

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 24

Press
~~Mis.~~

6061

Dated

6-1-1865.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
6th January 1865.*

No. 60. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 13th December 1864, No. 7,986:—

Read the following letter from G. BANBURY, Esq., Acting Collector of North Arcot, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chittoor, 3rd December 1864, No. 326.

1. I have the honor to state that prior to the introduction of the present system of Permanent Advance Fund, which came into operation from 1st May 1860, the practice which obtained in this District was to make advances from this Treasury to the Civil and Subordinate Judges of this station on their requisition, to enable them to meet the contingent charges of their respective Courts and Jail, and these advances were subject to adjustment by passed bills.

2. The amount outstanding on the 30th April 1860, as advance made to these officers under the old system, was Rupees 1,947-7-6; but the amount of audited bills, and cash sent in by the Civil Judge in subsequent months having exceeded the said sum of advance by Rupees 550-4-8, is particularized below:—

Amount adjusted in May 1860, according to the passed Abstracts.

	RS.	A.	P.
Saderward charges of Sub-Court...	12	11	10
Contingent charges of do. ...	894	0	0
Do. of Civil Court...	9	8	0
Saderward charges of do. ...	21	15	6
Cash recovered...	23	3	1

June 1860.

Cash recovered...	665	7	5
Contingent charges of the Jail ...	867	14	4

2,497 12 2

Deduct amount outstanding as advance ... 1,947 7 6

Net ... 550 4 8

The excess appears to have been placed in deposit for nearly a year, and then carried to the credit of Government, probably as the Court did not ask for it, and no correspondence appears to have taken place on the subject between the two offices.

3. The Session Judge now applies to me for the refund of a sum of Rupees 83-13-9, being the amount of contingent charges of the Jail, which was included in the passed Contingent Bill for April 1860, amounting in aggregate to Rupees 867-14-4, as shewn below, on the ground that the former sum was to have been asked and sent for in cash at the time; but owing to some oversight on their part, the said amount was disbursed from the Permanent Advance Fund of the Jail in May 1860, which has not as yet been re-placed; and unless this amount is now refunded, the old accounts of the Jail could not be closed. I have now asked the Session Judge whether he could furnish me with information as to what items the excess of Rupees 83-13-9 is composed of. He replies in the negative. The audited bill above-mentioned has been sent for from the Accountant General's Office for reference, which clearly shews that the sum of Rupees 13-13-9 which ought to have been remitted to the Court in cash, is included in it, and the entire sum was adjusted.

	RS.	A.	P.
Paid to released Prisoners ...	2	13	0
Paid batta to Prisoners ...	774	12	4
Contingent charges...	81	8	8
Articles purchased for the Jail manufactures ...	8	12	0
	867	14	4

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
6th January 1865.*

4. Under these circumstances, I beg that the Board will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Government for the refund of the sum of Rupees 83-13-9 applied for by the Session Judge.

The amount having been credited more than two years, the case will be submitted for the sanction of Government.

No. 61.

ORDER THEREON, 6th January 1865, No. 24.

Miscellaneous.

Sanction is accordingly granted for the refund of Rupees 83-13-9.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
" Accountant General.
" Civil Paymaster.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 7,986.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 13th December 1864.

Read the following letter from G. BANBURY, Esq., Acting Collector of North Arcot, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chittoor, 3rd December 1864, No. 326:—

1. I have the honor to state that prior to the introduction of the present system of Permanent Advance Fund, which came into operation from 1st May 1860, the practice which obtained in this District was to make advances from this Treasury to the Civil and Subordinate Judges of this station on their requisition, to enable them to meet the contingent charges of their respective Courts and Jail, and these advances were subject to adjustment by passed bills.

2. The amount outstanding on the 30th April 1860, as advance made to these officers under the old system, was Rupees 1,947-7-6; but the amount of audited bills, and cash sent in by the Civil Judge in subsequent months having exceeded the said sum of advance by Rupees 550-4-8, is particularized below:—

Amount adjusted in May 1860, according to the passed Abstracts.		RS.	A.	P.
Saderward charges of Sub-Court	...	12	11	10
Contingent charges of do...	...	894	0	0
Do. of Civil Court	...	9	8	0
Saderward charges of do...	...	24	15	6
Cash recovered	...	23	3	1
June 1860.				
Cash recovered	...	665	7	5
Contingent charges of the Jail	...	867	14	4
		2,497	12	2
Deduct amount outstanding as advance	...	1,947	7	6
Net	...	550	4	8

The excess appears to have been placed in deposit for nearly a year, and then carried to the credit of Government, probably as the Court did not ask for it, and no correspondence appears to have taken place on the subject between the two offices.

3. The Session Judge now applies to me for the refund of a sum of Rupees 83-13-9, being the amount of contingent charges of the Jail, which was included in the passed Contingent Bill for April 1860, amounting in aggregate to Rupees 867-14-4, as shown below, on the ground that the former sum was to have been asked and sent for in cash at the time; but owing to some over-sight on their part, the said amount was disbursed from the Permanent Advance Fund of the Jail in May 1860, which has not as yet been re-placed; and unless this amount is now refunded, the old accounts of the Jail could not be closed. I have now asked the Session Judge whether he could furnish me with information as to what items the excess of Rupees 83-13-9 is composed of. He replies in the negative. The audited bill above-mentioned has been sent for from the Accountant General's Office for reference, which clearly shows that the sum of Rupees 13-13-9, which ought to have been remitted to the Court in cash, is included in it, and the entire sum was adjusted.

	RS.	A.	P.
Paid to released Prisoners	...	2	13 0
Paid batta to Prisoners	...	774	12 4
Contingent charges	...	81	8 8
Articles purchased for the Jail manufactures	...	8	12 0
		867	14 4

4. Under these circumstances, I beg that the Board will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Government for the refund of the sum of Rupees 83-13-9 applied for by the Session Judge.

The amount having been credited more than two years, the case will be submitted for the sanction of Government.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to G. Banbury, Esq., Acting Collector of North Arcot.

Ed. J. Wright.

Secretary.

8-24.

Director is

accordingly granted
1874
for the refund of
Rs 83-13-9.

Thos. & Co. 1873
for Rs 15000
14 B. & C. 1873
3 Dec 1873
3 Dec 1873
3 Dec 1873

1864-76.

Can be collected by 1873

1873-74

1874-75

Dated 13th December 1864.
1864-75
1864-75

No. 7,986.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

No. 3219.

1864.

Department.

1864-75
1864-75
1864-75

Submitting for sanction letter from the Acting Collector of North Arcot requesting authority to refund to the Sessions Judge Rupees 83-13-9, being amount of contingent charges of the Jail carried to the credit of Government by an oversight in April 1860.