

Revenue ¹⁸⁶⁵⁻~~(1957-)~~ Department.

press SERIES.

G.O. No. 1712-13

Dated 24-7-1865-

[Despatch Abstract.]

Pension - (Potkal) - Pension of
Nairreddy Nairammah

Back Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Further Papers.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 24th July 1865.

No. 426. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 30th June 1865, No. 3,675.

Read again Endorsement from the Collector of the Kistna District, recorded in Board's Proceedings, dated 22nd October 1864, No. 6,976.

Read also the following letter from the same Officer, dated Masulipatam, 6th June 1865, No. 90 :—

1. With reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 22nd October last, No. 6,976, calling for further information in the case of the pensioner Vassireddy Naidummah, I have the honor to forward herewith a Memorandum showing the dates of the correspondence alluded to in paragraphs 2 and 7 of my Endorsement, dated 29th September last, No. 219, as well as the dates of letters referred to by the Petitioner.

2. With regard to the present position of the Petitioner's family, I can state that, considering his descent, it is one of very great poverty; he holds A. 8 and C. 3 of lanka land bearing a cist of Rupees 11-13-6, which is said to be cultivated by him as a vegetable garden; he has no other source of income besides his pension of Rupees 192, and has a large family to support; he is, moreover, heavily in debt; he inherited a large house at Chintapilly, but is quite unable to repair the serious damages which it received in the late cyclone.

3. A genealogical tree of the whole family, with the pensions enjoyed by each member, is forwarded herewith as requested. Those individuals who are still alive and enjoying pensions have been marked with the letter A. The Petitioner, however, derives no benefit from any but his own pension.

4. The several dates sanctioning the pensions are quoted beneath each individual in the pedigree.

5. Under all the foregoing circumstances, I beg to recommend the Petitioner's case to the favorable consideration of the Board.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.

ABSTRACT.—Memorandum of correspondence about the pension of Vassireddy Naidummah.

ENCLOSURES Nos. 2 & 3.—Petition and Genealogical Tree.

1. Resolved that the foregoing papers, together with the enclosure of the latter, be submitted for the favorable consideration of Government.

2. It seems to the Board, under the Collector's explanation of 29th September 1864, that the Petitioner is fairly entitled to receive the old rate of pension again; but they see no reason for recommending any payment of arrears.

No. 427. ORDER THEREON, 24th July 1865, No. 1,712.

Miscellaneous.

Under the circumstances mentioned in the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, under date the 22nd October 1864, No. 6,976 and the 30th June 1865, the Governor in Council resolves to request the Government of India to sanction the increase of Petitioner's pension from Rupees 192-8-0 to Rupees 385 per mensem.

(Here enter No. 1,713, dated 24th July 1865.)

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Exd. S. T. Augustini.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
FORT SAINT GEORGE,
24th July 1865.

No. 428.
From

No. 1,713.

W. HUDLESTON, Esq.,
Acting Secretary to the Government of Fort Saint George,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

SIR,

Miscellaneous.

* From Rupees 192 to Rupees 385.

1. I am directed to request that the Government of India will sanction an increase* in the pension of Vassareddy Naidammah, grandson of Chendra Mouly, to whom his cousin Venkatadry Naidu, Zemindar of Vassareddy, was ordered by this Government to pay an allowance of 100 Star Pagodas per mensem in 1794.

2. The allowance was continued to Chendra Mouly's son and to his grandson Vassareddy Naidammah, as an hereditary charge on the Vassareddy estates; but in 1836 the Zemindar represented that the estates had greatly deteriorated in value, and Government on this ground alone sanctioned the reduction of the allowance to Rupees 192.

3. The Order of Government specified that this arrangement was to hold good during "the temporary management of Ramanadha Babu," who was then in charge of the estate. Ramanadha Babu's management was subsequently withdrawn, and the estates were sold and purchased by Government on account of heavy arrears of Pesheush in 1846. Since that time the reduced allowance has been paid by Government.

4. The Board of Revenue and the Collector of the District now recommend that Vassareddy Naidammah's pension should be raised to its original amount; viz., Rupees 385, and as the tract that formerly constituted the Vassareddy estates is in a flourishing condition, and the circumstances which led to the reduction of the allowance have ceased to exist, Government are of opinion that this course should be adopted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,
(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Acting Secretary to Government.

Ed. S. T. Augustin.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 22nd October 1864.

Read the following Endorsement by G. THORNHILL, Esq., Collector of the ^{Kistna} Godavary District, dated Masulipatam, 29th September 1864, No. 219, on Petition No. 4,103 of 1863, presented by Rajah Vasserreddy Naidammah :—

1. On reference to the old correspondence it appears that the Petitioner is the grandson of Vasserreddy Chendra Mouly, who was the son of the uncle of Venkatadry Naidu.

2. As Chendra Mouly was then kept in confinement, and subjected to much severity and ill treatment by his powerful cousin, Venkatadry Naidu, the Government in 1794, decided that he should be placed under the protection of the British Government, and directed that a sufficient allowance for his maintenance should be made by Venkatadry Naidu.

3. The pension fixed for Chendra Mouly was 100 Star Pagodas* per month. Venkatadry Naidu paid this, as well as some other pensions of similar character through the Collector of the late Guntoor District, till the year 1816 in which he died.

* Rupees 335.

4. Afterwards when the Vasserreddy estates were under attachment owing to litigation between Venkatadry Naidu's two adopted sons for succession to the estates, the Government ordered† the pension to be paid out of the rents of the Vasserreddy estates.

† 26th June 1821, No. 453.

5. In 1816 Chendra Mouly died, and his pension of 100 Pagodas a month was paid to his son, Padmanabha Ramannah, and charged to Vasserreddy estates as aforesaid. The latter died in 1828, leaving his son, the present Petitioner, Naidammah.

6. The Government, considering the above pension as a permanent hereditary charge upon the Vasserreddy estates, ordered the continuance of the above pension in the name of the Petitioner.

From Board to Government 8th January 1829.
Government 27th January 1829, No. 84.

7. In the year 1836, when the Vasserreddy estates were under the temporary management of Ramanadha Babu, he applied that the Petitioner's allowance should be reduced to one-half with reference to the deteriorated condition of the estates. The Board were of opinion that the Zemindar's application was reasonable under the then reduced circumstances of the estate. They said "that it seems but fair that allowances fixed in ordinary seasons for the support of the junior branches of the family, should fluctuate with the resources of the estate, and be subject to diminution when the produce of the year is insufficient to meet the Government demand."

8. The Board recommended that the pension of the Petitioner, Naidammah, should be reduced to Rupees 192-8-0, as proposed by Ramanadha Babu; and that the monthly payments should be continued "at that rate, so long as the temporary arrangement by which Ramanadha Babu conducts the administration of the Vasserreddy estates shall be continued."

The Government sanctioned this plan.

Vide Extract from Minutes of Consultation 13th December 1836. *Some 1803 9 26*

9. The management of Ramanadha Babu was subsequently withdrawn, and the estates placed under Government attachment. They finally reverted to Government in 1846, on account of the heavy arrears of Peishench.

10. Now the Petitioner prays that his former pension of 335 Rupees may be restored on the ground that the estates have been in a flourishing condition since they became the property of the Government, and that the reduced scale of pension was ordered to be paid only so long as Ramanadha Babu should conduct the management of the estates.

11. The circumstances which led to the reduction of the pension having now altogether ceased, the prayer of the Petitioner to have it replaced on its former footing is recommended for the favorable consideration of the Board and Government.

Department
1864.

No. 1713.

26th July/65

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

SIR,

* From R 192 to R 385

I am directed to request that the Government of India will sanction an increase in the pension of Vafareddy Naikam mah, grandson of Krishna Mouly, to whom ^{his cousin} Venkata. dy Naidu, zemindar of Vafareddy was ordered to pay an allowance of 100 Star pagodas per annum in 1794 -

2 The allowance is continued to Krishna Mouly's son and to his grandson Vafareddy Naikammah as an hereditary charge on the Vafareddy estates, but in 1836 the zemindar represented that the estates had greatly decreased

1/25 July
1/25 July

To

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE

No. 1826

Depart

1865.

Received for July

Dated 30th June 1865.

No. 3675.

Submitting for favorable consideration papers connected with Petition No 4003 of 18 praying that Petitioner's pension may be given again at old rate.

Under the circumstances mentioned in the proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 22nd Dec 1864 (1864/65) the 30th June 1865 the Government in Council resolved to increase the rate of pension to Rs 385 per annum

1/25 July/65

24th July/65.

on 25th July

1/25 July/65

1/25

15-1-20

19-1-19

13-1-19

17-1-19

19-1-19

21-1-19

23-1-19

25-1-19

27-1-19

29-1-19

31-1-19

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

1/25 July/65

deteriorated in value,
and Government on this
ground alone sanctioned
the reduction of the
allowance to Papes

192.
3. The order of Govt
specified that this
arrangement was to
hold good during "the
temporary management
of Raimanabha Baba,"
who was then in charge
of the ~~estate~~ ^{estate} - Raima-
nabha Baba's manage-
ment was subsequently
withdrawn and the
estates were ^{sold and purchased} ~~returned~~
by Government on
account of heavy ar-
rears of Peshkash in
1846. Since that time
the reduced allowance
has been paid by Go-
vernment.

The

4. The Board of
Revenue and the Collec-
tor of the District now
recommend that Wasee-
reddy Naidammah's pen-
sion should be raised
to its original amount
vizt Papes 385, and a
~~part that formerly constituted the~~
the Waseerreddy estate
is now in a flourishing
condition and the cir-
cumstances which led
to the reduction of the
allowance have ceased
to exist, Government
are of opinion that the
course should be adopted

22

80
3

172-29

Revenue 1865 Def. fault
Proportion for July

A. 1713.

To the Secretary of the
Gov. of Indiana.
22nd July 1865

From the Aug. 6th 1865
Indiana 12th 1865 - 12708-

Respecting the donation
the same are (see report)
of No. 385, 1861, amount
to 12,708-21

264.35
25-8-21

No. 128

20. 1865.