

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1371

Press
~~Mis.~~

613 414

Dated 20-6-1865.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
20th June 1865.*

No. 413. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 9th June 1865, No. 3,112.

Read the following letter from E. G. R. FANE, Esq., Collector of Madras, to R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Trivattur, 1st May 1865, No. 110:—

SIR,

1. I have the honor to request that the Board will re-consider the case of P. Ragavaya, late Hoozoor Vernacular Clerk on the establishment of this Cutcherry, and sanction his re-employment in the Revenue Department.

2. By the Proceedings of the Board, dated 17th February 1863, No. 1,005, he was removed from his post at the same time as the late Sheristadar of this office in the matter of some remissions granted for withered crops in the village of Velliyur, in Trivallur Talook, in the year 1861. The Government, on appeal, suspended the Sheristadar for one year only, deciding that nothing worse than carelessness could be imputed to him, and he has since been employed in Trichinopoly. Meanwhile P. Ragavaya has remained out of employ for a period of twenty-six months.

3. His position is, I think, an unfortunate one. Whatever fault there may have been in the case above alluded to, being in a subordinate position, he is less to blame than the Sheristadar, under whose orders he probably acted. His previous period of service amounted to twelve years, and Mr. Ellis, the late Collector, speaks very highly of him as an excellent Revenue Officer, and has left it on record that he intended, shortly to recommend him for re-employment.

4. If the Board think fit to sanction the re-employment of P. Ragavaya, I also request that they will perform the same act of justice in the case of Runga Row, who was dismissed under precisely similar circumstances. I have also the honor to request that the Board will favor me with an early reply, as the employment of extra Gumashtas in some of the Talooks has been sanctioned by Government, and I wish to keep two of the posts vacant for the men whose case I am now representing to the Board.

The Board observe that the power of declaring the persons referred to eligible for re-employment rests with Government alone. They observe, however, that it will be necessary for them to qualify under the Examination Rules in any case.

* Government Order, dated 2nd May 1859, No. 680, Public Department.

No. 414. ORDER THEREON, 20th June 1865, No. 1,371.

Miscellaneous.

The Government authorize the Board to permit the re-employment of those men on such conditions as they think necessary, and in such position as the standing rules will allow.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,

Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue,
the Civil Paymaster.

Erd. S. T. Augustin.

No. 3,112.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 9th June 1865.

Read the following letter from E. G. R. FANE, Esq., Collector of Madras, to R. A. DALYELL, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Trivattur, 1st May 1865, No. 110:—

1. I have the honor to request that the Board will re-consider the case of P. Ragavaya, late Huzur Vernacular Clerk on the establishment of this Cutcherry, and sanction his re-employment in the Revenue Department.

2. By the Proceedings of the Board, dated 17th February 1863, No. 1,007, he was removed from his post at the same time as the late Serishtadar of this office in the matter of some remissions granted for withered crops in the village of Velliyur, in Trivattur Taluk, in the year 1861. The Government, on appeal, suspended the Serishtadar for one year only, deciding that nothing worse than carelessness could be imputed to him, and he has since been employed in Trichinopoly. Meanwhile P. Ragavaya has remained out of employ for a period of twenty-six months.

3. His position is, I think, an unfortunate one. Whatever fault there may have been in the case above alluded to, being in a subordinate position, he is less to blame than the Serishtadar, under whose orders he probably acted. His previous period of service amounted to twelve years, and Mr. Ellis, the late Collector, speaks very highly of him as an excellent Revenue Officer, and has left it on record that he intended shortly to recommend him for re-employment.

4. If the Board think fit to sanction the re-employment of P. Ragavaya, I also request that they will perform the same act of justice in the case of Ranga Row, who was dismissed under precisely similar circumstances. I have also the honor to request that the Board will favor me with an early reply, as the employment of extra Gumastahs in some of the Taluka has been sanctioned by Government, and I wish to keep two of the posts vacant for the men whose case I am now representing to the Board.

The Board observe that the power of declaring the persons referred to eligible for re-employment rests with Government alone. They observe, however, that it will be necessary for them to qualify under the Examination Rules in any case.

• Government Order, dated 2nd May 1865, No. 610, Public Department.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

H. A. Dalvell
Acting Secretary.

To W. Hudleston, Esq., Acting Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to E. G. R. Fane, Esq., Collector of Madras.

Exd. J. Wright.

10-0 Home - 1622-111-6113

1865.

Departments

Dr. J. G. Thompson.

No. 1581-

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVIEW

Dated 24 June 1865.

8-20-12

8-20-12

No 8,112.

Obsering that Raggaviah and Rangah Row who were dismissed from the Madras Collector's Office for breach of duty, can be declared eligible for re-employment only by Govern-
ment.

2-218-5114

Ms. 1371. 20 June

1
M.D.
M.D.

1371-

will grant authorities the
 \$34 to permit the re-employ-
 ment of these men on such
 conditions as they think
 necessary - and in such ho-
 stels as the standing will
 well allow.

204: Erwin 1885

Ms. B. 1. 6. v. 1. p. 10