M.R.O. 14—18,000—27-9-24

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

## Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 3032

104. 105

Press ~~Misc.~~

Dated 15. . 12. 1865.

***Not to be folded or roughly handled***

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,*
15*th December* 1865.

No. 104. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 21st November 1865, No. 7,461.

Read Board's Proceedings, dated 28th September 1865, No. 6,118.

Read also the following letter from the Acting Collector of South Arcot, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cuddalore, 13th October 1865, No. 214:—

1. In reply to the Board's Proceedings, dated 28th September 1865, No. 6,118, I have the honor to state that the deceased Yeomiahdar Mahomed Hoossain, therein referred to, left neither widow nor children; and that the family specified in column 7 of the tabular statement is that of the present claimant as supposed by the Board. It appears that the deceased Yeomiahdar was blind, and that consequently, for a period of twenty years prior to his death, the duties connected with the Mosque were performed by his cousin Mahomed Kassim *alias* Kassim Khan, the present claimant. He continues also to perform the service ever since the death of the Yeomiahdar, and consequently I have recommended that the Yeomiah may be made over to him permanently as the successor to Mahomed Hoossain, deceased.

2. It appears, however, that the deceased has left two other cousins;* but as these have consented in writing before the Tahsildar, that the Yeomiah may be made over to the claimant, I have made my recommendation accordingly.

* Ghoolam Khader and Shareef Khan.

3. The delay in reporting this lapse, as in several other cases of a similar nature, occurred chiefly in the Talook. The records of the inquiry in this case were not received in the Collector's office till the 20th June 1865, or till nearly sixteen months after the death of the Yeomiahdar; but the Tahsildars who were in charge of the Talook are not to be altogether blamed, as evidently there has been much difficulty in procuring the timely attendance of the parties, some of whom admitted in their depositions, when questioned by the Tahsildar, that failure to attend earlier, though often summoned, was owing to their having been ill at the time, or having been absent from their homes. Measures will be adopted to prevent the recurrence of such delays in future; and I propose, with the Board's approval, to notify in the District Gazette that claimants to lapsed Yeomiahs failing to appear before the Tahsildar in person, or by an authorized agent, within a certain time, say one month from the date of the death of the original holder, and substantiate their claims, will not subsequently be allowed to do so, except for good and satisfactory reasons.

4. The Board are aware that a Revenue summons is virtually useless, it is merely a kind of invitation; if the person thus invited likes to come, he does so, if not, he stays away; there are no penalties for disregarding the admonition.

---

1. The Yeomiah in question, amounting to Rupees 31-7-1, being a religious service Yeomiah, the continuance of which was sanctioned to the last holder by Government in their Order, dated 18th May 1844, No. 485, the Board of Revenue under the Government Orders, dated 27th August 1861 and 21st January 1864,

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 15th December 1865.*

referred to in the Board's Circulars, No. 4,762, dated 3rd September 1861, and No. XII., dated 4th February 1864, authorize its registry in the name of Mahomed Cassim, to whom the arrears also amounting to Rupees 65-8-1 will be paid, subject to the audit of the Civil Paymaster.

2. The Board approve of the Acting Collector's proposal contained in the latter part of paragraph 3 of his letter above recorded. A strict scrutiny of the lists of arrears of work forwarded by the Tahsildars to the Collector's office is also indispensably necessary to prevent inordinate delays in the work of the Talooks. If the Board's new forms are carefully adhered to in the Vernacular Head Clerk's Department, these cases could not thus escape *notice*.

3. Resolved that a copy of these Proceedings be submitted for the confirmation of Government.

No. 105. ORDER THEREON, 15th December 1865, No. 3,032.

Miscellaneous.

1. The orders of the Board of Revenue continuing the Yeomiah to Mahomed Cassim, and sanctioning payment of arrears, are confirmed.

2. The Governor in Council is not of opinion that the course recommended by the Acting Collector in paragraph 3 of his letter, and adopted by the Board, is calculated to effect the object in view.

3. The delay which is constantly occurring in these Yeomiah cases is manifestly due to carelessness in the Huzoor Cutcherries, to apathy on the part of Tahsildars, and to the vexatious interference of their subordinates. The claimants have too great an interest in getting their claims settled speedily, to throw any obstacle in the way themselves.

4. If the death of a Yeomiahdar in any village is immediately reported by the village servants to the Tahsildar, and by the Tahsildar to the Collector, and if the Collector's Clerks register the communication and take ordinary precautions to urge on the Tahsildar, experience shews that the information requisite for reporting on the lapse will be supplied within a reasonable time.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
*Secretary to Government.*

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant General.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 7,461.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 21st November 1865.

Read Board's Proceedings, dated 28th September 1865, No. 6,118.

Read also the following letter from G. BANBURY, Esq., Acting Collector of South Arcot, to R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cuddalore, 13th October 1865, No. 214:—

1. In reply to the Board's Proceedings, dated 28th September 1865, No. 6,118, I have the honor to state that the deceased Yeomiahdar Mahomed Hoossain, therein referred to, left neither widow nor children; and that the family spetified in column 7 of the tabular statement is that of the present claimant as supposed by the Board. It appears that the deceased Yeomiahdar was blind, and that consequently, for a period of twenty years prior to his death, the duties connected with the Mosque were performed by his cousin Mahomed Kassim *alias* Kassim Khan, the present claimant. He continues also to perform the service ever since the death of the Yeomiahdar, and consequently I have recommended that the Yeomiah may be made over to him permanently as the successor to Mahomed Hoossain, deceased.

2. It appears, however, that the deceased has left two other cousins;* but as these have consented in writing before the Tahsildar, that the Yeomiah may be made over to the claimant, I have made my recommendation accordingly.

Ghoolam Khader and Shareef Khan.

3. The delay in reporting this lapse, as in several other cases of a similar nature, occurred chiefly in the Taluk. The records of the inquiry in this case were not received in the Collector's office till the 20th June 1865, or till nearly sixteen months after the death of the Yeomiahdar; but the Tahsildars who were in charge of the Taluk are not to be altogether blamed, as evidently there has been much difficulty in procuring the timely attendance of the parties, some of whom admitted in their depositions, when questioned by the Tahsildar, that failure to attend earlier, though often summoned, was owing to their having been ill at the time, or having been absent from their homes. Measures will be adopted to prevent the recurrence of such delays in future; and I propose, with the Board's approval, to notify in the District Gazette that claimants to lapsed Yeomiahs failing to appear before the Tahsildar in person, or by an authorized agent, within a certain time, say one month from the date of the death of the original holder, and substantiate their claims, will not subsequently be allowed to do so, except for good and satisfactory reasons.

4. The Board are aware that a Revenue summons is virtually useless, it is merely a kind of invitation; if the person thus invited likes to come, he does so, if not, he stays away; there are no penalties for disregarding the admonition.

1. The Yeomiah in question, amounting to Rupees 31-7-1, being a religious service Yeomiah, the continuance of which was sanctioned to the last holder by Government in their Order, dated 18th May 1841, No. 485, the Board of Revenue under the Government Orders, dated 27th August 1861 and 21st January 1864, referred to in the Board's Circulars, No. 4,762, dated 3rd September 1861, and No. XII., dated 4th February 1864, authorize its registry in the name of Mahomed Cassim, to whom the arrears also amounting to Rupees 65-8-1 will be paid, subject to the audit of the Civil Paymaster.

2. The Board approve of the Acting Collector's proposal contained in the latter part of paragraph 3 of his letter above recorded. A strict scrutiny of the lists of arrears of work forwarded by the Tahsildars to the Collector's office is also indispensably necessary to prevent inordinate delays in the work of the Taluks. If the Board's new forms are carefully adhered to in the Vernacular Head Clerk's Department, these cases could not thus escape *notice*.

3. Resolved that a copy of these Proceedings be submitted for the confirmation of Government.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Acting Secretary.

To W. Hudleston, Esq., Acting Secretary to Government, R. D.
,, F. Lushington, Esq., Accountant General.
,, C. W. Reade, Esq., Collector of South Arcot.

Exd. J. Wright.

on paras 3 of the Collr's

No 3397 of 1865.

Registry of Amount for the Principal Yeomial Cash Rents on account of Shikmee Pergunnah Mhow under Mhow Collectorate, for Fusly 1272.

Read on 5th Dec 1865.

Received from Collector of Mhow 21st November 1865.

Referred to Commissioner, Nerbudda Division for report.

Received from Commissioner, Nerbudda Division 5th December 1865.

Referred to Secretary for Opinion.

Returned to Commissioner, Nerbudda Division 6th December 1865.

Received from Commissioner, Nerbudda Division 8th December 1865.

Referred to Secretary for Orders.

The registration is correct - but it seems that the proper to notify to claimants that unless they come for most within a month their claims will not be considered can scarcely be enforced - I would rather allow a longer period - say 3 months - and make forfeiture of arrears the penalty - In this case the fault seems to have been partly due to the Talukdars & the Yeomial is a servile one - J.D.

---

No. 3397

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 21st November 1865.

No. 7,461.

Sanctioning the registry of the Yeomial amounting to Rupees 31-7-1 per annum enjoyed by the late Mahomed Hoossain of South Arcot district in the name of his cousin Mahomed Cassim: and submitting the Board's Proceedings for the confirmation of Government.

6 Dec 65 Ry Secy

Revenue Department.

No. 3032.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 15th December 1866.

Read the following
Proceedings of the Board of
Revenue —

Sanctioning the Reduction
of the Yeomiah emoluments to
Rupees 31-7-1 per annum
enjoyed by the late Mahomed
Hoosain of South Arcot dec.
Fort in the name of his Cousin
Mahomed Hoosain and
Submitting the Board's Co.
cedings for the confirmation
of Government —

Fort date 21st Novr 1866
No 7469

The orders of the
Board of Revenue concurring
the Yeomiah to the deceased
Hoosain and sanctioning
suspension of emoluments
confirmed —

The Governor in
Council is of opinion
that the course recommended
by the Acting Collector
in para 3 of his letter

and adopted by the Board
is calculated to effect the
objects in view.

The Lay which
is constantly occurring in
these Yeomanly cases is
manifestly due to carelessness
in the Surveyor em-
ployed by the Parish Clerks
of their Subordinates.
The Commissioners have
proceeded to direct
getting these Plans settled
speedily, to throw any
obstacle in the way thereof
being removed.

If the death of a
Yeomanly in any village
be immediately reported
by the Village Surveyor
to the Inhabitant and
the Inhabitant to the
Collector, and if the
Collector's Clerks pre-
sident the Communication
and Certificate ordinary

precautions to avoid
the Inhabitant Clerks presence
shows that the information
then required for effecting
a Commutation is
obtained.

[illegible]

[illegible] Parish Officers (Overseers &c.)
to be recommended [illegible]

1867-68

24.508

Revenue 1867 & 68

Rs. of Cutcherry

No. 9032.

Rs. of the Madras Govt.

Dt. 15th December 1865

Confirming the orders
of the Board of Revenue con-
cerning the Jeerumahl in Salt
Tract to Malabar Zemin
and sanctioning the Pay-
ment of Arrears.

1867-68