M.R.O. 14—18,000—27-6-24

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1419 Press Mis.

511.572

Dated 23 — 6 — 1865.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
23rd *June* 1865.

No. 511. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 9th May 1865, No. 2,332.

Read the following letter from R. W. BARLOW, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector of North Arcot, to J. D. ROBINSON, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, dated Vellore, 6th April 1865, No. 166:—

SIR,

1. With reference to paragraph 2 of the Board's Proceedings, No. 1,661, dated the 23rd March 1865, I have the honor to observe that the delay, had it really occurred between 1863 and 1865, might rightly be termed most discreditable to the Sub-Collector's office. A glance at dates will shew this statement is a mistake. The Tahsildar submitted his report on the 28th of January 1863, and the Sub-Collector's decision was passed on the 3rd May 1863, or within three and half months; even this delay would be discreditable were it not to be borne in mind that between those dates the Sub-Collector's Office changed hands *four* times, and the office was actually vacant some time, so the report was for a while lost sight of.

2. The complainants made their first application for refund in February 1865, and that same day a letter was despatched by me requesting sanction; it may possibly be held that a refund should have been asked for when the decision was passed, in which case there was an error of judgment, but not delay through carelessness. I thought that as the amounts were small, and already carried to credit, and no claim set up, it was better to let the matter rest unless the parties themselves came forward.

3. Should you consider the matter of sufficient importance, I should be glad if this explanation was forwarded to the Board.

True Copy.—Forwarded to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, with reference to their Proceedings, No. 1,661, dated the 23rd March 1865.

(Signed) J. D. ROBINSON, *Collector.*

Submitted to Government in continuation of the Board's Proceedings, dated 23rd March 1865, No. 1,661. The Board are unable to acquit Mr. Barlow and his Department from blame. The matter was brought to notice in 1860, and was not disposed of until 1865. It was clearly Mr. Barlow's duty to apply for permission to make a refund to Petitioners when he found they were justly entitled to it.

No. 512. ORDER THEREON, 23rd June 1865, No. 1,419.

Land Revenue.

Ordered to be recorded.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. GROSE,
Acting Under Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Rd. S. T. Augutin.

No. 2,332.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 9th May 1865.

Read the following letter from R. W. BARLOW, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector of North Arcot, to J. D. ROBINSON, Esq., Collector of North Arcot, dated Vellore, 6th April 1865, No. 166:—

1. With reference to paragraph 2 of the Board's Proceedings, No. 1,661, dated the 23rd March 1865, I have the honor to observe that the delay, had it really occurred between 1863 and 1865, might rightly be termed most discreditable to the Sub-Collector's office. A glance at dates will show this statement is a mistake. The Tahsildar submitted his report on the 28th of January 1863, and the Sub-Collector's decision was passed on the 3rd May 1863, or within three and half months; even this delay would be discreditable were it not to be borne in mind that between these dates the Sub-Collector's Office changed hands *four* times, and the office was actually vacant some time, so the report was for a while lost sight of.

2. The complainants made their first application for refund in February 1865, and that same day a letter was despatched by me requesting sanction; it may possibly be held that a refund should have been asked for when the decision was passed, in which case there was an error of judgment, but not delay through carelessness. I thought that as the amounts were small, and already carried to credit, and no claim set up, it was better to let the matter rest unless the parties themselves came forward.

3. Should you consider the [illegible] tion was forwarded to the Board.

True Copy.—Forwarded to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue with reference to their Proceedings, No. 1,661, dated the 23rd March 1865.

(Signed) J. D. ROBINSON, Collector.

Submitted to Government in continuation of the Board's Proceedings, dated 23rd March 1865, No. 1,661. The Board are unable to acquit Mr. Barlow and his Department from blame. The matter was brought to notice in 1860, and was not disposed of until 1865. It was clearly Mr. Barlow's duty to apply for permission to make a refund to Petitioners when he found they were justly entitled to it.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Sub-Secretary.

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to J. D. Robinson, Esq., Collector of North Arcot.

Exd. J. Wright.

Pro. 28th March 65 No 24
in No 300

Rev. 1865. Department.
Bd of Govr for Jud.

No. 1278.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

Dated 9th May 1865.
Read 12 June
Read 13 do.

No. 2,332.

14 Sep. Wh. to Board 4th Oct. 65 & 8th June

Submitting with remarks letter from the Collector of North Arcot forwarding explanation of the Sub-Collector of the delay in applying for permission for refund of Land Revenue overcharged on certain Ryots of Wandiwash Taluk.

No. 571 & 572.

RECORDED.

23d June 65