M.R.O. 14—18,000—27-6-34

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 1402 Press ~~Mis.~~

478·479

Dated 22 - 6 - 1865.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 478. Read the following letter from J. W. B. DYKES, Esq., Collector of Nellore, to the Honorable A. J. ARBUTHNOT, Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Nellore, 6th June 1865, No. 14 :—

SIR,

1. I have the honor to forward a letter addressed to His Excellency the Governor by Rajah Velugoti Yachama Nayadu Bahadur, Zemindar of Venkatagiri, in reference to a boundary dispute, which has arisen between himself and the neighbouring Zemindar of Kalastri.

2. The Zemindar of Venkatagiri has endeavoured most creditably to avoid a law suit, but I regret without success.

3. And a complaint having been preferred against some of his people by the servants of the Kalastri Zemindar before the Kalastri Sub-Magistrate, I have informed him that the only course open to him to pursue, should not he be satisfied with the decision arrived at, is to appeal in due course to the Magistrate in the North Arcot District, to whom an appeal lies from the Sub-Magistrate in question.

4. The Zemindar, a translation of whose letter to myself I also enclose, is anxious that I should obtain the services of a Police guard on the disputed boundary.

5. On this point, I will call for report from the Sub-Magistrate, and should there appear reason to fear a breach of the peace, the requisite measures shall be taken.

6. And in conclusion, I do myself the Lonor to submit copies of correspondence* which has taken place on the same subject between the Magistrate of North Arcot and myself, and the Zemindar of Kalastri.

* From the Magistrate of North Arcot, No. 90, dated 19th May 1865, with translation of the enclosure.
To ditto No 165, dated 29th May 1865.
From the Collector to the Zemindar of Kalastri, No. 4, dated 29th March 1865, (translation).
The Zemindar of Kalastri's reply of the 26th April 1865, with one enclosure (translation).

No. 479. ORDER THEREON, 22nd June 1865, No. 1,402.

Miscellaneous.

1. The Collector has not explained with what object he has sent up to Government this mass of papers loosely put together, but has left Government to conjecture what may be his wish or intention.

2. It is to be presumed that he contemplates some direct interference in the matter by Government, but no measure of the kind appears necessary or desirable. No Government interests are concerned, nor do the survey operations now in progress in Nellore require the adjustment of the matter in dispute.

3. If the Zemindars of Venkatagiri and Kalastri cannot settle the contested boundary by arbitration, as for their own sakes it is to be hoped they may, they must have recourse to the established Courts, and meanwhile the Magistrates of Nellore and North Arcot will take such steps as may appear to them necessary to prevent any breach of the peace.

4. Mr. Dykes will inform the Zemindar of Venkatagiri that the Government see no necessity to appoint a special Officer to investigate and determine the matter in dispute.

5. The Government do not approve the Collector's apparent intention to divert Mr. Rundall's attention from his public duties, in order that he may arbitrate in this private dispute.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Collector of Nellore.
,, the Collector of North Arcot.
,, the Board of Revenue.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

against some of the people by the servants of the

No 14

Sec Ret 28 of 65

Nellore, Collector's Office,
6th June 1865.

From,

J. W. B. Dykes Esquire
Collector of Nellore

To,

The Honorable A. J. Arbuthnot,
Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St George

Sir,

I have the honor to forward a letter addressed to his Excellency the Governor by Raja Velugoti Yachama Nayadu Bahadur Zemindar of Venkatagiri, in reference to a boundary dispute which has arisen between himself and the neighbouring Zemindar of Kalahasti.

2 The Zemindar of Venkatagiri has endeavoured most creditably to avoid a law suit, but I regret without success.

3 And a complaint having been preferred against some of his people by the servants of the

From the Magistrate of North Arcot
No 98 dated 19th May 1865. with the
translation of the inclosure. _____
To ditto _____ No 165 dated
27th May 1865. _____
From the Collector to the Zemindar of
Kalahastee No 4 dated 29th March 1865 forwarding
The Zemindar of Kalahastee's reply of
the 26th April 1865 with memorandum
(Translation)

Kalahastee Zemindar before the Kalahastee
Sub Magistrate I have informed him that the only
course open to him to pursue, should he be dissatis-
fied with the decision arrived at, is to appeal in due
course to the Magistrate in the North Arcot District,
to whom an appeal lies from the Sub Magistrate in
question.

4. The Zemindar, a translation of whose
letter to myself I also inclose, is anxious that I
should obtain the services of a police guard on the
disputed boundary.

5. On this point, I will call for a report from
the Sub Magistrate, and should there appear
reason to fear a breach of the peace, the requisite
measures shall be taken.

6. And in conclusion, I do myself

the honor to submit copies of
correspondence which has taken place
on the same subject between the
Magistrate of North Arcot and
myself, and the Zemindar of
Kalahastee.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most Obedient Servant

J W A Bayley Resr
Collector

Compd Ltr Sent &c.

× Regn. XII of 1816.

"The survey measures now in progress in Nellore will not affect the lands of the Vencatagherry Zemindary which are contiguous to those of the Kalastry estate: therefore the provisions of Act 28 of 1860 will not apply. That Act, I take it, is intended to be worked for the adjustment of boundary & other disputes specified in the Act, when a survey by order of Govt. of its own lands is actually in progress.
The preamble is certainly very wide, but all the other parts of the Act point clearly to cases where on one side the Govt. interests are involved & those of a second party on the other. In the present case we have nothing more than a squabble as to a piece of boundary line, doubtless of trifling value but in which only the interests of two Zemindars are concerned. — If they cannot agree to have the dispute settled, like gentlemen, by arbitration, I would allow the matter to take its course in the usual way in the Local Civil Courts.
In regard to any increase of Police force that may be necessary, the two Magistrates concerned will exercise their discretion. On that point the Govt. are not at present required to pass orders.
I wd. not permit Mr. Rundall to be drawn off from his proper duties to settle a dispute of this kind. If he is deputed to determine it, he will have to survey the boundary of all the villages in two Zemindaries & other Zemindaries [illegible] on other disputes [illegible]. The trouble the Coll. Mr. Dykes, should have taken [illegible] on what points he desires specifically & clearly to state orders from Govt. It is not proper to pitch forth

into the Government office papers loosely put together with a letter that in no wise explains the object of their transmission.
14.6.65
WDA
[illegible]

C12 - Jung/65 Rev

No 478.

Revenue
1865 Pro. of Govt. for Sept.
From, No 1561
The Collector of Nellore
To, The Chief Secy. to Govt. Fort St. George
Dated 7th June } 1865
Despd. 7th do.
Recd. 10

No 14.

2 Encl. [illegible]
Forwarding a letter addressed to His Excellency by the Zemindar of Venkatagiri in reference to a boundary dispute which has arisen between himself and the neighbouring Zemindary Kalahastee. —

[illegible]

L

u

North Arcot Magistrate's Circuit Office,
Palamanair 19th May 1865

From.
J. D. Robinson Esqre.
Magistrate of North Arcot,

To,
J. W. B. Dykes Esqre.
Magistrate of Nellore.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward to you, transcript of a Yhat dated 10th Instant from the Zemindar of Kalastri, from which you will learn that his ryots have preferred a complaint against those of Venkatagherry Zemindary in your District, before the Sub Magistrate of Kalastri, and that the ryots of the latter Zemindari have likewise preferred a counter complaint against those of the former before the authorities in your District. The Zemindar of Kalastri therefore requests that the case filed against his ryots in your District may be held in abeyance pending the disposal of that now under investigation before the

Kalastri Sub Magistrate. I have the honor therefore to request that you will, should there not be objections of which I am not aware, have the goodness to comply with the Zemindar's request.

I have &c.

Signed/ J. D. Robinson

Magistrate

To,

The Magistrate of Tirutteni

Chittoor

Sir,

I am given to understand from the reports of the villagers of Maganuru, Manavaram &c. of the Zemindary, that the Zemindar of Venkatagiri with a view to usurp certain lands of the said village, has encroached upon the boundaries which are well known between his Zemindary and mine and that he has caused certain flagmarks to be fixed in the Jungles belonging to this

Zemindary. The Dewanji of Venkatagiri Zemindary having in one of his letters to the Vakil of my Zemindary requested that the matter may be settled amicably, and I have also agreed to it; but as it was then rainy season and a time that Kists should have been paid, it was not possible to do according to the correspondence that has taken place.

2 The Zemindar of Venkatagiri having requested the Collector of Nellore by his letter that Mr. Rundall the Assistant Director of Revenue Settlement may be deputed to settle the matter in dispute the Collector of Nellore requested me by letter No. 4 of the 29th March 1865 to give my opinion on the subject. In my reply, I stated that there are no objections to the matter being dealt with amicably according to the correspondence that has taken place between the servants of Venkatagiri Zemindari and mine, & that the matter having been settled by Mr. Rundall and I have enclosed herein copies of the letter from the Nellore Collector and of

my respect herewith the enclosures and you will be acquainted with the full particulars of the case on a perusal of the said papers.

3 Whilst such is the case the Zemindar of Venkatagiri having again caused a new mark of boundary line to be made and prevented our cattle and men from passing as usual and given room to apprehend, I have caused a complaint to be made on the 29th April last to the Sub Magistrate of Nalautry Division

4 It having been brought to my notice that the Venkatagiri Zemindars men are trying to bring complaints against my people before the District Magistrate of Nellore I request that you will be pleased to write to the Magistrate of Nellore requesting him not to interfere in the matter but to wait until the result of the enquiry of the case now pending here is known.

I have &c

Signed Raja Yamardhumara Venkatachella Nayadu Bahadurvar

Raja of Karvetnagar

6

/True Translation/

Signed/ J. D. Robinson

Magistrate

No. 165.

Nellore Magistrate Office

29th May 1865

From,

J. W. B. Dykes Esquire

Magistrate of Nellore

To,

J. D. Robinson Esquire

Magistrate of North Arcot

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 90 of the 19th and state that the complaint alluded to has reference to a disputed boundary.

2 As regards the Kalahasti Zemindars request, I would let the case go on and he can in due course appeal if necessary.

3 In the same way the Venkatagiri Zemindar I have suggested, should appeal, if necessary against the

Kalahastri Sub-Magistrate.

4 Whilst it is to be regretted that the Kalahastri Zemindar has refused to comply with the Venkatagiri Zemindar's proposal to have the dispute as proposed by the opposite side settled by arbitration.

/Share &c/

/Signed/ J. H. B. Master

Magistrate.

No 4

To, Raja Sait &c Damarlamma —

Venkatappa Nayam Zemindar of Kalahasty.

The Zemindar of Venkatagiri informs me by letter No. 32 of the 19th instant, that the villagers of Illamanaveram of your Zemindary have encroached upon the permanent boundaries of Nunjapuntu of his Zemindary from the place where the 3 boundaries join at Kalavapuntu to the south of Nagamanikonda connected with the Velpanda hills, obliterated the inscriptions on the monument

placed on the hills, cut the jungle near the hills, carried on cultivation and are commencing disputes, further that your Officials have not advanced what was admitted in their reply to the Communication made through his Officials. The Venkatagiri Zemindar further states that he is informed that certain consultations are going on regarding the boundaries supported to him by rights and by his accounts that the institution of a civil suit in the first instance only would be waste of time &c & he finally requests that Mr Rundall may be deputed to settle the dispute. Should this not be considered he begs it may be done according to orders to be obtained by a reference in the matter to the higher authorities. I have therefore to request that you will inform me as soon as possible of your wishes in respect to the boundary dispute between the villages in question being enquired into by Mr Rundall, the Assistant Director of Revenue Settlement.

/Signed/

Nellore Collectors Office
Vegavajahatam
29th March 1865.

[Signed] J. W. B. Dykes
Collector

No.

To,
J. W. B. Dykes Esquire
Collector of Nellore & Zemindar Inspector

In your letter No. 4 of the 29th March 1865, addressed to the Venkatagiri Zemindar

is stated that the Zemindar of Venkatagiri has informed you by letter No. 32 of the 19th Ultimo that the villagers of Mannavaram of your Zemindary have encroached upon the permanent boundary between the said Mannavaram and Rayajigunta of Venkatagiri Zemindary existing from Kalavagunta where the three boundaries joined together to the south of Yogavanipalada connected with Veligonda Hill, obliterated the letters on the monument placed on the hill, cut the jungle in the vicinity of the hill and are practising several irregularities; that he caused a letter to be written on the subject by his Officials to mine, who in reply agreed to settle the dispute, but would notes, that he has received reports that certain tricks are going on regarding the marks which support their rights and are borne out by the accounts and that as the Venkatagiri Zemindar has named Mr. Kendall the Assistant Director of Revenue Settlement for the disposal of the dispute, I should give my opinion in respect to settling the dispute in question by that Officer. In reply to this I have to state as follows.

1 As regards the boundaries described in the Venkatagiri Zemindar's letter and his statement that our men have obliterated them and have encroached thereupon, I will show in due course of enquiry my Objections and the documents supporting my course in the matter, I do not therefore undertake to reply at present on the subject.

4

2 I now send a Journal of the correspondence between the Officials of the Venkatagiri Zemindary and our Officials, you will observe something deeper in the Venkatagiri Zemindari letter:

3 In his letter it is entered that my Officials have in their left admitted the matter under dispute, but should you take into your consideration Paras 1 of the Enclosure, you will observe that we are prepared always to settle amicably the matters in dispute.

4 You state that the Venkatagiri Zemindar has represented in his letter to you that it is brought to his notice that certain books are going on regarding the monies appertaining his enjoyments and Value out by his accounts; you will observe plainly that there is no necessity whatever for myself or my servants to use any such endeavours, while there are ample grounds for maintaining my cause.

5 In the letter under acknowledgement my opinion was called on in respect to the matter under dispute being settled by Mr Rundall, the Assistant Director of Revenue Settlement but as I am prepared to act consistently with the correspondence that has already taken place between the Officials of Venkatagiri Zemindary and mine, it now remains that the matter should be disposed of by a competent authority; should the Venkatagiri Zemindar not like to settle it accordingly. 26th April 1865.

Sealed by Kalahasty Zemindar

Letters written from Rahimsulla Dewan to Venkatagiri Zemindar to Mandavalu Mannaru Krishna of Kalahasty Vakil of Kalahasty Zemindary

Reply made by Mandavalu Mannaru Krishna of Kalahasty Vakil of Kalahasty Zemindary to Rahimsulla Dewan of Venkatagiri Zemindar.

1 It having been brought to notice that the Mannar ammullagye have encroached on the pond Constructed by our Zemindar in the Karayagunta lands of this Zemindary and to — Munnichiarejon and raised a new hedge, the Mazumdars of the Taluq proceeded to the spot and inspected it.

As I am disposed to suppress disputes I am sorry to hear the circumstance and being inclined to know whether this act of Mannar ammullageers is brought to the notice of your honour I see must by your line of friendship your reply to this letter.

Venkatajee.
28th October 1864. } Signed Patin letter in Persian.

I am glad to see your letter of the 28th October.

A Report has been received by our Serangee that the Karayagunta villagers have encroached upon a portion of the lands of Madavari Chandrya of Nagulum and raised a hedge. Ordered that the Mannaramanara ammullageers do as you stated.

Of two competent Officials from that and this place should go to the said locality and examine justly the case at time & hope that disputes will be easily avoided and

promote mutual friendship.

Nalahadiy — 30th Octo 1864 } Signed Manaaru Venkatasubbashatalu.

2. I felt a great pleasure to see your letter of the 2d instant in which it is stated, that you have personally examined the portion shown by the Mazumdar; that on being informed as to who would come to the place from here and when he would be there, you would order the men that have come from there and enquire into it.

Our Serangee has ordered Macdavalu Subbarow the Huzur Sheristadar to go to the place on the 15th Instant and I wish to hear therefore as to whom you will send there

2 I am exceedingly glad to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 30th October last stating that it has been reported to your Serangee that the Karayagunta villagers had encroached upon a portion of Madavari Chandrya land and raised a hedge, but not detailed that the Mannaramanara ammullageers have done anything.

I have myself been this day to the spot in question and examined it with the Report made by the Mazumdar of this Taluq

I think it very advisable as you stated that two Competent Officials from both places should meet at the spot, examine justly the usual line of boundary which would put a stop to disputes and provide mutual friendship. If you instruct me as to which of the Officials is ordered by your Dewanjee to go to the place and when he is to be there, I would arrange so that the Official to be sent from this place may be present there at the time and inform you of the same.

Venkatagiri — 12th November 1864 } (Signed) Lakshmiah in Persian.

there by that time.

Kalahasti — 12th November 1864 } (Signed) Maidavolu Manaar Krishna bi Rahatulla.

3rd I am exceeding glad to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, dated the 12th instant, stating that your Dewanjee has ordered Maidavolu Subbaraow to arrive at the place on the 15th instant and requesting to be informed as to who will be sent from hence to the place at the time:

Thauk to state that Tucharla Punnaiah Raw the boundary Vakil and Jonnada Chenchu Venkatarow, Mazumdar of the Taluq, have been ordered to meet at the place by the 15th instant.

Venkatagiri — 14th November 1864 } (Signed) Rahimullah in Persian.

3rd. I have to acknowledge with unavoidable pleasure the receipt of your letter of the 14th, stating that the boundary vakil Tucharla Punnaiah Raw and Jonnada Chenchu Venkatarow the Mazumdar have been ordered to arrive at the place on the 15th instant.

It having commenced to rain by the time that Maidavolu Subbaraow was ready to start, he was detained and will inform you before hand the circumstance of his starting as soon as the weather permits &c.

Kalahasti — 15th December 1864 } (Signed) Maidavolu Manaar Krishna bi Rahatulla.

No. 449.

J. W. B. Webster Esquire

Collector of Chittoor. &c. &c. &c.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 8 of the 11th Instant informing me that with reference to my request that the boundary dispute between the village of Nerugunta of the Zemindary and Mannavaram of Kalahasty Zemindary might be advised to be settled by the Revenue Settlement that you had been pleased to write to Kalahasty Zemindar for his opinion that the Kalahasty Zemindar in his reply stated that he is prepared to come to a settlement with reference to the correspondence that has already taken place between his servants and mine and that if I do not agree thereto the matter will have to be settled by competent authority and stating further that my efforts however to have the matter amicably settled are none the less praiseworthy and that you notice with pleasure my anxiety

12

to avoid going to law with my neighbour.

You have already noticed that my endeavours to settle the matter amicably were frustrated by their not agreeing to act as they themselves said they would and that I have therefore desired to have it settled by a legal Officer and thereby put a stop to further disputes. And I need not write to you too often on the subject. I have also informed you by my letters Nos. 38 and 39 of the 5th and 16th Instant respectively the fact of the Kalahastiwar having brought several Complaints in the Police side against my servants, who stated to you that they are ready to have an amicable settlement.

You can judge as to how the Kalahastiwar, who are thus disposed would come to an amicable settlement and how it would be possible for me to desire such settlement. Even by instituting a civil suit, it cannot be ultimately settled unless

a lengthened period which would give rise
to several disputes, injurious to my respectability and
position. I beg therefore to request you, which in the ...,
protects of our respectability that the requested orders
may be given on the subject or should you deem it proper
to forward supported with your recommendations the
letter herein enclosed to the address of His Excellency
the Governor in Council and obtain favorable orders as that
my respects may not be injured.

○ Seal of the Zemindar of
Venkatagiri.

/True copies and translations/

J. N. B. Dey Rao
Collector

Comp.d 6.11

Ex. No. 2.

In a letter from the Collector of Chittoor to the Chief Secretary to Govt. Fort St. George, dated 6th June 1765

No. 41.

R 156/65

Copies of Correspondence on the subject of the boundary dispute between the Zemindars of Karvetnagar and Kalahasti referred to in the Commissioner of Collector.

His Excellency Sir William Denison K.C.B.
Governor in Council
Fort St. George.

Memorial of Condé Rajaha
Raje Velugoty Poomara
Yachappa Naidu Bahadoor
Zemindar of Venkatagiry.

Sheweth

1. That new boundary marks having been fixed in the Village of Purjaguntu with the view of usurping Lands into the Calahastry Zemindary Village of Mamavaram the Lame was brought to the notice of the Calahastry Zemindar's Officials by the Officials of Memorialist on the 28th October 1864.

by the Collector

2. On the 30th October answer was made that the dispute would be settled by appointing deputies from each Zemindary

Seminary to proceed at the appointed time.
Considering this a very fair proposal a
letter dated 2d November was addressed
requesting if Rama Wadia a deputy could
be sent to act as enable Memorialist
to find out one Ameer. Answer dated
12th November was received stating that
the Calahasty Zemindar's Sherishtadar would
be deputed direct at the appointed place
on the 15th of the same month. Memorialist's
Boundary Vakeel and the Calahasty Zemindar's
were desired to be at the place on the
15th and intimation dated 14th was
accordingly sent. The two deputies
in accordance to instructions proceeded
to the place on the date fixed, but no
deputy from the Calahasty Zemindary
made his appearance. Memorialist waited
for some time and again addressed
the Collector on the 18th December stating
that in consequence of their Ameer
the deputy could not proceed. He however

10

subsequently brought to Memorialist's notice
that certain endeavours are being made to
remove certain pillars showing the limits
of Peach Village. The whole of these circumstances
were brought to the notice of the Collector
of Ellore by letter dated 19 March 1865
at the same time intimating that should
Memorialist proceed to institute a suit
in the Courts of Adawlut it will entail
upon him very great expence and
inconvenience, but at the same time
should show that Memorialist was the
first to proceed in case he requested
the Collector to depute the deputy
Director of Revenue Settlement to settle
the dispute agreeing to defray all
attending expense. On the 29 March
a letter was received from the Collector
of Ellore intimating that the Calahasty
Zemindar was requested to make known
his intentions with regard to the dispute.
On the 3d April a letter was received
from the Calahasty Zemindar's Officer
stating that they were not agreed thereto
Memorialist brought the circumstance of the
Director

Director of Revenue Settlement through the Collector of Nellore at the same time mentioning that this course was opposed by the petitioner's friends & advocates.

3. On 15 April answer was made that this course was adopted as Memorialist had waited a long time and seeing no steps taken as petitioner proposed.

4. After all this correspondence, on the 29 April the Zemindar of Calahasty brought an action against the headmen of the Village of Chinjaguntu for Criminal trespass under Section 447 of the Penal Code and had them respectively fined each in the sum of Rupees three. This circumstance also was brought to the notice of the Collector on the 5th May and as further steps taken in the matter.

5. The Zemindar of Calahasty not content with this, instituted proceedings for Criminal trespass under section 447

of the Penal Code against the five headmen and had them brought to Calahasty and kept there. During the custody of the three headmen the Villagers of Thammadapalle were seen fixing up the boundary stones through the disputed grounds, fearing that any of the boundary fixtures may be removed, the circumstance was brought to the notice of the Collector on the 16th May and on the same date the Superintendent of Police was requested to depute a Police guard with the petitioner for defraying all expenses.

6. The Collector of Nellore by letter dated 11th May and received on the 17th intimates that from the Calahasty Zemindar's letter it appears that the matter should be settled according to the Subordinate Official correspondence or the matter should be settled by a competent Court and as per mode adopted by Memorialist. It is evident from this that when the mediation sought by Memorialist is dispensed with by the Calahasty Zemindar

the

the matter cannot be settled according to the subordinate Officials correspondence

7. That every endeavour was made by Memorialist to prevent the unjust quarrel brought on by the Calahastey Zemindar but to no avail and whilst that Zemindar has thought proper to bring Police actions against Memorialists defendants what can Memorialist do. Such disputes tend because great inconvenience to the public.

8. Memorialist therefore prays that Your Excellency in Council will be pleased to appoint an Officer and direct the Calahastey Zemindar & Memorialist to appoint each a deputy that the matter settled by majority and Memorialist will bind himself to defray all expense for which act as in duty bound Memorialist shall ever pray.

20th May 1865.

Abstract of the
accompanying
Telugu Memorial

R 1561/66

of the Kind appears necessary
or desirable. Should
it however arise, or
for the purpose of
ascertaining the existence
of the matter in dispute.

If the Inhabitants of
Pentland Firth & Kalmetri
cannot settle the contested
boundary by direct reference
to the Statutes which
they must have recourse
to, the Statutes themselves
& Grants which the Magistrates
of Kelton & N. Kirk will
take such steps as appear
to them necessary to ascertain
any dispute between the
Two Parishes with the

Inhabitants of Pentland Firth & Kalmetri cannot settle the contested boundary by direct reference to the Statutes which they must have recourse to, the Statutes themselves & Grants which the Magistrates of Kelton & N. Kirk will take such steps as appear to them necessary to ascertain the matter in dispute.

The Sheriff does not think it necessary to appoint a Special Officer to investigate & determine the matter in dispute.

The Sheriff does not think it necessary to appoint a Special Officer to investigate & determine the matter in dispute.

L.K.

19. Oct.

1 Sir Sold. of Kelton dated 3 June
1 Copy Sold. of N. Kirk S.
1 — Sol. of Sheriff S.

30
5

Revenue 18th Dec 1865
Rs. of Govt for June

No 1402.

Rs. of the Madras Govt
Dt 22d June 1865.

From Coll. of Nellore
Mad. June 16th Ch No 1772

Rs. Appointment of a
special officer to in-
vestigate the Boundary
dispute between Nell.
Kaligiri and Kalastri
Zemindaris with consider-
ation necessary.

No 4784279

L