M.R.O. 14—18,000—27-9-34

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1792 Press Mis.

3s. 36

Dated 2 - 8 - 1865.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 2nd August 1865.

No. 35. Read the following letter from C. DALE, Esq., Government Pleader, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Madras, 31st July 1865, No. 123 :—

SIR,

1. I do myself the honor of enclosing, for the information of the Honorable the Governor in Council, a copy of a Petition addressed to me by Kopparazu Yoganunthum, from Guntoor, in relation to the Vasireddy Zemindar's suit.

2. Some years ago, before Mr. Norton was appointed Government Pleader in the Sudder, I acted for Government in a suit regarding this Estate ; but it is so long since, and I have no papers to refer to, that I am unable to recall to my memory how the matter was settled.

No. 36. ORDER THEREON, 2nd August 1865, No. 1,792.

Miscellaneous.

Ordered that the above papers be forwarded to the Collector of the Kistna District, through the Board of Revenue, for report.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
" the Genl Branch.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

the 1st & 2d respondents should pay the Costs incurred

C. Dale Esquire

Gouernment Lawer of High Court

&c &c &c

Most Honored Sir

The humble Petition of Kosparagu Yogamunthum a president of Guntoor Most humbly represenleth that Rungammi Nara Summa Panthulu, the Dy. Collector of Kurnool & Vasireddy Lutchmeepathi Naidoo, the Zemindar for the Estates of Vasi Reddi, are confirming schemes to create loss to the Gouernment

1st In accordance of the Decree of Her Majesty the Queen, dated 17th October 1832, stating that the appellant, Vasireddy Rungamma, the guardian of Vasireddy Lutchmeepathi Naidoo, or heir the said Vasi Reddy Lutchmeepathy Naidoo or his Heirs should pay the Costs amounting to ~~Rupees~~ 8232£ 10 S 11d incurred for the sake of the said Vasireddy Rungamma the said appellant, and also the Costs amounting to 2525£ 15 S. 5d, incurred for the sake of Pothee Kalidoss, the 3d respondent; and that the 1st & 2d respondents should pay the Costs incurred

incurred for their sake of themselves.

2.d In order to Collect the amount of the Costs in accordance to the said Decree, the Sudder Adalat passed proceedings on the 16.th April and 2.d July 1849 by which the Zillah Court at this station by the warrents issued from 1849 to 1855 caused the forfeiture of property belonging to the said appellant and 1.st and 2.d respondents to. And this property being put into auction before this Zillah Court from the 20.th January 1855 an amount of Rupees 14,000 was collected. And the Court forwarding the bill for this amount further reported that no property can be found for the remainder due.

3.d But as no public property belonging to the said Parties was found since that time it was not brought to the notice of the Court. And on the estate now found there is no objection even by Act No 14 of the Court exercising their authority

4 The appeal Decree amount of No 50 of 1861 which was an appeal on No 2 of 1861 of this Court, was by regular transfer obtained by Vasi Reddi Lutchumpathy Raidu from Chinna Veerammah the plaintiff in that suit and the said Vasi Reddi Lutchumpathy Raidu is enjoying the Estate as one of his own. But he fearing that the Company may exercise their authority causing its forfeiture for the amount due in the said Decree of Her Majesty the Queen, conferred with Immaneni Narasimma Pantulu the Deputy Collector of Kurnool to transfer the said Decree of No 50 in the name of his son Immaneni Annanthan Lutchmi Narasimma Pantulu. But Vasi Reddy Lutchmepathy Naidoo is the real heir to the said Decree amount. As a proof of this Kurtheveny Lutchmeenarayaniah a Pleader of this Court forwarded a Petition of Transfer in the name of the said Vasi Reddy Lutchmee pathy Naidoo. The said Vasi Reddy Lutchmepathy Naidoo is a Zemindar only 5 ~~pantly Naidoo~~ drawing only lower from the allowance. No other party expecting the aforesaid is found at present.

In conclusion I beg your honor will be good enough to enquire deeply into the matter and issue necessary orders to places of Judicature for the immediate forfeiture of the said amount of the Decree No 50

22.d July 1865.

No 723

From Clement Dale Esquire Government Pleader Madras.

To William Huddleston Esquire Acting Secretary to Government

Madras 31st July 1865

Sir

Paras. I do myself the honor of enclosing for the information of The Honorable The Governor in Council a copy of a Petition addressed to me by Kothparazu Yoganumthum from Guntoor in relation to the Vasireddy Zemindaris Suit

2. Some Years ago, before Mr Norton was appointed Government Pleader in the Sudder, Sacted for Government in a Suit regarding this Estate; Suit it is so long since, and I have no papers to refer to, that I am unable to recall to my memory how the matter was settled.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedt Servt
Wm Dale
Government Pleader.

Received 29th 1865.

121

Petition 1/65

Rec.d 1865 October 4th
Rec.d Gov.t Jul 4 No. 2197.
from Government Pleader

Madras
to The Acting Secretary to
Government

Dated 31st July 1865.
Rec.d 3 Aug.t
No. 123

(with an Inclosure
Land Rev.e Board)

Inclosing a copy of a
Petition addressed to
Government Pleader by
Rosparoya Goganna &c
for Remission in relation to
the Peasrey Zemindari
Sent for in 1792 - Dauph

With Order

From the Gov.t Pleader dated
5 Aug.t 1865 C. No. 2175

Pro Bd. of Revenue 4
31 Aug.t 1865 C. No. 2427

J. 35 030

No. 1792.

Ordered that the
above papers be forwarded
to the Govt. of the Western Dis.
Ins. the Bd. of Rev. for
report.

2d. Aug.t 1865.

14 Copies Letter Bd of Rev. with Enclo. Dec.d 8 Augt
to " " the Government Pleader dec.d do.

27