

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 18

Press
~~Mis.~~

48.49.

Dated

5 - 1 - 1865.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
5th January 1865.*

No. 48. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 9th December 1864, No. 7,884.

Read the following letter from E. G. R. FANE, Esq., Collector of Madras, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Sydapet, 17th November 1864, No. 524 :—

1. I have the honor to state that in October last, I appointed Ratnasabapathi Mudali, Talook Sheristadar of Sydapet, and formerly Sub-Magistrate of Chingleput and Striharikottah, as Sub-Magistrate of Striperumadur, and Ramasami Iyer, the Sub-Magistrate of Striperumadur, as Talook Sheristadar and Sub-Magistrate of Sydapet. As usual, their names were included in the general Pay Abstract for October last ; but the Civil Paymaster struck out their names from the abstract, and remarked that they should have passed the Special Test Examination prescribed for the grade.

2. On the receipt of the above remark, the accompanying Memorandum (copy) dated 31st October 1864, was sent by my Deputy, Mr. Locke, with a supplemental abstract ; but the Civil Paymaster still demurs to pass the abstract, and says that the authority of the Government must first be obtained for the employment of the two above-named servants notwithstanding they had both been previously employed in the same grade, and were merely changed for the good of the service. I therefore beg the Board will be pleased to explain the matter to Government, and obtain from them an order to the Civil Paymaster to pass the pay of the above-named officers.

3. I beg also that the Government may be asked to direct the Civil Paymaster to generally pass the abstracts of officers who are newly changed from place to place, without, in each case, a special Government sanction.

[ENCLOSURE No. 1.]

ABSTRACT.—Copy of a Memorandum addressed to the Civil Paymaster by the Deputy Collector of Treasury, Madras District.

Submitted to Government with the Board's request that, to obviate the necessity of such frequent references, general orders may be passed of the nature referred to in the Board's Proceedings noted in the margin.

31st October 1864, No. 7,135

No. 49. ORDER THEREON, 5th January 1865, No. 18.

Miscellaneous.

Resolved that the Board of Revenue be referred to the Proceedings of Government dated 17th December 1864, No. 2,274. The Government however approve the arrangements reported in the letter from the Collector of Madras to the Board, dated 17th November 1864, No. 524.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

„ Civil Paymaster.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 7,884.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 9th December 1864.

Read the following letter from E. G. R. FANE, Esq., Collector of Madras, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Sydapet, 17th November 1864, No. 524:—

1. I have the honor to state that in October last, I appointed Ratnasabapathi Mudali, Taluk Serishtadar of Sydapet, and formerly Sub-Magistrate of Chingleput and Sriharikottah, as Sub-Magistrate of Striperumadur, and Ramasami Iyer, the Sub-Magistrate of Striperumadur, as Taluk Serishtadar and Sub-Magistrate of Sydapet. As usual, their names were included in the general Pay Abstract for October last; but the Civil Paymaster struck out their names from the abstract, and remarked that they should have passed the Special Test examination prescribed for the grade,

2. On the receipt of the above remark, the accompanying Memorandum (copy) dated 31st October 1864, was sent by my Deputy Mr. Locke with a supplemental abstract; but the Civil Paymaster still demurs to pass the abstract, and says that the authority of the Government must first be obtained for the employment of the two above-named servants, notwithstanding they had both been previously employed in the same grade, and were merely changed for the good of the service. I therefore beg the Board will be pleased to explain the matter to Government, and obtain from them an order to the Civil Paymaster to pass the pay of the above-named officers.

3. I beg also that the Government may be asked to direct the Civil Paymaster to generally pass the abstracts of officers who are newly changed from place to place, without, in each case, a special Government sanction.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

ABSTRACT.—Copy of a Memorandum addressed to the Civil Paymaster by the Deputy Collector of Treasury, Madras District.

Submitted to Government with the Board's request that, to obviate the necessity of such frequent references, general orders may be passed of the nature referred to in the Board's Proceedings noted in the margin.

31st October 1864, No. 7,135.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

W. H. Hudleston
Sub-Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D., with enclosure.

Copy to E. G. R. Fane, Esq., Collector of Madras.

Exd. J. Wright.

Rev: 1864. Department
No: of Govt for Salary: 165.

No. 3206.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.

Dated 9th December 1864.
Ref: 13-2-
Ref: 14-2-
E-1-1-PPD.

No. 7,884.

Submitting letter from the Collector of Madras, and requesting that general orders may be issued for the passing by the Civil Paymaster of the salaries of officers newly changed from place to place.

Wt. 22-18-52 marks
With the order

22-18.

Resolved that the Board of Revenue be referred to the draft of Govt of

17th December 1864, at 22/14. The

Govt. however approve the arrangements

reported in the letter from the Collector

Wt. 22-18-52 marks
1864, at 22-524.

Frank W. L. George
50- January 1865.
14- Board of Revenue in order to be paid by
Civil Paymaster.

No 28749.

22-18-52 marks