

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2368	Press ~~Mis.~~
3 - 4	
Dated 2	10 1865.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,

2nd October 1865.

No. 3. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 25th September 1865, No. 5,981.

Read the following letter from J. R. BOYSON, Esq., Government Solicitor, Madras, to R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 16th September 1865, No. 525:—

SIR,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the Proceedings of your Board noted in the margin, (with enclosures,) relative to the claim of Government against Teroovengada Iyer.

Dated 6th September 1865, No. 5,496.

2. I submitted the papers, together with the former correspondence on the subject, for the consideration of the Advocate General, with whom I have also conferred; and,

3. I now forward a copy of his further Opinion.

COPY—OPINION.

1. It appears that the original Moochilka is not forthcoming. The documents signed by Teroovengada now sent, however, clearly recognizes the original lease, and admits the liability to forfeiture of his deposit in event of his default.

2. This document should be mentioned in the plaint, be filed with it, and proved at the hearing.

3. I did not overlook Mr. Dykes' remark when the papers were last submitted to me. But it appeared to me that even if the present claim could be dealt with under Madras Act II. of 1864, the case was not one which ought to be so dealt with. It may be, as the Board suggests, that if the first step taken were to arrest and imprison Teroovengada, he would at once knock under. But looking to the irregularities and delays which have occurred on the part of the Government officials in the present case, I do not think that the short and compendious method above stated ought to be put in force, even if it would be legal.

(Signed) J. B. NORTON,
Advocate General.

15th September 1865.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. R. BOYSON,
Government Solicitor.

1. Communicated to the Collector of Madras, for information and guidance.

2. Before preparing the plaint to be filed against Teroovengada Iyer, the Collector should make one more effort to induce him to endorse the Promissory Note. Should he still decline to do so, a draft plaint should be submitted for the approval of the Board.

No. 4. ORDER THEREON, 2nd October 1865, No. 2,368.

Miscellaneous.

Ordered to be recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. GROSE,
Acting Under Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

No. 5,981.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 25th September 1865.

Read the following letter from J. R. BOYSON, Esq., Government Solicitor, Madras, to R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 16th September 1865, No. 525:—

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the Proceedings of your Board noted in the margin, (with enclosures,) relative to the claim of Government against Teroovengada Iyer.
Dated 6th September 1865, No. 5,496.

2. I submitted the papers, together with the former correspondence on the subject, for the consideration of the Advocate General, with whom I have also conferred; and

3. I now forward a copy of his further Opinion.

COPY—OPINION.

1. It appears that the original Moochilka is not forthcoming. The documents signed by Teroovengada now sent, however, clearly recognizes the original lease, and admits the liability to forfeiture of his deposit in event of his default.

2. This document should be mentioned in the plaint, be filed with it, and proved at the hearing.

3. I did not overlook Mr. Dykes's remark when the papers were last submitted to me. But it appeared to me that even if the present claim could be dealt with under Madras Act II. of 1864, the case was not one which ought to be so dealt with. It may be, as the Board suggests, that if the first step taken were to arrest and imprison Teroovengada, he would at once knock under. But looking to the irregularities and delays which have occurred on the part of the Government officials in the present case, I do not think that the short and compendious method above stated ought to be put in force, even if it would be legal.

(Signed) J. B. NORTON,
Advocate General.

15th September 1865.

(True Copy.)

(Signed) J. R. BOYSON,
Government Solicitor.

1. Communicated to the Collector of Madras, for information and guidance.

2. Before preparing the plaint to be filed against Teroovengada Iyer, the Collector should make one more effort to induce him to endorse the Promissory note. Should he still decline to do so, a draft plaint should be submitted for the approval of the Board.

(A true Copy and Extract.)



Acting Secretary.

To E. G. R. Fane, Esq., Collector of Madras.
„ J. W. B. Dykes, Esq., Collector of Nellore.
„ J. R. Boyson, Esq., Government Solicitor.
„ W. Huddleston, Esq., Acting Secretary to Government, R. D., for information.

Exd. J. Wright.

14. 1865/65-1865/65
27th June 1865
13th 11. 2001/65

14. 1865/65-1865/65

Recd. 1865. Department.
13th of June 1865.

No. 2760.
PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 27th September 1865.
27th 27. 27. 27.
27th 27. 27. 27.

No. 5,981.

From the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue 15th May 1865
C. 1013885-

Communicating to Collector of Madras opinion
of Advocate General relative to claim of Go-
vernment against Shell contractor Perovangra
Iyer; and requesting Collector to make one more
effort to induce him to endorse the Promissory
note deposited by him.

RECORDED.

22. 1865/65-