

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1260	Press ~~Mis.~~
191-192	
Dated	9-6-1864.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
9th June 1865.*

No. 191. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 13th December 1864, No. 7,995.

Read the following letter from E. B. FOORD, Esq., Acting Collector of Ganjam, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Chet-terpur, 23rd November 1864, No. 258:—

SIR,

With reference to the Board's Proceedings communicating the Order of Government, dated the 27th ultimo, No. 1,993, Revenue Department, asking to report on the propriety of raising the upset price, I have the honor to state, that considering the thin attendance at some of the sales of waste lands, and the consequent want of competition, the upset price of jungle and cleared lands may be raised to 5 and 10 Rupees respectively, being double the price originally sanctioned.

1. Submitted for the consideration of Government, with reference to the Government Order quoted by the Acting Collector.

2. The Board would, however, request a reference to the correspondence disposed of in Government Order, dated 24th December 1862, No. 2,687, Revenue Department, and 21st February 1863, No. 375, Revenue Department, from which it will be seen that the Goomsoor tracts labor under special disadvantages of climate, but that the Ganjam rates of upset prices for unassessed waste correspond with those approved by Government for the majority of the Districts.

3. The Board see nothing in the circumstances of the case, which led to the present correspondence, to induce the belief that the State does not receive a fair price for the land. The difference in the value of land between Goomsoor and Berhampore must be very great, and the price obtained at Berhampore, on the occasion in question, appears to have been enhanced by accidental circumstances beyond what it would ordinarily have reached.

No. 192.

ORDER THEREON, 9th June 1865, No. 1,260.

Land Revenue.

On further consideration of the circumstances of the case, the Government deem it unnecessary to raise the upset prices for waste land in Ganjam, at least for the present.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,

Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Erd. S. T. Augustin.

inadequate price obtained

For Orders

The minimum upset prices
for cleared & jungle lands
sold free hold in fact, are
respectively 5 & 2 1/2 Rs per acre

As a result of the
lots sold for a tie ple also the
rates, owing to the want
of competition - on which the
Commissioner relied, when fixing
such minimum upset prices.

The M^{rs} here asked brief
whether under such circumstances
the upset prices should
not be raised - to a level
put a fair price.

The M^{rs} of Col^l is in favor
of doing the present rates -
the M^{rs} of the other party.

The present rates are
equivalent to an annual

Received dated 18th December 1865.

BOARD OF REVIEW, dated 19th December 1865.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE.
Read the following letter from E. B. FOORD, Esq., Acting Collector of Ganjam, to W. HUDLE-
STON, Esq., District Collector, Chhatarnur, 23d November 1864.

STON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Uttar Pradesh,
No. 258:—

With reference to the Board's Proceedings commencing the Order of Government, under 27th ultimo, No. 1,993, Revenue Department, asking to report on the propriety of raising the upset price, I have the honor to state, that, considering the thin attendance at some of the sales of waste lands, and the consequent want of competition, the upset price of jungle and cleared lands may be raised to 5 and 10 Rupees respectively, being double the price originally sanctioned.

1. Submitted for the consideration of Government, with reference to the Government Order issued by the Acting Collector.

2. The Board would, however, request a reference to the correspondence disposed of in 1907 Bureau Department 811.

Government Order, dated 24th December 1962, No. 2,057, Revenue Department, from which it will be seen that the Government are aware of the disadvantages of climate, but that the Ganjam rates of upset prices for unassessed lands are higher than those prevailing in other Districts.

The Board are satisfied in the circumstances of the case, which led to the present correspondence, to induce the belief that the State does not receive a fair price for the land. The difference in the value of land between Ujamaoer and Berhamptore must be very great, and the prices obtained at Berhamptore, on the occasion in question, appear to be

distant circumstances beyond what it would ordinarily have reached.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

To J. D. Sim, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to E. B. Ford, Esq., Acting Collector of Gradjean.

Ed. J. Nijboer

addressed me at 4.42 am as per above and could deny the purchase of pieces ~~except~~ & the later night I think he said at this of course fixed and

Adm. Reported

212

The interests of the land
became the change of which
the riding (collecting) was the
first raise the highest price

1000

I should wish to know why this has not been disposed of? My remark on the proceedings of B of R of 13 Nov. 64 was a question and not a statement elsewhere which might have been answered at once and the intention of the members of Congress were to the effect that the interests of the Govt require the change which the acty. collector has proposed. I would raise the question "Price" — the question was then settled and I should not have been brought forward again — at all events if brought forward at all it should have been at an earlier date — six months have been allowed to elapse, and the paper now comes up with a message from the collector's secretary in direct opposition to that of Mr. Keim which was apparent in November — I do not wish to discuss the question again — but I object altogether to the course taken in bringing up a matter which had been decided and nothing had been done.

While he is in the appearance of not having been
 & explains why the average ^{is a fair average} was higher by
 for than the upset prices & he has
 recommended that the same be adopted
 in S.D. & in the future.

Mr. Forbes then pointed out that
 the most valuable portion of the
 land in the S.D. basin was
 nearly sterile. Which permanent
 clearing will be to improve it. Some
 special instructions in regard to drainage
 system. He then proposed the rate
 of 10/- 2/- for the first year & 2/-
 the original price paid for the land
 by S.D. for use for the cleared
 ground. leaving it to the discretion
 of the surveyor to fix the price for
 the remaining land. He then
 said that his estimate had been
 made for a large area.

I am sure Mr. Forbes will

specify it.
 His conclusion was that Mr. Forbes
 has been following the S.D. lead.
 I think started on a wrong
 assumption. It is not likely
 he is acquainted with Mr. Forbes
 views on the matter as they were
 not together in the same S.D. Dept.
 If the upset prices were suitable
 in the first instance the fact of not
 obtaining much advance in
 the price in exceptional cases is
 no proof. He then said they are not
 suitable now.

5
 Upset prices for back
 land

	Single	Open
Guigam	2.8	5
Pagga	5	2.8
Madakak	5	10. 5
Kumool	5	2.8
S. West	5	2.8
Brickingham	5	2.8
Salem	5	2.8
Exclusive of housing	5	2.8
Madison	10	5
S. East	5	5
Demerby	10	5
S. Hill tract	10	2.8
Kashan	5	2.8
Goodson	5	2.8
Hellon	5	2.8
S. West	5	2.8
Conner	10	5
S. Hill tract	5	10
Malabar		

L.B.

The Sangam sale for
 jungle land is $\frac{1}{4}$ what it
 is in 3 Districts & $\frac{1}{2}$ the rate
 prevailing in all the other
 Districts the tract are
 fertile, but as they are
 in the thin District; this
 so much has not been said
 on this score by the other
 collectors as Mr. Forbes
 should however be de-
 posed to express his opinion
 on this point; to that of the
 other collector Mr. Ford
 shows quite new to the
 Sangam District that he
 wrote merely to what is
 certainly a leading supposition
 by the G.O.; and as on
 looking at Mr. Forbes letter
 of the 29th Sept 64 again I
 observe that it seems to be
 what the Secy Secretary now
 describes it & nothing more
 being inadvisable to let
 the rates remain at the
 amount originally appointed.

3 June 65. W.D.H.

I would let the rates
 remain as they are
 for the present at all
 events.

The great point in
 such a case as this is
 to open up the Committee
 to raise the upshot

then would probably be some. It will return this - which the direct return
 to go from the entire amount of the entire would not be large if

C.V.

14th June 65
 O. 126

1260

8-1260; genuine 1865
 Lead again to
 Ex. of 13. Dr. 1/2. 1/2.
 7995.

On the incident
 of the circumstances
 of the case - the Govt
 seems it unnecessary
 to raise the report
 price for the land
 Sangam. at least
 for the present.
 L.R.

W.D.H.

14th June 65

Notes:
 I regret that I took
 these papers out of
 Council in 1864
 having been H.E. the
 incident to mention
 on my Notes. & I
 now have leave to

1
I explain that when I
came upon the bundle
of papers, it was
from a moment occurred
I was that the subject
could be considered
to be disposed of
already. Sam. H. B.
had called for just the
information - & had
expressed his opinion
on the proposal.

I am not responsible
for the delay that has
occurred.

Yours
J. B. B.

Memorandum 1865 & 1866
No. 1 of 1000 for 1865

Ex. 1266.

No. 1 of the Washington
City 9th June 1865

Declining to receive
the report of the
Committee on the
the ground.

July 1-1922