

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	2321	Press ~~Mis.~~
	534.535	
Dated	26-9-	1865.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
26th September 1865.*

No. 534. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 4th September 1865, No. 5,447.

Read the following letters :—

From J. FRASER, Esq., Collector of the Godavery District, to R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Cocanada, 31st July 1865, No. 161.

Sir,

1. In reply to the concluding paragraph of the Government Order, dated 3rd instant, No. 1,853, Public Works Department, communicated with the Board's Proceedings of the 15th idem, I have the honor to report as follows :—

2. On the receipt of the Government Proceedings of the 23rd February 1864, No. 325, sanctioning the sum of Rupees 1,19,916-8-6 (or, in round numbers, Rupees 1,20,000) for payment to the Inámdars up to Fusly 1272 for lands taken up by channels and embankments in and before Fusly 1269, Mr. Newill, the late Collector, having ascertained that for the above purpose only Rupees 20,000 were available in the Revenue Budget, and that nothing was provided in the Revenue or Public Works Budget for the year 1863-64 for the remaining sum, requested the Board in his letter, dated 21st March 1864, No. 74, that special arrangements should be made for payment of the above sum of one lac, as well as for an estimated amount of Rupees 1,20,000 for compensation, which might be sanctioned to be paid in 1864-65 for the following purposes :—

Items.	Estimated amount as pro- posed by Mr. Newill.	Accurate amount as proposed by the Sub-Collector in charge.		
	RS.	RS.	A.	P.
Annual payment for Fusly 1273 for lands for which compensation was sanctioned up to Fusly 1272, in the Government Order, dated 23rd February 1864, No. 325	16,000	15,457	6	10
Annual compensation for profits of lands taken up previously to Fusly 1269 not included in the account sanctioned in the above Order	15,000	15,422	2	10
Annual compensation for profits of land taken up in and from Fusly 1270	15,000	4,207	7	8
Compensation by purchase of lands	74,000	53,123	15	4*
	1,20,000	1,12,725	15	0

* With reference to Statement No. 4, submitted with letter No. 156, dated 26th July 1865.

3. Mr. Newill's proposal for Rupees 2,20,000, as above stated, was submitted by the Board to Government, whose Proceedings, dated 9th April 1864, No. 602, Revenue Department, stating that orders would be passed in the Public Works Department, for placing at the Collector's disposal such funds as might be available, reached him on the 16th April 1864. On the same day he reported to the Board, that in order to prevent disappointment, the sum when placed at his disposal would be debited and carried to account under the head of Deposit, and adjusted as soon after the close of the year as possible. The Board approved of this arrangement in

their Proceedings, dated 28th April, recorded in the Order of Government, dated 7th May 1864, No. 790.

4. After Mr. Newill's departure from the Godavery District, Mr. Chase, the Sub-Collector in charge, received from the Superintending Engineer one lac of Rupees on the 25th April 1864, and credited it under the head of Deposit on the 27th. Of the total sum of Rupees 1,20,000 Rupees 1,11,536-11-8 were paid to the Inámdars, &c., up to 30th August 1864, when, on the receipt of the Government Order, dated 20th idem, No. 2,396, directing that any part of the money which had not actually been paid away should lapse, I stopped payment to Inámdars, and the remaining Rupees 8,364-1-1 unexpended were kept in deposit and at the disposal of the Superintending Engineer.

5. As mentioned in the concluding part of paragraph 2 of the Government Order under reply, if it were necessary to treat the whole amount of Rupees 1,20,000 as having lapsed, application should have been made to the Government of India for Rupees 2,40,000 (so as to include the above sum) instead of Rupees 2,20,000 only.

6. Referring to the Order of Government, dated 20th August 1864, No. 2,396, the Superintending Engineer requested me to let him know what amount was actually spent in 1863-64 from the sum of Rupees 1,00,000. I informed him that out of the above sum, Rupees 91,635-14-11 were spent leaving a balance of Rupees 8,364-1-1.

7. I think that the sum of Rupees 20,000 having been provided in the Revenue Budget and almost the whole of it having been paid before the end of April 1864, it was not considered as having lapsed, and that only the one lac paid from the Funds of the Department Public Works was considered as having lapsed.

8. It is to be observed that in the item of Rupees 2,20,000 applied for, the above Rupees 1,00,000 and 1,20,000 proposed by Mr. Newill as particularized in paragraph 2 of this letter are included.

9. In the Board's telegram, dated 27th April, it was directed that Rupees 35,087-1-4 should be debited to Public Works Remittances, under the column "Unadjusted," and credited to Deposit on account of compensation due to the Inámdars, with reference to Statements 1, 2, and 3, submitted by the Sub-Collector in charge with his letter to the Board, dated 21st March 1865, No. 44, and forwarded by the Board to Government with their Proceedings, No. 3,085 of 8th June 1865. This was accordingly done on the 29th April. The items as per Statements 1, 2, and 3, correspond with the items 1, 2, and 3, proposed by Mr. Newill, and specified in paragraph 2 of this letter. The amount of Rupees 35,087-1-4 entered in the above Statements is accurate; while the sum of Rupees 46,000 was an estimate only. The Board have in their Proceedings, dated 13th June 1865, No. 3,196 observed, that the sum of Rupees 8,379-12-10 remaining unexpended should have been disbursed out of the two lacs and twenty-thousand. Rupees 43,466-14-2 have therefore been taken from the sum of Rupees 2,20,000, and kept in deposit for payment to the Inámdars; Rupees 27,643-1-4 have been already paid, and the remaining Rupees 15,823-12-11 are now being disbursed.

10. Rupees 53,123-15-4 were entered in the Statement No. 4, forwarded to the Board with my letter of the 26th July 1865, No. 156, as being required for purchasing the right of Ináms (for which no exchange of Jigayati, or waste lands, has yet been made) in full of all claims. It is not the amount exactly required, as several Inámdars have since then applied for Jirayati and waste lands in exchange: the rate of purchase also of the Inám lands is under enquiry. This sum is in lieu of Rupees 74,000 on account of the item No. 4, proposed by Mr. Newill in his letter, No. 74, dated 21st March 1864. If sanction is granted for Rupees 53,123-15-4 to be received from the sum of Rupees 2,20,000, any balance remaining unexpended will be transferred to the accounts of Public Works Department.

11. A further sum of Rupees 20,000 may probably be required for payment to Inámdars, &c., on account of compensation for lands taken up in Fusly 1274, as well as for lands taken up in the previous years and not included in the Statements already forwarded, as mentioned in paragraph 5 of the letter of the Sub-Collector in charge, dated 21st March 1865, No. 44.

12. Immediately on my receiving the Government Order, dated 10th February 1865, No. 392, Public Works Department, on the 27th idem, declaring that the sum of Rupees 2,20,000 granted would lapse like all other assignments if not

* 28th February 1865.

availed of by the 30th April of that year, I telegraphed* to the Board referring to the above Order for permission to pay to

Inámdars according to the accounts then under preparation in my Office, before they were submitted to and approved of by the Board.

† Dated 2nd March 1865.

13. The Board telegraphed† in reply directing that the sums must be paid before 30th April, and that they

awaited my letter on the subject. This reply did not give either a positive, negative or affirmation reply to my question; but I considered it to mean that the accounts must first be approved of by the Board. On the 4th March, I gave over charge to Mr. Chase, and Proceeded on leave. The accounts were prepared and forwarded on the 21st March with Mr. Chase's report. Mr. Chase telegraphed on the 20th April 1865 to the Board, that Superintending Engineer wants to know when the amount will be required, as it must be paid before 30th April.

14. The Board's telegram, that the amount of Rupees 35,100 should be debited to the Public Works Remittances under the Column "Unadjusted" was received on the 28th idem, and the

27th April 1865.

instructions therein mentioned were carried out on the 29th. No actual payments could therefore be possibly made before the 30th April 1865.

15. It was not thought necessary to re-imburse to the Public Works Department the sum of Rupees 1,00,000, because the Board had already approved of the arrangement suggested by Mr. Newill, viz., credit the money to Deposits and pay it as quickly as possible. The whole was therefore considered as an actual payment within the year.

From the same Officer, dated Cocanada, 26th July 1865, No. 156.

1. With reference to the Board's Proceedings, No. 3,085, dated 8th ultimo, and their Proceedings, dated 23rd June communicating the Order of Government, dated 20th idem, No. 1,396, I have the honor to report as follows.

Reply to paragraph 14 of the Board's Proceedings, No. 3,085.

2. The annual assessment on the Zemindary or Proprietary Zerayati lands with reference to Statement No. 1 is Rupees 7,105-15-11. The whole has been remitted from Peishcush for and from Fusly 1274 except Rupees 112-5-0, for A. 21 C. 17, of land taken for channels, &c., which proved useless and which was given back to the original holders for cultivation at their own request. This sum of Rupees 112-5-0 is included in the amount of Rupees 418-14-6, which was deducted from the compensation of Rupees 15,876-5-4 in Statement No. 1. Of the remaining Rupees 6,993-10-11, Rupees 72-9-9 are in the Proprietary village of Kotipalli in this District, belonging to the Zemindar of Vizianagaram, the Peishcush of which being paid in the Vizagapatam District, I have written to the Collector of that District requesting him to deduct the sum from the Zemindary Peishcush there.

Reply to paragraph 15 of the Board's Proceedings, No. 3,085.

3. I beg to observe that Rs. 319-9-7 are the annual assessment on the Proprietary Zerayati lands in the Collector and Sub-Collector's Divisions with reference to Statements Nos. 2 and 3. This amount was entered in paragraph 4 of the letter without including in it, by oversight, Rupees 533-3-8 of a similar description in the Deputy Collector's range: the accounts of which were received very late about the day of despatch of the Report. That amount was properly entered in Statements 2 and 3 for compensation. Rupee 1-9-6 is not assessment on the Proprietary Zerayati, but on Inám in the proprietary village of Cocanada, it was inadvertently entered in Statement No. 2 as Zerayati in lieu of Inám, and compensation must be paid up to Fusly 1273. This sum of Rupee 1-9-6 must therefore be deducted from the assessment to be remitted in Fusly 1274 from Peishcush. Further, of the extent of A. 13 C. 7 of proprietary land, assessed at Rupees 124-11-2 specified in Statement No. 2, Acres 7 Guntas 78, of land, assessed at Rupees 60-14-6 have been re-occupied by the proprietor for Fusly

	RS.	A.	P.
1. Pittapur Estate...	104	9	7
2. Kotipalli ...	26	8	11
3. Palivela ...	64	13	11
4. Gopalapuram ...	1	13	11
5. Surasani Yanam...	13	9	11
6. Yalamanchili ...	47	2	10
7. Ambarupeta ...	108	11	11
8. Tangellamudi ...	250	6	6
9. Vasantanadu ...	174	1	3
	791	14	9

Reply to paragraphs 16, 17, and 18.

4. I beg to observe that when the Statement No. 4 was prepared, the accounts shewing the land given in exchange to the Inámdars in Zerayati and waste in the Sub-division had not been received, and it was believed that for most part of the

land taken up, other land had been given in exchange, although the exact extent so re-placed was not known. So the land taken up with reference to Statements 2 and 3, and the land given in exchange in the Sub-division were not included in the Statement No. 4. This account was prepared pending the final settlement with Inámdars, in some cases with regard to lands selected by them in Zerayati and waste. The land given in exchange, as entered in Statement No. 4 in the principal division, was the extent already given to and selected by the Inámdars; but subsequent to the submission of the above account to the Board some lands selected could not be given; and some lands which have been newly selected by the Inámdars have been given to them. Sanction was requested for Rupees 77,639 for purchase in lump payment as specified in Statement No. 4, with the intention of crediting to Government any balance remaining unexpended. It was found necessary to submit the account for sanction quickly without waiting for correct detailed accounts from the Talooks regarding the final settlement of lands to be given in exchange, with the desire of obtaining authority for disbursing the amount of compensation and purchase money within the 30th April 1865. Having now received the particulars from the Sub-division and Principal Division Talook, a correct Statement No. 4, in substitution of the one already forwarded, is herewith submitted. This shows the whole extent of Inám land taken up in the whole District in Government and Proprietary villages with reference to Statements Nos. 1, 2, and 3 and the land given up to the end of June last in exchange in Zerayati and waste to the Inámdars for cultivation for Fuslies 1274 and 1275; at present a grant of 53,123-15-4 for payment to the Inámdars for the land taken from them at twenty years' purchase of the assessment on them would close this account entirely. A good deal of this sum would not be spent; because many Inámdars have again given applications for waste land in preference to receiving money payment.

5. I am now making inquiries in order to find out what the usual selling rate of Inám land in this District is, and when I have ascertained it shall report further on this last point.

6

STATEMENT showing the total extent of land due to Inámdars, and its assessment, as entered in Statements Nos. 1, 2, and 3; the land given in exchange; and the land still due; its assessment up to Fusly 1273 in the Godavery District. (No. 4.)

[illegible][illegible]

Statement showing the total extent of land due to Inamdars, and its assessment, &c.—(Concluded.)

Items.	Talooks.	With refer- ence to what State- ment.	LAND OCCUPIED.		OF WHICH LAND GIVEN IN EXCHANGE.						BALANCE TO BE GIVEN.	
			Extent.	Assessment.	In Zirayati.		In waste.		Total.		Land.	Assessment.
					Land.	Assessment.	Land.	Assessment.	Land.	Assessment.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
	<i>Deduct.</i> Certain useless Channels given back for cultiva- tion ...	A. C.	65 54	242 2 9	A. C.	ES. A. P.	A. C.	ES. A. P.	A. C.	ES. A. P.	A. C.	ES. A. P.
	Remaining ...		2,319 77	8,142 0 4	1,223 96	4,366 7 3	628 82	1,910 14 9	1,852 78	6,277 6	0 466 99	1,864 10 4
	Dowlaiswaram ...	C.	105 77	386 2 4								
	<i>Deduct.</i> Certain lands taken at first and given back ...		16 50	64 6 9								
	Remaining ...		89 27	321 11 7								
	Total ...		2,409 4	8,463 11 11	1,223 96	4,366 7 3	628 82	1,910 14 9	1,852 78	6,277 6	0 556 26	2,186 5 11
As per State- ment No. II.	1. Ramachendrapur ...	No. 2.	39 57	127 11 2	6 20	21 3 0	18 52	65 6 10	24 72	86 9 10	14 85	41 1 4
	2. Amalapuram ...		12 3	41 6 11	4 64	13 14 3	2 20	9 11 2	6 84	23 9 5	5 19	17 13 6
	3. Cocanada Division ...		1 17	1 9 6			1 17	1 9 6	1 17	1 9 6		
	Total Collector's Divi- sion ...		52 77	170 11 7	10 84	35 1 3	21 89	76 11 6	32 73	111 12 9	20 4	58 14 10
	4. Nursapur ...		141 95	319 13 8	59 31	133 12 3	82 64	186 1 5	141 95	319 13 8		
	5. Undi ...		63 3	165 4 0	2 92	7 10 11	38 27	100 11 5	41 19	108 6 4	21 84	56 13 8
	6. Tanuku ...		278 55	737 3 4	72 60	191 9 4	171 76	454 7 4	244 36	646 0 8	34 19	91 2 8
	Total Sub-Division...		483 53	1,222 5 0	134 83	333 0 6	292 67	741 4 2	427 50	1,074 4 8	56 3	148 0 4
	Grand Total ...		536 30	1,393 0 7	145 67	368 1 0	314 56	817 15 8	460 23	1,186 1 5	76 7	206 15 2

As per State- ment No. III.	1. Ramachendrapur ...	No. 3.	137 68	407 15 6	46 89	142 14 0	66 81	190 5 8	113 70	333 3 8	23 98	74 11 10
	2. Amalapuram ...		63 26	213 5 8	27 9	101 12 6	16 63	42 2 7	43 72	143 15 1	19 54	69 6 7
	3. Cocanada Division ...		16 88	51 1 1			1 51	2 5 1	1 51	2 5 1	15 37	48 12 0
	Total Collector's Divi- sion ...		217 82	672 6 3	73 98	244 10 6	84 95	234 13 4	158 93	479 7 10	58 89	192 14 5
	4. Nursapur ...		56 10	132 0 2	25 94	61 1 4	29 81	70 2 7	55 75	131 3 11	0 35	0 12 3
	5. Undi ...		34 53	102 10 4			20 10	59 12 7	20 10	59 12 7	14 43	42 13 9
	6. Tanuku ...		69 45	204 8 7	26 5	76 10 4	34 51	101 8 7	60 56	178 2 11	8 89	26 5 8
	Total Sub-Division...		160 8	439 3 1	51 99	137 11 8	84 42	231 7 9	136 41	369 3 5	23 67	69 15 8
	7. Ellore of the 1st Class Deputy Collector's Division ...		51 83	179 1 1	11 46	25 9 10	40 37	153 7 3	51 83	179 1 1		
	Grand Total ...		429 73	1,290 10 5	137 43	408 0 0	209 74	619 12 4	347 17	1,027 12 4	82 56	262 14 1
	Total of three State- ments ...		3,375	711,147 6 11	507 6	5,142 9 0	1,153 12	3,348 10 9	2,669 18	8,491 3 9	714 89	2,656 3 2

Twenty years' purchase money... 53,153 15 4
(Signed) J. FRASER, Collector.

1. Resolved that the foregoing letters be submitted to Government, with reference to their Orders, dated 20th June 1865, No. 1,376, Revenue Department, and 3rd and 11th July 1865, Nos. 1,853 and 1,953, Department Public Works.

2. From paragraphs 2 and 3 of the first letter it will be observed that the amount to be permanently remitted from the Peishcush of proprietors, on account of Jerayati landstaken up in their villages is estimated at Rupees 7,785-9-8. Of this, the sum of Rupees 6,993-10-11 has already been repmitted as stated in para-

graph 2 of the Sub-Collector's letter, dated 21st March last, No. 44, and the sanction of Government is now required for a similar remission in regard to the remaining sum of Rupees 791-14-9, being the annual assessment on the proprietary lands entered in Statements 2 and 3, forwarded to Government with the Board's Proceedings dated 8th June last, No. 3,085. As the Peishcush for Fusly 1274 has been already collected, this sum must be refunded to the proprietors concerned; but cannot be remitted from the Peishcush of Fusly 1275, as recommended by the Collector, as the adoption of such a course would exhibit in the accounts of that Fusly a less amount than that which is to be collected hereafter. The sanction of Government for the actual refund of Rupees 791-14-9 is therefore necessary. The actual collection of Peishcush for Fusly 1275 may, however, be made *minus* the above sum, taking receipts for it from the proprietors as if payments had been made to them: the transaction being adjusted in the accounts by crediting the full amount of Peishcush and debiting the sum of Rupees 791-14-9 as a refund sanctioned by Government. A permanent reduction of that sum from future payments can of course be made from Fusly 1275.

3. Referring to the observations passed by the Board in paragraphs 16, 17, and 18 of their Proceedings, dated 8th June last, the Collector has furnished a revised Statement No. 4, shewing the total extent of land due to Inámdars, as entered in Statements 1, 2, and 3; that given in exchange up to the end of June last; and the remainder for which compensation has still to be made. It appears from this, that of the total occupied extent, viz., acres 3,375 assessed at Rupees 11,147, Jerayati and waste land, in area acres 2,660 and assessed at Rupees 8,491, has been given in exchange, and that there are 715 acres with an assessment of Rupees 2,656 still remaining. Mr. Fraser points out that the payment down of a sum of Rupees 53,124, being twenty years' purchase of the assessment, would close the account once for all. He has not, however, yet been able to furnish the information called for in paragraph 19 of the Board's Proceedings, dated 8th June last, as to the rate at which land ordinarily sells in the Godavery District; so that the Board are not in a position to say whether the value assigned to these lands is a fair one. It appears, moreover, that applications are still being received from the Inámdars for grants of waste land in lieu of money payment. For the present, the sanction of Government is requested to the proceedings of the Collector in regard to the land already given in exchange.

4. The second letter recorded above contains the information called for in the Public Works Department Order of 3rd July, No. 1,853. For convenience, the Board have thought it best to submit these papers at one and the same time through the Revenue Department.

5. It will be seen that the sum of Rupees 2,20,000, applied for and sanctioned by the Government of India on account of the official year 1864-65, consisted of Rupees 1,00,000, sanctioned by this Government for disbursement in 1863-64, (but no portion of which was issued within the year,) and Rupees 1,20,000, the amount of compensation estimated as due in 1864-65 for all lands theretofore taken up, including a lump sum as compensation once for all in cases where no waste land was available for grant in lieu, or no Peishcush existed from which a deduction could be made.

6. Of the first mentioned sum of one lac, it appears from paragraph 6 of the Collector's letter, that Rupees 91,635-14-11 were disbursed, not in 1863-64, as would appear from the same paragraph, but subsequent to 30th April 1864, i. e., in 1864-65, as apparent from paragraph 4. The balance of Rupees 8,364-1-1 was not issued within the year, because on receipt of Government Order, 20th August 1864, No. 2,396, the Collector stopped payment of the balance of the then sanctioned amount (Rupees 1,19,916-8-6).

7. No portion of the second sum of Rupees 1,20,000 was actually disbursed within the year 1864-65. The amounts for which recommendations were forwarded are those referred to in Board's Proceedings, 8th June 1865, No. 3,085, viz. :—

	RS.	A.	P.
Statement No. 1	15,457	6	10
Do. " 2	15,422	2	10
Do. " 3	4,207	7	8
Do. " 4	77,638	13	8
	1,12,725	15	0

8. Out of the three first of these sums, amounting to Rupees 35,087-1-4, a sum of Rupees 27,643-1-4 appears from paragraph 9 of the Collector's letter to have been already paid; while the remainder, as well as another sum of Rupees 8,379-12-10 (remaining undisbursed out of Rupees 1,19,916-8-6 already sanctioned) are now in course of disbursement.

9. It is necessary here for the sake of clearness to state, that out of Rupees 1,20,000, provided for 1863-64, viz., 20,000 in the Revenue Budget and 1,00,000 in that of the Public Works Department, a sum of Rupees 19,900-12-9 was expended within 1863-64, and the remainder lapsed to Government. The sum sanctioned by the Government of India for 1864-65 was 2,20,000 Rupees. In this year, a further sum of Rupees 91,635-14-11 was actually spent, (in addition to that of Rupees 19,900-12-9 in 1863-64,) the whole expenditure thus being Rupees 1,11,536-11-8 out of the amount of Rupees 1,19,916-8-6 sanctioned in Government Order, 23rd February 1864, No. 325, Revenue Department. The remainder, Rupees 8,379-12-10, and a further sum of Rupees 35,087-1-4, for the payment of which sanction had been requested, the Collector was instructed to debit to Public Works Remittance

and credit to Deposit, as already explained, in view to its being taken as a payment within the year 1864-65. This course the Board considered desirable in order to avoid the necessity of making an application for the provision in the Budget of the following year of a sum which had been actually settled as due to the Inámdars for 1864-65, and which might, therefore, be considered as paid though not formally disbursed to the several claimants.

10. The Collector, however, charged in the accounts of 1864-65, on 29th April 1865, a sum of Rs. 35,100, in round numbers only; the other sum of Rs. 8,379-12-10, above referred to, appears to have been received by the Collector on 11th May 1865 from the Engineer Department. Thus a sum of Rupees 43,479-12-10 was at the disposal of the Collector, of which a sum of Rupees 27,643-1-4 has been disbursed as already stated. This arrangement need not, the Board think, be disturbed: the transaction having already been exhibited in the accounts. In future, however, the course pointed out by the Government of India, viz., to charge the amount actually disbursed to the parties, will be duly observed, and all that is necessary now is for the Government to give their formal sanction for the sum of Rupees 35,087-1-4.

11. As observed by the Collector in paragraph 10 of his second letter, the sum of Rupees 53,124 has now been substituted for that of Rupees 77,639 entered in the Statement No. 4 as first submitted. The Collector states that this is not the amount exactly required, as several Inámdars have since then applied for Jerayati and waste lands in exchange. This may probably be still reduced. A further sum of Rupees 20,000 may also, it is stated, be required on account of compensation for lands taken up in Fusly 1274, as well as for lands taken up in previous years and not included in the Statements already forwarded. It will thus be seen that the extra sum required for disbursement in the current year is about Rupees 74,000, for which provision should be made in the Public Works Budget. If no such provision exists, and if the same cannot be met from savings, the special sanction of the Government of India might be obtained for the grant.

No. 535.

ORDER THEREON, 26th September 1865, No. 2,321.

Land Revenue.

1. The Government sanction a reduction of Peishcush in the Godavery District on account of Zemindary land occupied for public purposes, to the amount of Rupees 791-14-9, as noted below, the reduction operating in and after Fusly 1275 :—

	RS.	A.	P.
Pittapur	104	9	7
Kotipatti	26	8	11
Palivela	64	13	11
Gopalapuram	1	13	11
Surasani Yanam	13	9	11
Yalamanchili	47	2	10
Ambarupeta	108	11	11
Tangellamudi	250	6	6
Vasantanadu	174	1	3
Total	791	14	9

2. The Collector will submit for sanction a Tabular Statement, shewing for each of these Estates the existing Peishcush (quoting the order fixing it at its present amount if altered since the permanent Settlement), the reduction now sanctioned, and the future Peishcush to be collected. The rest of the Board's Proceedings will be referred to the Department Public Works for disposal.

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Acting Secretary to Government.

6. Of the first mentioned sum of one lac, it appears from paragraph 6 of the Collector's letter, that Rupees 91,635-14-11 were disbursed, not in 1863-64, as would appear from the same paragraph, but subsequent to 30th April 1864, i. e., in 1864-65, as apparent from paragraph 4. The balance of Rupees 8,364-1-1 was not issued within the year, because on receipt of Government Order, 20th August 1864, No. 2,336, the Collector stopped payment of the balance of the then sanctioned amount (Rupees 1,19,916-8-6.)

7. No portion of the second sum of Rupees 1,20,000 was actually disbursed within the year 1864-65. The amounts for which recommendations were forwarded are those referred to in Board's Proceedings, 8th June 1865, No. 3,035, viz:—

		Rs.	A.	P.
Statement No. 1...	...	15,457	6	10
Do. " 2...	...	15,422	2	10
Do. " 3...	...	4,207	7	8
Do. " 4...	...	77,638	13	8
		1,12,725	15	0

8. Out of the three first of these sums, amounting to Rupees 35,037-1-4, a sum of Rupees 27,643-1-4 appears from paragraph 9 of the Collector's letter to have been already paid; while the remainder, as well as another sum of Rupees 8,379-12-10 (remaining undistributed out of Rupees 1,19,916-8-6 already sanctioned) are now in course of disbursement.

9. It is necessary here for the sake of clearness to state, that out of Rupees 1,20,000, provided for 1863-64, viz., 20,000 in the Revenue Budget and 1,00,000 in that of the Public Works Department, a sum of Rupees 19,900-12-9 was expended within 1863-64, and the remainder lapsed to Government. The sum sanctioned by the Government of India for 1864-65 was 2,20,000 Rupees. In this year, a further sum of Rupees 91,635-14-11 was actually spent, (in addition to that of Rupees 19,900-12-9 in 1863-64,) the whole expenditure thus being Rupees 1,11,535-11-8 out of the amount of Rupees 1,19,916-8-6 sanctioned in Government Order, 23rd February 1864 No. 323, Revenue Department. The remainder, Rupees 8,379-12-10, and a further sum of Rupees 35,037-1-4, for the payment of which sanction had been requested, the Collector was instructed to debit to Public Works Remittance and credit to Deposit, as already explained, in view to its being taken as a payment within the year 1864-65. This course the Board considered desirable in order to avoid the necessity of making an application for the provision in the Budget of the following year of ~~which had been actually settled as due to the Inamdars for 1864-65~~ and which might, therefore, be considered as paid though not formally disbursed to the several claimants.

10. The Collector, however, charged in the accounts of 1864-65, on 29th April 1865, a sum of Rupees 35,100 in round numbers only; the other sum of Rupees 8,379-12-10, above referred to, appears to have been received by the Collector on 11th May 1865 from the Engineer Department. Thus a sum of Rupees 43,479-12-10 was at the disposal of the Collector, of which a sum of Rupees 27,643-1-4 has been disbursed as already stated. This arrangement need not, the Board think, be disturbed: the transaction having already been exhibited in the accounts. In future, however, the course pointed out by the Government of India, viz., to charge the amount actually disbursed to the parties, will be duly observed, and all that is necessary now is for the Government to give their formal sanction for the sum of Rupees 35,037-1-4.

11. As observed by the Collector in paragraph 10 of his second letter, the sum of Rupees 53,124 has now been substituted for that of Rupees 77,639 entered in the Statement No. 4 as first submitted. The Collector states that this is not the amount exactly required, as several Inamdars have since then applied for Zerayati and waste lands in exchange. This may probably be still reduced. A further sum of Rupees 20,000 may also, it is stated, be required on account of compensation for lands taken up in Fash 1274, as well as for lands taken up in previous years and not included in the Statements already forwarded. It will thus be seen that the extra sum required for disbursement in the current year is about Rupees 74,000, for which provision should be made in the Public Works Budget. If no such provision exists, and if the same cannot be met from Savings, the special sanction of the Government of India might be obtained for the grant.

(True Copies and Extract.)

[Signature]
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To W. Hudleston, Esq., Acting Secretary to Government, R. D.
Copy to J. Fraser, Esq., Collector of the Godavery District.

Ed. R. H. Lee.

No. 23221/64. 1824-525-
 9 9 11/11 173-174
 4 11/11 80-81
 26 11/11 399-400
 15 11/11 30-301
 20 11/11 422-423
 15 11/11 127-128
 15 11/11 265-268

Recd 11/16/5. Department.
 Recd of Gov for 1844.

No. 2509
 PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 4th September 1865.

Recd 11/16/5. 1824-525-
 Recd 11/16/5. 1824-525-

Recd of Gov 1844. 1824-525-
 Recd 11/16/5. 1824-525-

No. 5,447.

Submitting letters from the Collectors of the Godavery District, and requesting sanction for the fresh lands granted by that office in lieu of lands taken up for public purposes, as well as for the compensation due to certain parties and the remissions to be made from the Peshcush of certain Proprietors on that account.

18334 5535

Recd 11/16/5. 1824-525-
 Recd 11/16/5. 1824-525-

Recd of Gov 1844. 1824-525-
 Recd 11/16/5. 1824-525-

No. 2321-
 Recd of Gov 1844. 1824-525-
 Recd 11/16/5. 1824-525-

18. 104-9.7
 20. 8.11
 64. 13.11
 1. 13.11
 13. 9.11
 47. 2. 10
 108. 11. 11
 257. 6. 8
 174. 14. 3
 Total 791. 14. 9

Recd 11/16/5. 1824-525-
 Recd 11/16/5. 1824-525-