

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 3099

Press
~~Mis.~~

232, 233

Dated 22-12-1865.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
22nd December 1865*

No. 232. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 1st December 1865.

Read the following letter from T. MUTUSAMI IYER, Principal Sudder Ameen, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort Saint George, dated Mangalore, 14th November 1865 :—

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Board's Proceedings of the 8th instant, and to submit the explanation therein called for with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 21st June 1864, and the Government Order dated 6th July 1864, No. 1,176, in regard to my having failed to close the enquiry into the charge of corruption brought against Bapana, the Curnum of Pakala, Chendragherry Talook.

2. About the end of November 1861, and fifteen days before my leaving Chendragherry for Chittoor, I received an anonymous Petition stating that the Curnum was extorting money by intimidation and falsehood from the Inámdars of his village; and thereupon I requested the Tahsildar of Chendragherry to caution the Inámdars by a proclamation against such misrepresentations, and also to invite such as might have paid the Curnum to appear in person to complain against him. On the 10th December, the Petitioner named in the above Proceedings appeared and made a complaint. Having already made arrangements for the attendance of the Inámdars at Chittoor, I summoned the persons named in his deposition, and examined them at Chittoor; however as I had to hold further enquiry and examine other witnesses, I was obliged to adjourn the investigation; and being closely engaged until March in settling the Ináms of the Chittoor Talook from 7 in the morning to 8 in the evening, from twenty to thirty cases a day, I regret I was unable to resume the enquiry. Before, however, concluding the settlement at Chittoor, and in April, I was taken ill of Tirpati fever, and after suffering for some time, went in May to the southern part of the District, and with the permission of the Commissioner, remained at Kangoya Nullar, settling the Ináms of Gudiatam and Vallajapet Talooks, hoping as soon as I finished the settlement there, to return to Chittoor to clear the arrears; but being transferred to the General Department in July, and while at Kangoya Nullar, I was obliged to join my new appointment before I could close the enquiry into the case.

3. I deeply regret that a considerable delay has occurred in forwarding this explanation, as every particular of the case had passed out of my mind when I received the orders of the Board and Government. However, at once I placed myself in communication with the Collector of Tanjore, in view to obtain the papers connected with the case from the Inám Commissioner and the Collector of North Arcot;

- * 1. Letter from the Collector of North Arcot to the officiating Inám Commissioner, dated 6th March 1865.
- 2. Do. from the Collector of Tanjore to myself, dated 22nd March 1865.
- 3. Demy official from A. Seshiah Shastreyar, Special Assistant to the Inám Commissioner, dated 14th March 1865.

and from the letters* herewith enclosed it will be seen that I received all the papers only on the 24th March last. As a Yadast, which I believed I had written when leaving the Commission, on the subject, and which the Gumashita, then employed under me, and now serving on

the Central Office at Coimbatore, said he himself wrote, was not among the papers,

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
22nd December 1865.*

and as the Collector asked me to mention the date, saying it was not forthcoming, I was anxious to get a copy of it under the impression that it could enable me to afford a satisfactory explanation on the subject, and was making enquiries about the date. Being soon after transferred to this District, I proceeded to Coimbatore on my way, and examined the English records in person; but finding no clue to the Yadast except the Gumashita's statement, I brought all the papers with me to this station, hoping to send in my explanation shortly after my arrival here. As these papers however are in the Telugu language, which I could not read, I regret that a further delay has occurred in getting them translated. These translations being received only a few days ago, I have not been able to send in my explanation before the receipt of a reminder from the Board.

In conclusion I beg to add that I should have completed the enquiry had the witnesses appeared in time, and it is within my recollection that the petitioner had applied for extension of time, alleging that some of them had gone to other Districts. Having thus, in the first instance, allowed the case to stand over, and afterwards failed to resume the enquiry, first from pressure of work, and then being obliged to leave Chittoor from sickness. I also beg to submit that I failed to send in my explanation from Tanjore, as I was anxious to ascertain the date of the Yadast already referred to, from personal enquiries at Coimbatore, and as my transfer to this District had then been gazetted. Under these circumstances, with the above explanation, I throw myself upon the mercy of the Honorable the Board and the Government.

1. Submitted to Government, with reference to the Order under date 6th July 1864, No. 1,176, and in continuation of the Board's Proceedings dated 7th September 1864, No. 5,832.

2. The Board regret that they are unable to pronounce Muttusawmy Aiyar's explanation satisfactory, nor do they consider that he furnishes sufficient reasons for his delay in submitting it.

No. 233. ORDER THEREON, 22nd December 1865, No. 3,099.

Miscellaneous.

1. The Government concur with the Board in considering T. Muttusawmy Iyer's explanation altogether unsatisfactory, and desire that their dissatisfaction with his conduct in the matter be communicated to him.

2. They also concur in the view taken by the Board (in their Proceedings dated 7th September 1864) of Mr. Locke's conduct in connection with the case.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

My dear Sir, I beg to inform
that a person has been
sent on his hands which
some of the committee
is now for his protection
proceed with the case in
question
S. T.

Rev. = 1805 Departs.
Res. of Govt. Gen.
177-3446.

1175-3640.
Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue
Dated 1st
Decr. 1855.
Recd. 14th 3
C.3. 08. P. 10.
No 009. 22 Decr. 1855.
Nothing in the remarks
letter from the Dist. Such
order of Mangalore for
warding explanation in re-
gard to his having failed
to close the enquiry into the
charge of Constable brought
against Babamma, Examin
of Pakala Chundragerry Sany
North. North District.

88-3099.
The first concurrence with
the 13d. in considering
7. Multisawing types
Explanation although the
unsatisfactory I desire
that this satisfaction with his
7 ending in the matter be considered.
They also concurred in the
view taken by the 18d.
His view prevails: 27 Feb 1864.)

The nature
 #
 229 @ George