

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	2377	Press
		~~Mis.~~
21-22		
Dated	22 October	1865.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

24/10/65

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
2nd October 1865.*

No. 21. Read the following letter from H. A. BRETT, Esq., President Income Tax Commission, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Madras, 22nd September 1865, No. 7 :—

SIR,

1. With reference to the Order of Government No. 2,044, dated 31st August 1865, forwarding, for the information of the Presidency Commissioners, copy of the Advocate General's report on the trial of one Rajahgopaul Chetty, I have the honor to annex Mr. Morison's explanation on the subject.

2. Mr. Morison explains that he looked to the Bank's receipt as the proper voucher for the actual payment; and that he did not suppose any fraud was possible so long as the balance in the Cash book was checked by this receipt.

From N. MORISON, Esq., Secretary to the Income Tax Commission, to H. A. BRETT, Esq., President Income Tax Commission, dated Madras, 22nd September 1865, No. 188.

SIR,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Government Order No. 2,044, dated 31st August 1865, referring to the trial of one Rajahgopaul Chetty, Shroff in the Income Tax Office, and in compliance with your instructions, I beg to submit the following explanation.

2. The Advocate General, in paragraph 3 of his letter to Government, reporting on the trial of the prisoner, remarks, that had Mr. Morison taken the very obvious precaution of comparing the Cash-keeper's remittance note with the daily balance in the Cash book, the fraud could not have been effected. In reply, I beg to explain that it was not the practice in my Office to compare the remittance note with the Cash book. The rule was to check the entry each morning with the actual receipt, and it never occurred to me that any other check was necessary.

3. I much regret that the fraud should have taken place during my short tenure of the Collector's Office, but the Government will, I hope, be pleased under the circumstances now explained to exonerate me from any blame on the occasion.

No. 22. ORDER THEREON, 2nd October 1865, No. 2,377.

Miscellaneous.

The explanation is not deemed satisfactory, as it was the duty of Mr. Morison, as Collector, to ascertain that the balance of cash was daily remitted to the Treasury, and this he did not do.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,

Acting Secretary to Government.

To the President of the Income Tax Commission.
„ the Municipal Commissioners.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

1835

Thom

Mr. Morrison's Day

Secretary to the

Executive Day

to Mr. A. B. H. Day

President's Bureau Day

Communion

at the

Oct 22

Oct 22

Oct 22

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

Oct 22 1835

No. 3446 Aug 14/63

Recd 1845 Dec 26
from John D. Smith

Thurs

W. A. Brett Esq
President, Minnesota
Commission, Chicago

Wm. H. A. Brett Esq
President of the Minnesota
Board of Education
No. 3134

W. A. Brett Esq
Secretary, Secretary of the
Minnesota Board of
Education, Chicago

Recd 227
Dec 22 } de lauder
No 317 } 20th 1845
No 317 } 20th 1845

No 21 1822

27 1845-1848

exp. Minnesota south
No. 2044, dated 21st Aug 1845
presenting a copy of the
No. 2044. General's report
on the battle of the
Spartan Pass.
With order