruit trees existing in the uncultivated parts of the Taluq which is in fact an item of land revenue, and he intimates that any interference with his existing rights will compel him

to consider as cancelled the

He has also addressed a more detailed statement through his vakil which has been subsequently received from the Board's Office and which forms the second paper recorded above. In this document he endeavours to shew that the so-called Sayer collections include a tax on fruit trees existing in the uncultivated parts of the Taluq which is in fact an item of land revenue, and he intimates that any interference with his existing rights will compel him to consider as cancelled the

in 1818 the Sayer collections were cancelled by the Court of Directors. The Sayer collections were a tax on fruit trees existing in the uncultivated parts of the Taluq which is in fact an item of land revenue, and he intimates that any interference with his existing rights will compel him to consider as cancelled the

terms to which he "acceded" when installed in the taluq and under which, according to his own account, he relinquished the hitherto collected assessment of Rupees 9,270 from 23 of the 25 Mutahs comprised in his estate and accepted in lieu an annual payment of Rupees 1000. The Munsubdar further objects to being called upon to pay the whole or any part of the police charges, the maintenance of the public peace being a matter of State necessity, and he finally brings to notice an order which he has received from the Collector forbidding his residence in Rampah, which order he requests may be rescinded and protection afforded

afforded him no the ex-
ercise of his right to live
wherever he chooses.

8. The reasonableness
or otherwise of the requests
adverted to in the pre-
ceding paragraph will
be considered in the
Judicial Department
to which the papers will
now be transferred with
an intimation that if no
payment on account of
polls is to be made by
the Mandalukar the com-
pensation to be granted

for redemption of Sayer. But of his property
and that Kari should not be paying for his
polls. If Rs 1650 the
average collection in
the Mandalukars of the
25 square ending north
31273. The collection of
Sayer and Abbari will
be immediately discontinued, is that of the Mandalukar
the Mandalukar

the latter farm being
as proposed by the
Board continued to the
present renters when
practicable.

10 The assumption
on the Mandalukar's
part in regard to his
proposed treatment
of the Hill Chiefs needs
no notice in the Revenue
Department.

Adm. Secy. to Govt.
Judicial Department
Board of Revenue
Dated 10/10/1905

To The Judicial Department
The Board of Revenue

cgll

7 W-382. Revenue Department
 Proceedings of the Madras Government.
 Under date the 22nd February 1865.
 Read the following papers.

Pres. of the Board of Revenue.

Submitting with remarks
 letters from the officiating Collector of the
 Godavery Dist., regarding the farming
 out of the Sayer and Abkarry of the
 Rumpah Country.

(Here enter 14th Nov^r 1864, No. 7418)

From Sri. Raja Madhavite Sree-
 Ramabhapati Gara Chaudasabdar
 of Rumpah State.

Being informed that the Collec-
 tor has recommended to the Board
 that Sayer and Abkarry should
 for the future be forbidden and
 that a very inadequate compensa-
 tion be granted to him; prays that
 the Board will either direct that
 the terms of the Collector's orders of
 21st December 1858 and 10th Aug^r 1860
 should continue in force or declare
 that Pet^r is released from the ob-
 ligation of foregoing the full assess-
 ment upon the 23 muttaks; that no
 new demand may be made upon him
 respecting the Sibbundigs & that the
 Collector's order of the 17th Oct^r 1863 be
 rescinded and that he be permit-
 ted to reside wherever he thinks
 fit.

(Here enter 15th November 1864)

W.D.
 J.P.

At the close of the dis-
 cussion which took place in
 regard to the Rumpah tract,
 situated in the Godavery Dist.,
 and which resulted in the
 Govt. declining to interfere in
 the management of that
 Country.

Q. 6th Oct^r 1863, No. 1602 J.P.

Country attention was drawn
 to the fact that the Humsabdar
 had been in the habit of collect-
 ing a small revenue from Mr.
 Harris and Sayer. Subsequent
 inquiry induced His Ex-
 cellency in Council to direct
 that in accordance with the
 recommendation of the G.O. 13th April 1864, at 628 R.D.
 Board of Revenue these
 collections should no longer
 be made but that the Hums-
abdar should receive in
 lieu compensation in the shape
 of a reduction of his Peshkash.

2 The Proceedings
 of the Board now under review
 contain the reply to the en-
 -quiry which the Govern-
 -ment then made as to the
 amount of compensation
 to be given. The first point
 to be noted is that as the
Humsabdar pays no Peshk-
ash whatever, the com-
 -pensation which may be
 eventually

* Collections under Govt. manage-
 -ment from 1249-1257 or 9 years — 7,268-8-0
 " " by Humsabdar
 from 1258-1267 — 20,250-2-0
 " 1268-1272 — 11,255-14-10
 " 1273 — 2,310-0-0
 a total period of 16 years — 38,823-0-0
 Total 11,064-8-0
 Average collection of first period — 805-6-2
 " " second — 2,113-8-0
 " " whole — 1,642-9-3

eventually he determined
 upon will have to be paid
 direct from the Government
 Treasury.

3 As to the annual
 collections it appears
 from the marginal note
 that under Government
 management prior to

1848, the average of 9 years
 amounted to Rs. 805 while the
 revenue of subsequent years
 under the Humsabdar's

own supervision is stated to
 have been much higher and may
 be estimated at Rs. 2,113 per annum.

The Col^l has no means of verifying
 the correctness of the Humsabdar's
 accounts but it appears that these
 two branches of Peshkash have just been
 farmed out for periods of five years
 and under for a total annu-
 -al sum of Rs. 2,233.

4 The remaining income
 of the Humsabdar is cal-
 -culated by the Collector at
 Rs. 4,547-8-0; but of this Rs. 1,000
 is rather precarious being
 very

very irregularly paid by the
Hill chiefs.

The proposal
of the Board is that the
Jayer and Ahari should
be immediately resumed;
that the Munsuldar should
be allowed compensa-
tion to the full extent
of the income at present
derived from these sources,
viz. Rs 2,233 per annum;
and that he should on
the other hand be re-
quired to pay one-

third of the cost of
the Police force - how

* Annual cost of Police employed in Munsuldar	Rs 0-0
Defect to be paid by the State	1,374-0-0
Employed in his ter-	2,916-0-0
Remainder to be paid by Munsuldar	1,542-0-0

itory on the ground
that he holds his

Rs
0 2233
+ 1458
* 775

his estate on the special
condition of maintaining peace
there, a condition however which
he has signally failed to ful-
fil. This etc. third being de-
ducted from the proposed com-
pensation would leave a net
balance of Rs 775 to be dis-
bursed annually by the State
to the Munsuldar.

b. Three petitions from
the Munsuldar praying ge-
nerally that his privileges may
not be interfered with accom-
pany the Board's Proceedings.
He has also addressed a more
detailed statement through
his wakil which has been sub-
sequently received from the
Board's Office and which forms
the second paper recorded above.
In this document he endeavours
to shew that the so-called
Jayer collections include a
tax

tax on fruit trees existing in the uncultivated parts of the Taluk which is in fact an item of land revenue, and he intimates that any interference with his existing rights will compel him to consider as cancelled the terms to which he "acceded" when installed in the taluk and under which, according to his own account, he relinquished the hitherto collected assessment of Rupees 9,270 from 23 of the 25 ^{can} Mutabs comprised in his estate and accepted in lieu an annual payment of Rupees 1,000.

7. The Munsuwar further objects to being called in upon to pay the whole or any part of the police charges, the maintenance of the public peace being a matter of state necessity and he finally brings to notice an order which he has

10
has received from the Collector forbidding his residence in Rumpah, which order he requests may be rescinded and protection afforded him in the exercise of his right to live wherever he chooses.

8. The Board will call upon the Collector to report from what sources the so-called "layer" has been realized. Should it prove that any portion of it is derived from a tax on fruit trees the Munsuwar may be allowed to keep it a corresponding reduction being made in the compensation to be granted him.

9. The Governor in Council considers that the Munsuwar may fairly be charged one-third of the cost of the Police force employed in Rumpah.

Thi

This should be deducted from the compensation to be paid to him on account of the resumption of Luger and Abkari which should be calculated upon the average receipts of the last five years.

The Board will report the exact sum which will under the terms of this order, have to be disbursed to him.

10. The collection of Luger and Abkari will be immediately discontinued, the latter farm being as proposed by the Board continued to the present renters when practicable.

11. The assumption on the Munsabdar's part in regard to his proposed treatment of the Hill chiefs needs no notice. As to his request about a future residence at

as in fact the Magistrate has good reasons for the firmness asserted to.

11. To the Board of Or
57. 4th. Jud. and Dep.

2nd. 1st. 1st. 1st.

CC

Revenue 1865 D. 170.
Pro: Govt for Feb.

21382.

Pro: of the Madras Govt.
D/22^d Feb. /65

Pro: B of Revenue dated 21st
August /65 Cont 2333.

Pro: No. 226-227
13-4-64
101-102
5-12-63

9 15 11
100-10-148

Requesting the Board
Revenue to submit further
ration with reference to
in pension to be paid to
Subdar of Nampan
in account of the discontinu-
ance of the collection of
Bharu and Sayer.

2408 & 2409