

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	303	Press
		~~Mis~~
	283.254	
Dated	13 - 2 -	1865.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

G.O. 217 Rev. 8 28-1-35-

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
13th February 1865.*

No. 253. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 12th November 1864, No. 7,391.

Read the following letter from J. I. MINCHIN, Esq., Collector of Kurnool, to R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Sub-Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 5th October 1864, No. 162 :—

1. With reference to the Board's Proceedings, dated 9th July 1864, No. 4,277, I have the honor to submit a revised statement on an hereditary pension granted to Jelly Baswappa Naidu.

2. Correct information of the subject was not obtainable in Cumbum, as the illegitimate branch of the original grantee's family has settled in Gooty, in the Bellary District, where the necessary inquiries have been now made.

3. The two illegitimate sons, and sister of Jelly Baswappa Naidu, are all dead, and on the death of a son, Lakshmappa Naidu, his portion of the pension reverted to the head of legitimate the last holder Baswappa Naidu, under the Order of Government No. 202, dated 14th Fe. 1854. Under the same order, Rupees 144 per annum out of the pension was continued to another Baswappa Naidu, son of Mallapa Naidu, illegitimate son of the original grantee.

4. The Order of Government in question is not clear, owing to the head of the legitimate branch, and the representative of the illegitimate, having the same name of Baswappa Naidu.

5. I beg to forward a genealogical tree, which shows the surviving members of the family; both in the legitimate and illegitimate branches.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

Tabular Report of an hereditary pension in the District of Kurnool.


	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Case number.		Talook and village; extent and value of the entire Inam.	When and by whom granted; name of the original grantee; Sunnuds or other documents in support of the grant.	Nature of the grant, charity, or service; if service, nature of the duty prescribed by the grant.	Name of the last holder, date of his decease, and the relationship in which he stood to the grantee.	Share enjoyed by the deceased, and that held by other members.	Family left by the deceased, and name and age of the parties, their circumstances and the means of subsistence possessed by them.	Recommendation of the Collector, and the grounds on which it is made.	Recommendation of the Board of Revenue.	Decision of Government.
12		Bolloopully in the Cumbum Talook, Hereditary pension per annum Rupees 1,041-4-0.	A Poligar pension was granted by the English Government on the 26th June 1835, to Jelly Baswappa Naidu, the original grantee.	Hereditary pension granted in lieu of resumed lands and privileges.	Jelly Baswappa Naidu, who died on the 22nd February 1864, the grandson of the original grantee.	Amount enjoyed by the deceased 897 4 0 Do. by illegitimate son Baswappa Naidu 144 0 0 <u>1,041 4 0</u>	Chinnama, widow of the deceased, aged twenty years; Yagantema, mother of do., aged fifty years; sister of do. Narasama, aged thirty-two years; and her daughter Veeravenkama, aged ten years.	The share enjoyed by deceased, should be continued to his widow, Chinnama, for the support of herself and the surviving members of the family.		

KURNPOOL,
5th October 1864.

(Signed) J. I. MINCHIN, Collector.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
13th February 1865.

ENCLOSURE No. 2.
Genealogical Tree.
Original Grantee.



KURNOOL,
5th October 1864.

(Signed) J. I. MINCHIN, Collector.

1. Ordered that the foregoing communication be submitted for the orders of Government, with the Board's recommendation that two-thirds of the pension of Rupees 897-4-0, or Rupees 598-2-8 be continued to the widow of the deceased for the maintenance of herself and family.

2. The above pension forms part of an allowance of Rupees 1,750, granted in the year 1802 to the family of Jelly Baswappa Naidu, the Poligar of Bolloopully, &c., who had been for some time in open rebellion, before he was taken and kept in confinement at Gooty, where he died. In Mr. Ross's statement the pension is stated to be renewable to lineal descendants only, and during good behaviour. Mr. Ross remarks that he understood such to be the intention of Government, and that such a course would ensure the loyalty of the Poligar's families, and put a check upon their continuance by adoption in the event of failure of natural heirs.

3. From a statement, dated 3rd February 1832, forwarded by the Principal Collector of Cuddapah, setting forth the claims of Jelly Bausker Naidu, the legitimate son of the original grantee, Jelly Baswappa Naidu, to the pension, it appears that the original pension of 1,750 Rupees per annum was at the time it was granted enjoyed in the following shares, viz. :-

Rupees 1,041 4 0 by the original grantee ;
,, 708 12 0 by his uncle ;

that on the death of the latter, his share had lapsed to Government ; that Rupees 851-10-8, out of the share belonging to the original grantee was, at the date of the Collector's statement in the enjoyment of his sister, and his two illegitimate sons. The reason why the legitimate son Jelly Bausker Naidu, delayed to claim the pension was stated to be, that at the time of his father's flight, he was put under the charge of the Poligar of Choonda, in the Nellore District ; that he had been brought up by this latter person, and had only lately experienced the want of some suitable provision in his maternal uncle's family. On the Board's recommendation, made in their remarks dated 5th March 1832, in the Collector's statement referred to above, the Government sanctioned the registry of the full share enjoyed by the original grantee, viz., Rupees 1,041-4-0, in the name of his legitimate son, Jelly Bausker Naidu, on condition, however, that the allowances which were at the time being enjoyed by his aunt and two illegitimate brothers, should be continued to them for life.

4. On the 8th June 1835, the Principal Collector reported the death of Jelly Bausker Naidu, and recommended that the entire pension as before should be registered in the name of his

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
13th February 1865.

son Bassapa Naidu, and on the same condition, viz., that the allowances hitherto enjoyed by the sister, and two illegitimate sons of the original grantee, should be continued to them during their lives, which recommendation was sanctioned by Government in their Order, dated 26th June 1835.

5. On the 18th September 1839, the Sub-Collector, Mr. Cochrane, who was in charge of the District, reported the death of Latchoomapah Naidu, the younger of the two illegitimate sons of the original grantee. It appears that the allowance drawn by himself and his brother from the original pension, was 288 Rupees per annum. Mr. Cochrane requested orders as to whether the whole of this amount was to be continued to the surviving illegitimate brother Mullappa Naidu, or whether any portion of it was to be transferred to Baswappa Naidu, the individual referred to in paragraph 4 above, who, as head of the family, was at the time in the enjoyment of Rupees 753-4-0 per annum being the remainder of the pension of Rupees 1,041-4-0.

6. On the Board's recommendation, the Government in Extract from Minutes of Consultation, dated 27th December 1839, sanctioned, with the approval of the Government of India the payment of the whole share of Rupees 288 per annum to Mullappa Naidu, the surviving illegitimate brother on the condition of his supporting his deceased brother's family.

7. In a tabular statement, dated 3rd November 1853, Mr. Murray, the then Collector, reported the death of Mullappa Naidu, who had thus been placed in possession of the whole share due to the illegitimate branch of the family, and recommended that this share (Rupees 288 per annum) should be continued to Mullappa Naidu's son, Basappa Naidu, on condition of his supporting that portion of his family which was entitled to maintenance from him ; but Government in their Order dated 14th February 1854, on the tabular statement, directed that only Rupees 144 per annum, being one-half of the share enjoyed by his father, should be continued to him for the support of those dependent on him, and that the remaining moiety should revert to " the head of the family, the Bolloopully Poligar." This last mentioned person was the legitimate grandson of the original grantee, and is referred to in paragraph 4 above, and it is his death which is now reported.

8. The share which was enjoyed by the deceased amounts to Rupees 897-4-0 per annum,*

Original share	...	...	...	Rs.	A.	P.
Subsequent revision	...	...	...	753	4	0
				144	0	0
				897	4	0

and as he has left a widow and three female relative behind, the Board, though unable to support the Collector's recommendation, consider that a portion of the pension may be continued to the widow for the support of herself

and the other female relatives of the deceased. As these persons are not lineal descendants of the original grantee, the Board are unable to recommend that more than two-thirds of the allowance be continued.

No. 254.

ORDER THEREON, 13th February 1865, No. 303.

Miscellaneous.

1. The foregoing Proceedings give a correct account of the transactions connected with the pension in question, the only point not quite clear being the date when that portion of the allowance enjoyed by the sister of the original grantee fell in to the head of the family. The Board will be requested to supply the deficient information.

2. The only legitimate male descendant of the original grantee having now died, the Board recommend that two-thirds of the allowance,† or Rupees 598-2-8, be continued to the widow of the deceased for the support of herself and his other female relatives. The Board remark that these persons are not lineal descendants of the original grantee. They will be called on to report whether this statement holds good as regards the individuals who are described in the tabular report as the sister of the deceased and her daughter ; also whether these persons are legitimate, and whether it has been the rule to exclude females from the succession to grants of this nature, allowing them merely maintenance.

Revenue Department

Memorandum

1. These proceedings give a correct account of the transactions connected with the pension in question; the only point not quite clear being the date when that portion of the allowance enjoyed by the sister of the original grantee fell in to the head of the family. There appears to be nothing on record on the subject.
2. The only legitimate male descendant of the original grantee having now deceased, the Board recommended that two-thirds of the allowance or Rupees 578 2-8 be continued to the widow of the deceased for the support of herself and his other female relatives. The Board remark that these persons are not lineal

respect the
an certain
ent, but the W. Thome
is to be it and it
will both then
be paid

Rs a p
7-4-0
2
1-8-0
2-8

1864. Department.

No.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 9th July 1864.

6.7.3022

No. 4,277.

Requesting further information before passing orders on the application for the continuance of the pension enjoyed by the late Jally Basseppa Naidu.

lineal descendants of the original grantee, but this observation can hardly hold good as regards the sister of the deceased and her daughter, if the tabular statement furnished by the collector is correct in so describing these individuals.

3. Neither of the tabular statements however which have been received from Karnool are correct as to facts. Nor does the genealogical tree which accompanied the second of them give a trustworthy description of the family. It is very necessary that care should be taken in the preparation of such documents.

Will the Government at once sanction the Board's proposition? or should they be asked whether any lineal legitimate

94
legitimate descendants to the original grantee are still in existence? and is anything to be said about limiting the pension?

cyw

The question turns I think on whether "lineal descendants" excludes females. - I do not see how it will come, & if this is the view of the whole pension shd go to the sister & daughter, if legitimate. The Govt should have stated whether they are legitimate, & whether it has been the ~~rule~~ rule to exclude females from the

Lineal descendants
daughters, & included
females. "Tabular statement"
persons descended from a
"legitimate grandson"
father-son, grandson -
W.D.

What does the first step
- for Indian Civil
Code say on this head?

I would ask for this
information before disposing
of the matter - The Govt's proposal
seems at present to be rather
over liberal I think. W.D.

to collect, to
these parts, al.
during there only
maintenance.

L. D. S.

11/3

Revenue Department.

No 303-

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 13th Feby 1865.

Read the following Proceed-
ings of the Board of Revenue.

Submitting for orders Tabular
Report of the Collector of Arcot on an
hereditary pension granted to one Jella
Baswappa Naidu, and recommending
that two thirds of the pension be con-
tinued to the widow of the deceased
Pensioner.

Per center 12th November 1864
S. 7391

1. The foregoing Proceedings
give a correct account of the
transactions connected
with the pension in question,
the only point not quite clear
being the date when that por-
tion of the allowance enjoyed
by the sister of the original
grantee fell in to the head
of the family. The Board
will be requested to supply
the deficient information.
2. The only legitimate
male descendant of the
original grantee having
now

10. 9. 1865

now demised, the Board re-
commend that two thirds of
the allowance or Rations 7897-4-0
598-2-8 be continued to the
widow, of the deceased for
the support of herself and
his other female relatives.
The Board remark that these
persons are not lineal des-
cendants of the original
grantee. They will be called
on to report whether this
statement holds good as
regards the individuals
who are described in the
tabular report as the sister
of the deceased and her
daughters also whether these
persons are legitimate and
whether it has been the
rule to exclude females
from the succession to
grants of this nature, al-
lowing them merely main-
tenance.

3. The Government
remark

13 8
remark that neither of the
tabular statements received
from Karnool are correct as
to facts; and that the ge-
nealogical tree which ac-
companied the second of
them does not give a trust-
worthy description of the
family. It is very necessary
that care should be taken
in the preparation of such
documents

14 To the Board of Revenue Dec 15 Feb

cell

8-3-06

Rec'd 1865. Department.
Dep of Govt. for Ind.

No. 303

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT,

Pro. Board of Education
14th July 1865 to 17th Aug 65

Dated 13th July 1865

Pro. No. 2579

13-3-32

~~35-4~~

~~28-6-32~~

Pro. No. 26 June 1865

43

14-2-54

73-74

7-7-12-189

Pro. No. 253 & 254

Regarding
further information
received by certain
Polymers in
Punjab.