

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 3061

Press
~~MR~~

158. 159

Dated 19-12-1865.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
19th December 1865.*

No. 158. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 8th December 1865, No. 7,836.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Tinnevely, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 11th November 1865, No. 422 :—

1. I have the honor to submit the following case for the consideration and orders of the Board.

2. One bazaar, liable to a Moturpha tax of Rupees 2-8-8, or twelve fanams per annum, was originally granted, as Inám, to Madavincovil Pagoda, at Tinnevely. When the Moturpha tax was abolished in Fusly 1270, this and other Ináms, which were paid from the above source of revenue, were discontinued.

3. At the recommendation of Mr. Silver, these Ináms, including the one under notice, were ordered by Government in their Proceedings of 20th November 1863, No. 2,087, to be continued, the money payments being commuted into land; but in the list of Ináms forwarded to the Board by Mr. Silver, with his letter, dated

25th September 1863, No. 374, three*
* Rupees 0-10-2.

instead of twelve fanams having been erroneously entered as the amount of Inám granted to the above Pagoda, land of equal value was accordingly assigned to that institution, under the orders of Government above quoted.

4. The mistake appears to have arisen from the mistake of the transcribing Curnum, who did not refer to accounts anterior to Fusly 1252, where the amount, as well as the description of Maniem, was entered, whereas in the subsequent accounts, only a "bazaar" was entered. The Curnum's carelessness has been punished.

5. The Trustee of the Pagoda now requests that land, capable of yielding a revenue equal to the full amount of the Inám, may be ordered to be allotted to the Pagoda. The Talisildar recommends that the request of the Trustee should be complied with.

6. Under the foregoing circumstances, and as the claims of the Trustee appear to be just and to have been overlooked, owing to carelessness of the registering Curnum, I request that the necessary sanction of Government may be obtained for allotting to the Pagoda Nunjah assessment equal to the full amount of the stipend, viz., Rupees 2-8-8 per annum, in lieu of what was already conceded by Government.

—

Submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation, that the mistake pointed out by the Collector may be corrected, as suggested in the concluding paragraph of his letter.

—

No. 159. ORDER THEREON, 19th December 1865, No. 3,061.

Miscellaneous.

Sanctioned accordingly.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 7,336.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 8th December 1865.

Read the following letter from A. WEDDERBURN, Esq., Collector of Tinnevely, to R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 11th November 1865, No. 422:—

1. I have the honor to submit the following case for the consideration and orders of the Board.

2. One bazaar, liable to a Moturpha tax of Rupees 2-8-8, or twelve fanams per annum, was originally granted, as Inam, to Madavincoyi Pagoda, at Tinnevely. When the Moturpha tax was abolished in Fasli 1270, this and other Inams, which were paid from the above source of revenue, were discontinued.

3. At the recommendation of Mr. Silver, these Inams, including the one under notice, were ordered by Government in their Proceedings of 20th November 1863, No. 2,087, to be continued, the money payments being commuted into land; but in the list of Inams forwarded to the Board by Mr. Silver, with his letter, dated 25th September 1863, No. 374, three*

* Rupee 0-10-2.

instead of twelve fanams having been erroneously entered as the amount of Inam granted to the above Pagoda, land of equal value was accordingly assigned to that institution, under the orders of Government above quoted.

4. The mistake appears to have arisen from the mistake of the transcribing Curnum, who did not refer to accounts anterior to Fasli 1232, where the amount, as well as the description of Maniam, was entered, whereas in the subsequent accounts only a "bazaar" was entered. The Curnum's carelessness has been punished.

5. The Trustee of the Pagoda now requests that land, capable of yielding a revenue equal to the full amount of the Inam, may be ordered to be allotted to the Pagoda. The Tansidar recommends that the request of the Trustee should be complied with.

6. Under the foregoing circumstances, and as the claims of the Trustee appear to be just and to have been overlooked, owing to carelessness of the registering Curnum, I request that the necessary sanction of Government may be obtained for allotting to the Pagoda Nunjah assessment equal to the full amount of the stipend, viz., Rupees 2-8-8 per annum, in lieu of what was already conceded by Government.

Submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation, that the mistake pointed out by the Collector may be corrected, as suggested in the concluding paragraph of his letter.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Acting Sub-Secretary

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

A. Wedderburn, Esq., Collector of Tinnevely.

Exd. J. Wright.

8-3067-

Learned & worthy

14 Box of Rev. 19. Decemr 1852
" Cardboard

Deed of

19. Decemr 1852

15

47

CONCLUSIONS