

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2461

Press
~~Mis.~~

187-188

Dated

11-10. 1865.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

2

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
11th October 1865.*

No. 187. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 28th September 1865, No. 6,108.

Read the following papers:—

Letter from G. BANBURY, Esq., Acting Collector of South Arcot, to R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cuddalore, 30th August 1865, No. 159.

SIR,

1. In the Tabular Statement submitted to the Board on the 5th instant, recommending the continuance of a service Yeomiah of Rupees 133-15-0 per annum, to one Syed Amceth Saib, the amount of arrears for which sanction is applied for has been erroneously given, and I, therefore, request that you will be good enough to cause the following correction be made in it.

2. Alter the words "the arrears, viz., Rupees 368-5-3, due from 12th October 1862, to 11th July 1865," into "the arrears, viz., 267-14-0, due from 12th July 1863, to 11th July 1865."

—

Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 9th September 1865, No. 2,163, Revenue Department.

ABSTRACT.—Sanctioning the payment of arrears due to the late Yeomiahdar Syed Yasim of South Arcot, and requesting the Board to submit the Collector's explanation of the delay in reporting the lapse, and also to instruct the Collector to explain why the Yeomiah remained undrawn from October 1862, until the demise of the last registered incumbent.

—

Letter from G. BANBURY, Esq., Acting Collector of South Arcot, to R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Tindivanam, 12th September 1865, No. 173.

SIR,

1. With reference to the concluding paragraph of the Board's Proceedings, dated 29th August 1865, No. 5,274, desiring me to explain the excessive delay which has occurred in submitting, for orders, the case of the deceased Yeomiahdar therein referred to, I have the honor to state, that the death of this individual, which took place on the 28th September 1863, was not reported to this office by the Tahsildar of Chellambaram Talook till 13th May 1865. The Tahsildar's Urzee contained a recommendation in favor of the deceased individual's nephew, but as it was silent in regard to the claims of the brothers and widow left by the deceased, the Tahsildar was directed, in June 1865, to inquire into the claims of these parties. On the receipt of the Tahsildar's Urzee, regarding these claims, it was found necessary to make a second reference as to the age, &c., of the family left by the deceased. This information was received on the 4th August, and the usual Tabular Statement was completed and despatched to the Board on the following day.

2. The Board will see, that the delay arose mainly in the Talooks; there were several Tahsildars in charge of the Talook, and it was not until Stri Baliah came to take up the matter, that it was reported to the Hoozoor. There are several reasons for the delay with regard to these cases in the Talooks; firstly, the parties will not attend when summoned; a Revenue summons is virtually waste paper; no one need obey it unless he likes; secondly, Magisterial cases cannot be kept on hand, they must be settled speedily, so that the Yeomiah inquiries have to give way to them; thirdly, an old fashioned, and, I may add, to a certain extent a wholesome

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
11th October 1865.*

notion prevails in the Talook Cutcherry that any thing to do with the receipt of Circar money, from Ain Land Revenue is the primary duty of the officials, and that extraneous matters like the present may bide their time for a more convenient season; however, if a careful check is kept upon the Tahsildar's "Orders in arrears," or as it is termed "Takid Pharast," these delays would not occur. I will take care that these returns of work to be cleared off are carefully scrutinized.

1. Resolved that the Acting Collector's letters above recorded be submitted to Government.

2. In the Government Order of the 9th September 1865, No. 2,163, it is stated that the amount of the grant, which formed the subject of the Board's Proceedings then under disposal, was nowhere mentioned. The Board observe, however, that information on this point is contained in the second column of the Collector's report, where the amount was stated to be Rupees 133-15-0 per annum.

3. In the Acting Collector's first letter now submitted to Government, he requests that the amount of arrears to be sanctioned may be altered from Rupees 368-5-3 to Rupees 267-14-0, as the period for which the arrears are due is from 12th July 1863, to 11th July 1865, and not from 12th October 1862, to 11th July 1865, as originally erroneously stated. The Board accordingly request that the first sanction may be cancelled, and a fresh sanction granted for the smaller amount. The explanation called for in paragraph 2 of the Government Order is also now unnecessary.

4. Mr. Banbury's second letter contains an explanation of the delay in reporting this case. The Board cannot but regard it as very unsatisfactory. They accept the Acting Collector's assurances that the supervision of the work in the Talooks will, for the future, be more strict and constant so as to prevent such delays as that now complained of: at the same time they desire to be furnished with a Memorandum shewing the names of the several Tahsildars who officiated in the Chellambaram Talook from the time that the lapse occurred, viz., 28th September 1863, until the report was made in May 1865; the number of months during which each held the office; and any explanation which these officers may have to offer for their neglect respecting it.

No. 188. ORDER THEREON, 11th October 1865, No. 2,461.

Miscellaneous.

1. The Government authorize the payment of the correct amount of the arrear, Rupees 267-14-0, due from 12th July 1863 to 11th July 1865, as requested in paragraph 2 of the Collector's letter above recorded, and cancel the first sanction conveyed in G. O. of 9th September 1865, No. 2,163.

2. The Government agree with the Board that the explanation of delay in disposing of this case is any thing but satisfactory.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
,, the Accountant General.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 6,108.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 23rd September 1865.

Read the following papers:—

Letter from G. BANBURY, Esq., Acting Collector of South Arcot, to R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cuddalore, 30th August 1865, No. 159.

1. In the Tabular Statement submitted to the Board on the 5th instant, recommending the continuance of a Service Yeomiah of Rupees 133-15-0 per annum, to one Syed Ameeth Saib, the amount of arrears for which sanction is applied for has been erroneously given, and I, therefore, request that you will be good enough to cause the following correction be made in it.

2. Alter the words "the arrears, viz., Rupees 368-5-3, due from 12th October 1862, to 11th July 1865," into "the arrears, viz., 267-14-0, due from 12th July 1863, to 11th July 1865."

Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 9th September 1865, No. 2,163, Revenue Department.

ABSTRACT.—Sanctioning the payment of arrears due to the late Yeomiahdar Syed Yasim of South Arcot, and requesting the Board to submit the Collector's explanation of the delay in reporting the lapse, and also to instruct the Collector to explain why the Yeomiah remained undrawn from October 1862, until the demise of the last registered incumbent.

Letter from G. BANBURY, Esq., Acting Collector of South Arcot, to R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Tindivanam, 12th September 1865, No. 173.

1. With reference to the concluding paragraph of the Board's Proceedings, dated 29th August 1865, No. 5,274, desiring me to explain the delay which has occurred in submitting, for orders, the case of the deceased Yeomiahdar therein referred to, I have the honor to state, that the death of this individual, which took place on the 23rd September 1863, was not reported to this office by the Tahsildar of Chellambaram Taluk till 13th May 1865. The Tahsildar's Urzee contained a recommendation in favor of the deceased individual's nephew, but as it was silent in regard to the claims of the brothers and widow left by the deceased, the Tahsildar was directed, in June 1865, to inquire into the claims of these parties. On the receipt of the Tahsildar's Urzee regarding these claims, it was found necessary to make a second reference as to the age, &c., of the family left by the deceased. This information was received on the 4th August, and the usual Tabular Statement was completed and despatched to the Board on the following day.

2. The Board will see, that the delay arose mainly in the Taluks; there were several Tahsildars in charge of the Taluk, and it was not until Sri Baliah came to take up the matter, that it was reported to the Hoozoor. There are several reasons for the delay with regard to these cases in the Taluks; firstly, the parties will not attend when summoned; a Revenue summons is virtually waste paper; no one need obey it unless he likes; secondly, Magisterial cases cannot be kept on hand, they must be settled speedily, so that the Yeomiah inquiries have to give way to them; thirdly, an old fashioned, and, I may add, to a certain extent a wholesome notion prevails in the Taluk Cutcherry that any thing to do with the receipt of Circar money, from Ain Land Revenue is the primary duty of the officials, and that extraneous matters like the present may bide their time for a more convenient season; however, if a careful check is kept upon the Tahsildar's "Orders in arrears," or as it is termed "Takid Pharast," these delays would not occur. I will take care that these returns of work to be cleared off are carefully scrutinized.

1. Resolved that the Acting Collector's letters above recorded be submitted to Government.

2. In the Government Order of the 9th September 1865, No. 2,163, it is stated that the amount of the grant, which formed the subject of the Board's Proceedings then under disposal,

was no where mentioned. The Board observe, however, that information on this point is contained in the second column of the Collector's report, where the amount was stated to be Rupees 133-15-0 per annum.

3. In the Acting Collector's first letter now submitted to Government, he requests that the amount of arrears to be sanctioned may be altered from Rupees 368-5-3 to Rupees 267-14-0, as the period for which the arrears are due is from 12th July 1863, to 11th July 1865, and not from 12th October 1862, to 11th July 1865, as originally erroneously stated. The Board accordingly request that the first sanction may be cancelled, and a fresh sanction granted for the smaller amount. The explanation called for in paragraph 2 of the Government Order is also now unnecessary.

4. Mr. Banbury's second letter contains an explanation of the delay in reporting this case. The Board cannot but regard it as very unsatisfactory. They accept the Acting Collector's assurances that the supervision of the work in the Taluks will, for the future, be more strict and constant so as to prevent such delays as that now complained of: at the same time they desire to be furnished with a Memorandum showing the names of the several Tahsildars who officiated in the Chellambram Taluk from the time that the lapse occurred, viz, 28th September 1863, until the report was made in May 1865; the number of months during which each held the office; and any explanation which these officers may have to offer for their neglect respecting it.

(True Copies and Extract.)

W. H. Hudleston
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To W. Hudleston, Esq., Acting Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to G. Banbury, Esq., Acting Collector of South Arcot,
with copy of Government Order, 9th September 1865, No. 2,163.

amount of the arrears

Rs. 267-14-0 due from 12th

July 1863 to 11th July 1865 as

requested in para 2 of the Collector's

letter above recorded, and as per

all the first sanction was

sanctioned in G. O. of 19th Sept 1863.

1865 No. 2163.

W. H. Hudleston The Board agree with

the Board that the arrears

of this case is owing

to the delay in reporting this case.

to the 13th of September 1865.

Requesting the cancellation of the sanction

recorded by Government for the payment of

Rupees 368-5-3 on account of arrears of

Yeomiah due to the late Syed Yasin of South

Arcot, and the grant of fresh sanction for the

payment of Rupees 267-14-0; and desiring fur-

ther report from the Collector in connection

with the delay in reporting this case.

No. 2163
PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE

Dated 28th September 1865.

W. H. Hudleston

No. 6,108.

Dep. Secy.