

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2634	<u>Press</u>
522-523	Mis.
Dated 27 th October 1865.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
27th October 1865.*

No. 522. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 12th October 1865, No. 6,460.

Read the following letters :—

From the Collector of the Godavery District, to the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 21st July 1865, No. 149.

1. With reference to the Order of Government, No. 1,541, dated 6th July 1865, Revenue Department, communicated with the Board's Proceedings of the 10th instant, I have the honor to report that in the early part of April last, when the Sub-Collector, Mr. Chase, was in charge of the District during my absence, the Superintending Engineer sent an estimate and two plans, amounting to Rupees 2,700, for extending the Gantay and Kottapetta road to the river bank at Bodipalem, and for constructing a bridge across the east bank channel near Bodipalem in Amalapur Talook, requesting him to transmit them to Government for sanction through the Board. Mr. Chase accordingly sent the estimate to the Board with his letter of the 16th April 1865, No. 57. The remarks made by the Board in their Proceedings, No. 2,240, dated 4th May 1865, in which Mr. Chase's letter was recorded, have been duly communicated to the Superintending Engineer. Paragraph 4 of Mr. Executive Engineer Rundall's letter, dated 7th February 1865, (copy of which is herein enclosed,) which accompanied the Superintending Engineer's letter, dated 4th April last, No. 1,805, shows clearly the reasons why sufficient provision was not made in the original estimate for the extension of the road, and the construction of the bridge under reference, which I consider necessary.

2. In the Supplemental Budget of Local Fund, which I forwarded to the Board with my letter of the 24th ultimo, No. 116, at the suggestion of the Superintending Engineer, the above project was entered, as it had not found place in the one already sanctioned by Government for 1865-66.

Vide Government Order, No. 175, 31st January 1865. Board's Miscellaneous Circular, No. VIII., 17th February.

From the Executive Engineer, to the Superintending Engineer, First Division, dated 7th February 1865, No. 222.

1 Bridge plan.
1 Road Survey plan.
1 Detailed and Abstract Estimate.
1 Specification.

4

1. I beg herewith to forward, for sanction from the District Conservancy Fund, an estimate for the continuation of the Gantay and Kottapetta road to the river bank at Bodipalem, and for a bridge across the east bank channel.

2. A considerable number of people pass and re-pass through Kottapetta (which is a Sub-Magistrate's station and market town) both to the Cowleswaram Ferry, and also to our river bank, which forms a main line of communication between the many populous villages lying north and south of Kottapetta.

3. There is at present at Bodipalem a palmyra bridge over the east bank channel which is old and almost dangerous, and very difficult to keep in repair, as the villagers wont give or sell their palmyra trees. I brought this to the notice of the Collector (in reply to a letter of his saying that the Sub-Magistrate had reported the bridge to have been damaged by the storm of the 1st November, and to be dangerous) under date the 22nd November last, and at the same time told him that an estimate for a substantial bridge would be forwarded for sanction by me.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
27th October 1865.*

4. The original estimate for the Gantay and Kottapetta road was one of those framed on the so-much-per-mile principle, no plans being originally submitted by the Executive Officer. Major Stoddard, when District Engineer, seems to have estimated for the road to be made only as far as the village of Kottapetta as the distance was entered by him at six miles, the distance from Pallipulam to Bodipalem being six and a half. Out of the Rupees 7,200 sanctioned for Gantay road, the earth-work for five miles and the following masonry works have been completed :—

One	bridge of masonry	abutments,	Timber truss,	30'	span.
One	do.	of	do.	do.	25' do.
One	do.	of	do.	do.	20' do.
One	do.	of	do.	do.	40' do.
Two	tunnels.			3'	do.

The road is, however, manifestly incomplete as a means of thorough communication across the central portion of the delta, unless the east bank channel is bridged, and the road carried up to it.

5. As only five miles of earth-work have been done out of the old estimate, the remaining one and a half miles is provided for in the accompanying estimate.

6. Should sanction be given me this year for this work, I shall be able to complete the abutments of the bridge and earth-work before the irrigating season in June, at which time we could not stop the water to sink the wells. I beg, therefore, you will be good enough to recommend early sanction may be given.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. FRASER,
Collector.

From the same Officer, dated Cocanada, 3rd August 1865, No. 169.

1. In acknowledging the receipt of the Board's Proceedings of the 20th ultimo, No. 4,194, returning, for revision, the Supplemental Budget Estimate of Road Fund for 1865-66, I have the honor to state, that the actual balance of the District Road Fund, which remained on 30th April last, amounts to Rupees 56,464; whereas only Rupees 35,219 were entered as the expected balance on that date in the Budget of 1865-66, forwarded to the Board on 18th January last, or four months before the close of the past official year. The actual balance has greatly exceeded the estimate, in consequence of the expenditure on some works in 1864-65 not having been incurred to the extent anticipated in the Budget. Thus there will be a larger balance on 30th April 1866 than there would be according to the Budget.

2. Under these circumstances, I beg to re-transmit my Supplemental Estimate amounting to Rupees 11,420, and request the Board will be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government for the proposed expenditure.

3. I regret that this explanation was not given in my first letter of the 24th June last, No. 116.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 1 and 2.—Estimates.

1. Resolved that the foregoing letters be submitted to Government.

2. The first of the above letters is a reply to the Order of Government, dated 6th July 1865, No. 1,541, regarding the project for extending the Gantay and Kottapetta road to the river bank at Bodipalem, and for constructing a bridge across the east bank channel near Bodipalem.

Letter from the Executive Engineer, dated 7th February 1865. It will be seen from paragraph 4 of the enclosure to the Collector's letter, that

the original estimate for the road in question was framed at so much per mile; no

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
27th October 1865.*

plans having been originally submitted by the Executive Officer, and Mr. Rundall states, that without the works now proposed, the road will be manifestly incomplete as a means of thorough communication across the central portion of the delta. The Board, therefore, request that the sanction of Government may be granted for the works in question amounting to Rupees 2,700. It should, however, be here observed that these works are not provided for in the sanctioned Budget for the current year, but are included in a Supplemental Budget, which will now be submitted for the sanction of Government.

3. In his letter to the Board, dated 24th June 1865, the Collector submitted this Supplemental Estimate for works, amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 11,420,* but the Board returned it to him observing that the proposed expenditure exceeded the balance at the

credit of the District Road Fund at the end of the year (Rupees 9,975). The Collector, however, now explains, in the second letter above recorded, that in consequence of the actual balance, on the 30th April last, having been considerably more than the amount estimated in the Budget, there are sufficient funds available for the works now proposed. The Board, therefore, resolve to request that the additional expenditure of Rupees 11,420 may be sanctioned.

4. The Collector is requested to state what portion of the expenditure proposed in this Supplemental Budget is to be incurred by the Revenue Officers, and what portion by the Public Works Department. He should not have omitted to furnish this information, as funds should at once be placed at the disposal of the Public Works Department for the execution of such works as may be entrusted to them.

No. 523.

ORDER THEREON, 27th October 1865, No. 2,634.

Local Funds, No. 25.

Civil Works.

The Government approve and sanction the proposed works, and authorize the expenditure on them in the current year of the amount entered in the Supplemental Budget.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,

Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

„ the Department Public Works.

„ the Accountant General.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

No 190

Memo

CVR 2422/18

Requested for reference the under mentioned paper referred to
in the Board's Proc. of 12th October 1865 No 6460

Supplemental Budget Estimate of District Road Fund Part I
Supplemental ————— Part II

Rev. Secy^r

13th August

1866

Registrar

The Registrar of the Board of Revenue

2 in 23460 F. Godavery 2/24 June/65

3. In his letter to the Board, dated 24th June 1865, the Collector submitted this Supplemental Estimate for works, amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 11,420,* but the Board returned it to him observing that the proposed expenditure exceeded the balance at the credit

	Rs.
* Original works	7,700
Repairs... ..	3,720
	11,420

of the District Road Fund at the end of the year (Rupees 9,975). The Collector, however, now explains, in the second letter above recorded, that in consequence of the actual balance, on the 30th April last, having been considerably more than the amount estimated in the Budget, there are sufficient funds available for the works now proposed. The Board, therefore, resolve to request that the additional expenditure of Rupees 11,120 may be sanctioned.

4. The Collector is requested to state what portion of the expenditure proposed in this Supplemental Budget is to be incurred by the Revenue Officers, and what portion by the Public Works Department. He should not have omitted to furnish this information, as funds should at once be placed at the disposal of the Public Works Department for the execution of such works as may be entrusted to them.

(True Copies and Extract.)


Acting Secretary.

To W. Hudleston, Esq., Acting Secretary to Government, R. D.,
with two enclosures.

Copy to J. Fraser, Esq., Collector of the Godavery District.

Exd. J. Wright.

21 Jan'y/65 No 357
6 July - - - 85.88.

Rev. 1865. Department.
Secy of Govt for Ctr.

No. 2926.
PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 12th October 1865.
20.10.65
22.10.65
22.10.65

No. 6,460.

No 264. by Collector
W. H. Crocker

Submitting for sanction Supplemental Budget
Estimate amounting to Rupees 11,420 of works
proposed to be incurred in the Godavery District
out of District Road Fund, and requesting the
Collector to report what portion of the expendi-
ture is to be incurred by the Revenue Officers,
and what portion by the D. P. W.

105224523-

21.10.65

82-2634.
The Board approve &

sanction the proposed works
and authorize the superintendence
on them in the current year

W. H. Crocker
the Secy of the Govt for Ctr.

14.10.65
21.10.65
22.10.65
22.10.65

14