M.R.O. 14—13,000—27-6-24

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2058 Press Mis.

1-2

Dated 1-9- 1865.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 1. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated August 1865, No. 4,793.

Read the following Board's Proceedings, No. 1,124, dated 23rd February No. 3,088, dated 8th June 1865.

Read also the following letter from G. L. MORRIS, Esq., Collector of Tanjo R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue Point Calimere, 12th July 1865, No. 207 :—

SIR,

1. With reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 8th ultimo, No" I have the honor to state that the widow of the deceased Yeomiahdar there- red to, lives with the family of Im

	Annual income. Rs.	A.	P.
1½ Velies of land in the village of Kuttur, Tanjore Talook.	52	8	0
½ Do. do. in do. of Visvammal Samudrum, Musili Talook, Trichinopoly District	35	0	0
½ Do. do. in do. of Balakrist-nam Patti Talook, Trichi-nopoly District.	22	8	0
Total...	110	0	0

who is possessed of some landed pn. as shewn in the margin, estir yield an annual income of Rup of This, though it cannot be conside be more than sufficient for the su ble of such a large family as this, co le of no less than twelve members, constitutes a means from which the son can afford to some extent to maintain his mother, and the widow cannot, therefore, it appears to me, be said to be in absoluto want.

2. The original Dafter Rokha in the Marattah language, produced by the son of the deceased Yeomiahdar, is herewith submitted, together with an English translation, and I beg to request the former may be returned when no longer required, in order to its being re-delivered to him.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Dafter Rokha.

1. Resolved that the foregoing papers be submitted for the consideration and orders of Government.

2. The Board having received a Petition from one Sundara Bhattar, resident in the Tanjore District, soliciting that the Yeomiah of Rupees 111-5-3 per annum, enjoyed by his father Adinarayanah Bhattan, who died on the 8th May 1863, might be continued to him, referred the Petition for report to the Collector.

3. Mr. Cadell, the then Collector, reported that the renewed certificate granted to Petitioner's father, in common with other Yeomiahdars, under the Orders of Government, No. 132, dated 6th February 1850, having expressly described the allowance as one restricted to life tenure, he declined to continue the Yeomiah on lapse to the Petitioner.

4. On a reference, however, to the Government Order quoted in the preceding paragraph, it appeared that the new form of certificate, suggested in paragraph 4 of this Order, was merely intended to remove the facilities afforded by the old form of certificate "for the commission of frauds in the personation of parties whose pensions have really lapsed," and not to alter or restrict in any manner whatever the terms upon which Yeomiahs and other pensions were held. The Collector was

of Rupees 110 - and this family

ingly requested to submit a report, in the usual tabular form, on the Peti-
s case, which he has accordingly done.

The Government will perceive from the Board's Proceedings on the
tor's report, that the present allowance is one of those which the Board, in
roceedings dated 23rd February 1852, recommended should be continued
r the life of the then existing incumbents, "Except in cases in which there is
ervice to the State required of them, or in cases in which there are documents
coming to shew hereditary right; or where there is satisfactory evidence to
that the Inám has been in uninterrupted enjoyment of the family since 1792,
riod specified in Section 2, Regulation XXXI. of 1802;" and that the
nent approved of this recommendation, and directed in Extract from
of Consultation, No. 302, dated 6th April 1852, that such cases should be
for their orders.

In the case now submitted, no service would appear to have ever been
; but the grant is supported by a Dafter Rokha, dated A. D. 1789, which
at the grant is to be continued from year to year. The Petitioner's grand-
as the original grantee, and on his death the Petitioner's father, whose
demise is now reported, continued to enjoy the grant which was previously com-
muted to a money payment from the Treasury; so that the Inám has been in the
uninterrupted enjoyment of the family.

7. Under these circumstances, the Board recommend that the allowance be
registered in the name of Sundara Bhattar, son of the last holder, and with whom
the widow of the latter resides.

No. 2. ORDER THEREON, 1st September 1865, No. 2,058.

Miscellaneous.

1. In their Proceedings above recorded, the Board of Revenue recommend the continuance of a Yeomiah of Rupees 111-5-3 per annum, in the name of Sundra Bhat, the son of the last holder, a Bramin by name Audy Narrain Bhat. This son has landed property yielding a yearly income of Rupees 110, and the family of the deceased consists of twelve members. The Collector Mr. Morris observes that in these circumstances "the widow cannot" "be said to be in absolute want." His predecessor, Mr. Collector Cadell, reported that as in the "renewed certificate," under which up to the date of his demise the late Yeomiahdar had drawn his allowance, the Yeomiah was "expressly restricted to life tenure," he (Mr. Cadell) had "declined to continue the Yeomiah on lapse" to deceased's son.

2. The Board remark that the renewed certificate referred to by Mr. Cadell was issued with the sole object of preventing frauds, and that in a printed list sent up by Mr. Cadell the grant held by deceased is entered as for continuance "year by year." The Acting Sub-Collector in charge of the District, remarks in his Tabular Report, dated 13th April 1865, No. 112, that the words "to be continued from year to year" should be construed to mean in perpetuity. The Government are unable to agree in this view, and they consider that the present is a very proper opportunity for indicating that they will not regard grants of this kind and class as entitled to be perpetuated.

3. On referring to the Proceedings of Government, dated 15th April 1836, paragraph 2, the Government observe that the subject of grants under "Sicca Sunnuds" and "Dufter Rocca" was then brought under consideration, and that a broad distinctive line was drawn between the tenure under either of these two grants, that of the "Dufter Rocca" being thus noticed, "as it is evident from the "manner in which payment was ordered for the last (Dufter Rocca) by the Native "Governments that they were resumable at pleasure." The Government therefore thought "that they may now with propriety be resumed upon the death of the "present incumbents, except in special cases."

4. The Yeomiah now under notice is a grant held by "Dufter Rocca." According to the views of the Government above quoted it should therefore be resumed, unless a special case be made out. The local officers are so far from thinking a special case has been established that they do not object to resumption. The Revenue Board suggest continuance, which amounts to perpetuation.

5. In the matter of ordinary grants the Government reduce the amount of money grants on lapses. In the present case the original assignment was made not on resumption of any privileges previously conceded, but simply as a charitable grant, and it is to be noted that the family seem to be in moderately comfortable circumstances.

6. Under these circumstances the Government must decline to accept the Board's recommendation, but declare the Yeomiah a lapse to the State.

(True Extract.)
(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
" the Accountant General.

Ed. S. T. Augustin.

of Rupees 110 - and the
family

No. 1,124.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 23rd February 1865.

Read the following letter from W. M. CADELL, Esq., Collector of Tanjore, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 30th January 1865, No. 23 :—

1. With reference to the Board's Endorsement on the Petition marginally noted, I have the honor to state that Petitioner's father Adinarayana Bhat held a Yeomiah of Rupees 111-5-3 per annum, which under the terms of the certificate held by him, lapsed on his death, which took place on the 8th May 1863.

No. 4,361 of 1863.

2. It is, I conceive, not the intention of the Board that an investigation into the origin and object of this Yeomiah should now be entered upon. It is sufficient, therefore, to observe that the renewed certificate granted to Petitioner's father in common with other Yeomiahdars under the orders of Government marginally noted, being expressly restricted to life tenure, I declined to continue the Yeomiah on lapse to the Petitioner.

8th February 1850, No. 132, communicated in Extract Proceedings of the Board, 25th February 1850.

3. The name of Petitioner's father will be found in the list of Yeomiahdars in this District, as corrected up to 1st January 1864, and submitted to the Board, entered as Ayakat Yeomiah No. 58.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Petition.

1. The Board observe that the new form of Certificate suggested in paragraph 4 of the Extract from Minutes of Consultation, No. 132, in the Revenue Department, dated 6th February 1850, quoted in the margin of paragraph 2 of the above letter, was merely intended to remove the facilities afforded by the old form of Certificate "for the commission of frauds in the personation of parties whose pensions have really lapsed," and not to alter or restrict in any manner whatever the terms upon which Yeomiahs and other pensions were held. The Collector will, therefore, be so good as to submit in the present case the usual Tabular Statement prescribed for reporting lapses of Inams and Yeomiahs. In the printed List of Yeomiahs referred to by the Collector in paragraph 3 of his present report, it is stated that the grant held by Petitioner's father, directs the continuance of the Yeomiah "year by year."

2. Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 4,361 of 1863 :—

"Petitioner is informed that the Collector has been instructed to make the necessary inquiries "into the Petitioner's claims."

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. A. DALYELL,
Acting Secretary.

To W. M. Cadell, Esq., Collector of Tanjore.
MS. copy of Endorsement to Petitioner.

Exd. J. Wright.

Passing endorsement on Petition No 4,361 of 1863

No. 1,124

Dated 23rd February 1865.

No.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Rev. 2282/65

1865. Department.

No. 3,088.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 8th June 1865.

Read the following Tabular Report from C. G. PLUMER, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector, in charge of Tanjore, to R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated 13th April 1865, No. 112, of a lapsed Yeomiah in the District of Tanjore :—

Case number.	Taluk and village; extent and value of the entire Yeomiah.	When and by whom granted; name of the original grantee. Sunnuds or other documents in support of the grant.	Nature of the grant, charity, or service. If service, nature of the duty prescribed by the grant.	Name of the last holder, date of his demise, and the relationship in which he stood to the grantee.	Share enjoyed by the deceased and that held by other members.	Family left by the deceased, and name and age of the parties; their circumstances and means of subsistence possessed by them.
1	Tanjore Taluk, Trivadi, Ayacut Yeomiah allowance, amounting to Rupees 111-5-3 per annum, as per certificate No. 33.	In the year 1789 by Rajah Amarsing, original grantee, one Sundara Bhattan, grant termed "Dafter Rokha" is forthcoming.	Charity.	Last holder, Adinarayana Bhattar, died on the 8th May 1863 : was son of the original grantee.	The whole allowance.	Age. Widow, Lakshmi Ammah..... 55 Son, Sundara Bhattar 43 Sundara Bhattar's family. Wife, Valambal..... 32 1st son, Viswanada Bhattar..... ... ... 16 Wife of do. Kishnammal..... ..... 14 2nd son, Narayana Bhattar..... ... ... 12 Wife of do. Ammani... 10 3rd son, Ramasami..... 2 1st daughter, Muttuvammal..... ... ... 9 2od daughter, Seshammal..... ... . 6 3rd do. Annapurani ... 1 Brother's widow, Seilattammal... .. .. 22 Total... 12

Recommendation of the Collector, and the grounds on which it is made.

1. The grant under which this Yeomiah was held, is merely to the effect that a grain allowance of 200 Kallams was assigned to the original grantee out of the Melvaram, or the Government share of the produce of the village of Haridvaramangalam, and that the allowance was "to be continued from year to year." After the cession of the District to the British Government, this allowance was, in like manner with all other grain allowances, commuted into a money payment, which the original grantee until his death, and his son, the deceased Yeomiadar afterwards, used to draw from the Public Treasury.

2. The words : "to be continued from year to year," fairly construed, would show that the grant was intended to be a perpetual one. This is the sense in which the words quoted are ordinarily understood. The same phraseology occurs in hereditary grants of land made by the Native Sovereigns. The fact of the Yeomiah having been continued after the death of the original grantee to his son, the last holder, would seem to be also indicative of the same having been recognized as an hereditary grant.

of Rupees 110 - and the
families

3. The Certificate issued to the last holder by the then Collector, Sir H. C. Montgomery, on the 13th February 1815, and which purports to have been issued in lieu of a previous one, of date the 25th March 1831, on account of the same having become illegible, shows nothing as to the terms of the grant. In the last renewed Certificate, issued on the 16th August 1851, under the Orders of Government marginally noted, the Yeomiah is shown as one restricted to life tenure, and consequently the claim for the continuance of the Yeomiah, preferred by the son of the last holder on his death, was rejected by Mr. Cadell, as stated in his letter recorded in Board's Proceedings of the 23rd February last, No. 1,124.

Extract from Minutes of Consultation, 6th February 1850, No. 138.

4. Both the Certificates above-mentioned are herewith submitted.

5. It is at the same time to be observed, that in a Register kept in this office, and in which grants in support of the Yeomiahs wherever they were forthcoming have been copied, a note is entered in the hand-writing of a former Collector, Mr. Forbes, thus: "doubtful, the Dafter Rokha says, 'to be continued year by year' subsistence."

ENCLOSURES Nos. 1 to 2.—Certificates.

1. The Yeomiah of Rupees 111-5-3 per annum, reported in the foregoing Statement to have lapsed on the 8th May 1863, by the death of Adinarayana Bhattur, son of the original grantee, was granted in the year 1789 by one of the Rajahs of Tanjore, and is supported by a Dafter Rokha.

2. The Board, in the extract from their Proceedings submitted to Government, dated 17th March 1836, relative to the Ayacut and Marah Fund in Tanjore, observed that, with the exception of the Maniems held under Sicca Sunnuds, all the personal allowances disbursed from that fund should be treated as resumable at discretion, according to the usage of the Native Governments, and should not be allowed to be continued beyond the lives of the registered incumbents unless the Collector should think proper, in any case, to recommend the continuance of a Yeomiah in consideration of the circumstances of the family, and Government sanctioned this course in Extract from Minutes Consultation, No. 458, dated 15th April 1836.

3. The name of the last holder of the allowance now in question appears as "Auynaraina Bhut" No. 53 in a "Statement No. 3, showing the amount payable to Ayacut and Marah Maniem pensioners in Tanjore," which, together with the other Statements, was submitted to Government with the Board's Proceedings, dated 23rd February 1852, and sanctioned in Extract from Minutes Consultation, No. 302, dated 6th April 1852. In paragraph 7 of their Proceedings just mentioned, the Board recommended a more liberal course (as being more in accordance with the subsequent Orders of the Home Government, indicated in the margin, than that already sanctioned in Extract from Minutes Consultation of 15th April 1836, referred to at the close of paragraph 3 above, with regard to the personal grants of which the present forms one, namely, that they should be continued only for the life of the then existing incumbents, "except in cases in "which there is any service to the State required of them, or in cases in which there are documents forthcoming to show hereditary right, or where there is satisfactory evidence to show "that the Inam has been in uninterrupted enjoyment of the family since 1792, the period specified "in Section 2, Regulation XXXI of 1802." The Government approved of this recommendation, and directed that such cases should be reported for their consideration and Orders; but before the Board submit the present case to Government, they request the Collector to state in what circumstances the widow of the deceased has been left, and whether, in the Collector's opinion, she is deserving of any consideration on the part of Government. The Board would also wish to be furnished with the Dafter Rokha on which the claim to the Yeomiah rests.

Dated 18th October 1847, No. 19, Judicial Department, as quoted in paragraph 9 of the Board's Proceedings, 19th June 1849, No. 813, (vide page 468, Vol. L, Board's Circular Orders.)

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To G. L. Morris, Esq., Collector of Tanjore.

Exd. R. H. Lee.

Enclosure to the Govt. Order
of date to stand
Govt. A.D. Lr. Dec. 1865
1865 No 4793

1865. Department.

Rect. 2232/65

No.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 8th June 1865.

No. 3,088.

Requesting to know the circumstances of the widow of deceased Yeomiahdar Adinarayana Bhattur, and desiring to be furnished with the Dafter Rokha on which the claim for the continuance of the Yeomiah rests.

No. 4,793.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 11th August 1865.

Read the following Board's Proceedings, No. 1,124, dated 23rd February 1865, No. 3,088, dated 8th June 1865.

Read also the following letter from G. L. MORRIS, Esq., Collector of Tanjore, to R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Point Calimere, 12th July 1865, No. 207:—

1. With reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 8th ultimo, No. 3,088, I have the honor to state that the widow of the deceased Yeomiahdar therein referred to, lives with the family of her son, who is possessed of some landed property, as shown in the margin, estimated to yield an annual income of Rupees 110. This, though it cannot be considered to be more than sufficient for the subsistence of such a large family as this, consisting of no less than twelve members, yet constitutes a means from which the son can afford to some extent to maintain his mother, and the widow cannot, therefore, it appears to me, be said to be in absolute want.

	Annual income. Rs. A. P.
1 Veliah Land in the village of Kattur, Tanjore Taluk	53 0 0
1 Do. do. in do. of Visvanmal Samadrum, Musiri Taluk, Trichinopoly District	35 0 0
1 Do. do. to dó. of Balakristnam Patti Taluk, Trichinopoly District	22 0 0
Total	110 0 0

2. The original Dafter Rokha in the Maratah language, produced by the son of the deceased Yeomiahdar, is herewith submitted, together with an English translation, and I beg to request the former may be returned when no longer required, in order to its being re-delivered to him.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Dafter Rokha.

1. Resolved that the foregoing papers be submitted for the consideration and orders of Government.

2. The Board having received a Petition from one Sundara Bhattar, resident in the Tanjore District, soliciting that the Yeomiah of Rupees 111-5-3 per annum, enjoyed by his father Adinarayanah Bhattan, who died on the 8th May 1863, might be continued to him, referred the Petition for report to the Collector.

3. Mr. Cadell, the then Collector, reported that the renewed certificate granted to Petitioner's father, in common with other Yeomiahdars, under the Orders of Government, No. 132, dated 6th February 1850, having expressly described the allowance as one restricted to life tenure, he declined to continue the Yeomiah on lapse to the Petitioner.

4. On a reference, however, to the Government Order quoted in the preceding paragraph, it appeared that the new form of certificate, suggested in paragraph 4 of this Order, was merely intended to remove the facilities afforded by the old form of certificate "for the commission of frauds in the personation of parties whose pensions have really lapsed," and not to alter or restrict in any manner whatever the terms upon which Yeomiahs and other pensions were held. The Collector was accordingly requested to submit a report, in the usual tabular, form, on the Petitioner's case, which he has accordingly done.

5. The Government will perceive from the Board's Proceedings on the Collector's report, that the present allowance is one of those which the Board, in their Proceedings dated 23rd February 1852, recommended should be continued only for the life of the then existing incumbents, "Except in cases in which there is any service to the State required of them, or in cases in "which there are documents forthcoming to show hereditary right; or where there is satisfactory "evidence to show that the Inam has been in uninterrupted enjoyment of the family since 1792, "the period specified in Section 2, Regulation XXXI. of 1802;" and that the Government approved of this recommendation, and directed in Extract from Minutes of Consultation, No. 302, dated 6th April 1852, that such cases should be reported for their orders.

of Rupees 110 - and the family

6. In the case now submitted, no service would appear to have ever been required; but the grant is supported by a Dafter Rokha, dated A. D. 1793, which states that the grant is to be continued from year to year. The Petitioner's grandfather was the original grantee, and on his death the Petitioner's father, whose demise is now reported, continued to enjoy the grant which was previously commuted to a money payment from the Treasury; so that the Inam has been in the uninterrupted enjoyment of the family.

7. Under these circumstances, the Board recommend that the allowance be registered in the name of Sundara Bhattar, son of the last holder, and with whom the widow of the latter resides.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

C. Lushington
Acting Sub-Secretary.

To W. Huddleston, Esq., Acting Secretary to Government, R. D.,
with copy of Board's Proceedings, 23rd February and 8th June 1865,
Nos. 1,124 and 3,088, and four enclosures.

Copy to G. L. Morris, Esq., Collector of Tanjore.

Extd J. Wright.

No. 2232

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE

Dated 11th August 1865.

Recommending that the Yeomiah, of Rupees 111-5-3 per annum, enjoyed by the late Adinarayanah Bhattan of Tanjore District, be registered in the name of his son Sundara Bhattar.

No. 4,793.

allowance, the grounds was "subject to resolute to life tenure", he (Mr Cadell) had "declined to continue the grant "Grounds or lapse" to deceased's son.—

The Bd on this remark that the reasons subsequently offered by Mr Cadell was opposed with the sole object of procuring grants. and that in a number last and up by Mr Cadell the grant held by deceased is continued as for continuance "year by year".—

Neither of these papers shows what were the terms of the original grant and copies or drawers, need not have been specially referred by the Bd.—

In one of the papers in the bundle, the Acting Sub Collector in charge of the district, remarks, that the words "to continue from year to year" would be construed to mean "in perpetuity". I am unable to agree with this view and think that this point is a very fair opportunity for endeavouring that the Bd will not accord grants of this kind [illegible] demanding perpetuation.

On looking back to the Proc: of Govt dated 15 April 1836, para 2, I see that the subject of grants under "Lucca Summuds" and "Duffer Rocca" were then brought under consideration and that a Board was ordered to enquire into the nature of the several tenures under which these two grants that of the Duffer Rocca kind being there referred "as to the evidence from the manner in which payment was ordered for the last (Duffer Rocca) & the nature of the "Sunnuds that they were resumable at pleasure".

The Govt. have forwarded "that they may now with perfect concurrence upon the death of the present incumbents exempt in special cases."

The Board were under remarks in a Board held by "Captain Rocca". — Considering the views of the Govt. above recited the Board recommend that upon the death of the present incumbents exemption in special cases.

In the matter of ordering Mahomedans to reduce the amount of annuity granted on lapses. In the present case there was original appointment was made — not one exemption of any pensioners services counted — but simply as a charitable grant — coming for the Brahmin pensioner of devotional character and one employed case, we should in any Summi, treat the Brahmin as the Mahomedan is treated. and it is for them to be asked that they should be moderately content to receive the moderate pension.

I would not therefore exempt the Board recommendation — but declare the pension absolute.

23 August.

I concur

Revenue Department.

N° 2058.

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 1st ~~August~~ September 1865.

Read the following Rep:
of the Board of Revenue

Recommending that the Yeomiah, of Rupees 111-5-3 per annum, enjoyed by the late Sr Adhinarayanah Bhattar of Tanjore District, be registered in the name of his son Sundaram

(Rec'd under 11th August 1865 N° 4793.)

In their Proceedings above recorded, the Board of Revenue recommend the continuance of a yeomiah of Rupees 111-5-3 per annum in the name of Sivadram Bhat the son of the last holder, a Brahmin by name Alady Narainn Bhat. This son has landed property yielding a yearly income of Rupees 110 - and the family

family of the deceased consists of 12 members. The Collector Mr. Morris observes that in these circumstances "the widow cannot be said to be in absolute want". His predecessor, Mr. Collector Cadell reported that as in the "renewed Certificate" under which up to the date of his demise the late Yeamiahdar had drawn his allowances, the Yeamiah was "expressly restricted to life tenure," he (Mr. Cadell) had "declined to continue the "Yeamiah on lapse" to deceased's son.

2. The Board remark that the renewed Certificate referred to by Mr. Cadell was issued with the sole object of preventing frauds, and that in a printed list sent up by Mr. Cadell the grant held by deceased is

considered as for continuance "year by year." ~~The~~ ~~Superintendent~~ ~~Board~~ The Acting Sub Collector in charge of the District, remarks in his Statistical Report dated 13 April 1865 — Pr. 112, that the words "to be continued from year to year" should be construed to mean "in perpetuity." The Board are unable to agree ~~to~~ with them, and they consider that the present is a very proper opportunity for indicating that they will not regard grants of this kind and class as entitled to be ~~Summary~~ perpetually [illegible] ... ... "

3 On referring to the proceedings of Govt. dated 15 April 1836, para 2, the Govt. observe that the subject of grants under this

"Sicca Sunnuds" and "Dufter Nocca" was then brought under consideration and that a broad distinctive line was drawn between ~~the nature~~ the tenure under either of these two grants that of the "Dufter Nocca" ~~kind~~ being thus noticed "as it is evident from "the manner in which "payment was ordered "for the last (Dufter Nocca) "by the native Govern-"ments that they were "resumable at pleasure- The Government there-"fore thought "that they "may now with propriety "be resumed upon the "death of the present "incumbents except in "special cases-"

4 The yeomiah now under notice is a grant held by "Dufter Nocca".

Nocca"- According to the views of the Government above quoted it should therefore be resumed- unless a special case be made out. The local officers are so far from thinking a special case has been established that they ~~(...)~~ do not object to adverse resumption- The Revenue Board ~~...~~ and suggest continuance which amount to perpetuation-

5 In the matter of ordinary grants the Govt. reduce the amount of money grants on lapses. In the present case ~~...~~ the original assignment was made not on resumption of any privileges previous conceded

conceded but simply
as a charitable grant
and it is to be noted
that the family seem
to be in moderately
comfortable circum-
stances.

6. Under these cir-
cumstances the Govt
must decline to accept
the Board's recommen-
dation ~~and~~ declare the
pension ~~in~~ lapsed to
the State

Bd of Rev Dec 28/95
Acc to Gen N

33
4

Revenue 1865 Depart.
Proceedings 5th September
No 2058
Pro. of the Madras Govt
of 1st September 1865

Declining to comply with Board's recommendation for the continuance of the yearly allowance enjoyed by Ordinary Peishcar Challam in favour of his son. The Peishcar declared a lapse to the State.

No 142

2